Significance of low levels of thyroglobulin in fine needle aspirates from cervical lymph nodes of patients with a history of differentiated thyroid cancer
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Abstract

Objective: Measurement of thyroglobulin in the washout of lymph node (LN) fine needle aspirates is recommended in the follow-up of patients with differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC). The significance of low fine needle aspirates thyroglobin (FNATg) levels remains a question, which we addressed.

Method: Prospective study comparing FNATg with FNA cytology. Exploration of 34 DTC patients (53 cervical LNs), 26 non-thyroidectomized patients with a thyroid-unrelated cervical mass (negative controls) and 13 with 21 thyroid nodules (positive controls). The 12 DTC patients (19 LNs) with a malignant FNA cytology and/or high FNATg level received LN surgery (11 patients) or 131-I-iodine treatment (1 patient) and the outcome measure was pathological or scintigraphic evidence of DTC LN metastasis.

Results: All 26 negative controls showed FNATg ≤ 1 ng/FNA and all 21 positive controls showed high levels of FNATg (127–210 000 ng/FNA, median 38 000). Among DTC patients in 25 LNs with a benign FNA cytology, FNATg was undetectable in 24 and low in 1 (6 ng/FNA); in 19 LNs with a malignant FNA cytology, FNATg was high in 17 (80–140 000 ng/FNA, median 7174 ng/FNA) and low in 2 (6.6 and 7.1 ng/FNA), which proved to be low Tg immunostaining oncocytic DTC metastasis; in 9 LNs with a non-informative cytology, FNATg was undetectable in 8 but 11 825 ng/FNA in 1, which proved a DTC metastasis. Measurement of FNA albumin demonstrated that contamination of FNA by serum proteins was negligible.

Conclusion: Low FNATg levels can indicate a DTC metastasis. It cannot be related to clinically relevant levels of serum Tg.


Introduction

Patients with differentiated thyroid carcinoma (DTC) may develop recurrences many years after initial surgery, essentially metastatic neck lymph nodes (LNs) (1), so that a long-term follow-up is required. The different tools available for this follow-up include basal and thyrotrophin (TSH)-stimulated serum Tg, neck ultrasonography (US), and LN fine needle aspiration biopsy with cytological analysis (FNAB). None of these tools are perfect: serum Tg has a very high specificity for the detection of recurrences (2, 3) but its sensitivity on thyroxine treatment, which is improved by TSH stimulation, is not optimal for detecting small LN metastases. It is unreliable in patients with circulating thyroglobulin antibodies (TgAb) and another issue is that it does not localize neoplastic foci. The specificity of neck US is not optimal, although it has recently been improved by the identification of several features whose association is highly specific for malignancy (4). Fine needle aspiration cytology has an excellent specificity (5) but a suboptimal sensitivity, especially in cystic metastatic LNs (6). To improve sensitivity of FNA, several authors proposed to measure Tg in the washout of the needle used for FNA (FNATg), and a limited number of studies indeed reported that FNATg could improve sensitivity of FNA cytology (7–9). Consequently, European guidelines recommended the association of FNATg with cytology examination when FNA is performed (10, 11). Very recently, a large retrospective study reported a promising 100% sensitivity and 96% specificity of FNATg using a low cut-off value of 1 ng/ml (12) and another study reported similar results in the exploration of LNs in a non-thyroidectomized patient suspected to have DTC (13).

Different questions regarding this technique still need to be solved. Firstly, several authors have reported the presence of detectable Tg in non-metastatic cervical LNs (7, 12, 14), a finding that affects the specificity of the method. Secondly, FNATg methodology is not...
standardized, with all studies showing significant differences in the manner of performing the needle washout, the assay used for measurement of Tg and the way of expressing the results of Tg measurement (per FNA or per ml). Finally, the possibility of interferences between Tg and TgAb in the FNA washout was noted in all studies but addressed only in one (13).

The objective of this study was to bring new data to help clarify these questions: we used a simple methodology to perform FNA washout and FNATg measurement, and we validated it in the follow-up of 34 DTC patients (53 LNs) against FNA cytology, using 26 negative and 21 positive controls. LNs with a malignant FNA cytology and/or high FNATg levels underwent surgery or 131I-iodine treatment, allowing either pathological evidence of DTC metastasis and a measure of the Tg immunostaining of the removed LNs or scintigraphic evidence of DTC metastasis. We also addressed the question of potential interference with serum Tg or TgAb.

Patients and methods

Patients

Between October 2003 and June 2007, 34 consecutive patients, previously treated by total thyroidectomy for DTC (31 papillary carcinoma and 3 follicular carcinomas), were referred to the multidisciplinary thyroid cancer concertation unit of the University Hospital of Grenoble for the appearance, during follow-up, of neck LNs with suspicious features on neck US (see below), with or without basal of TSH-stimulated detectable serum Tg. In addition, one patient with a thyroid nodule suspect of papillary carcinoma on FNA cytology was realized in 13 consecutive patients referred to the endocrine unit for exploration by FNA of one or several thyroid nodules (n = 21). In both the control groups, the measurement of FNATg after FNA had no interference with the exploration of these patients. The characteristics of the different groups are shown in Fig. 1.

In this study, DTC patients received only the examination recommended for follow-up of DTC patients. In the control patients, the only exploration performed in this study was on the needle used for FNA. The decision for proceeding with FNA was not related to this study and the result of FNATg did not interfere by any means with the medical care of the patients.

Ultrasoundography (US)

US was performed on the cervical area (Acuson-computed sonography 128 XP/10 Siemens, Berlin, Germany or Apio, Toshiba, Japan). Features suspicious of metastatic neck LNs included abnormal round shape, size above 5 mm, intralesional calcifications, central location, cystic changes, and loss of echogenic hilum (15).

FNA washout

FNA was US guided and performed with BD spinal needles (22 GA: 0.7 × 38 mm, Becton Dickinson SA, Madrid, Spain) when the mass depth was above 1 cm. In such cases, the stylet was left in while advancing the needle, in order to prevent the aspiration of extranodal tissue from the needle tract. In other cases, BD Microlance 3 Needles (23 GA: 0.6 × 25 mm, Becton Dickinson SA) were used, with or without US assistance, according to the discretion of the operator. Then the cells were spread on a glass slide and 1 ml saline physiological solution (0.9% NaCl; Aguettant, Lyon, France) was aspirated through the needle with a syringe from a test tube (2 ml microtubes PP, Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany). To validate the use of saline solution rather than the Tg-free solution given by the measurement kit, we performed measurements of Tg immunoreactivity in samples containing only Tg-free solution, saline solution, or saline solution supplemented with 70 g/l human serum albumin. We observed that Tg immunoreactivity was below detection limit in each sample and conclude that there should be no matrix effect in this assay related to the use of saline rather than Tg-free medium for dilution of the fine needle aspirates. Preliminary experiments on the FNA washout of three patients with thyroid nodules showed that a triple pumping action of the original 1 ml serum through the needle was sufficient to wash >97% of Tg out of the needle. Indeed, the measurement of Tg in a second washout performed with another 1 ml serum showed Tg levels to be <3% of the Tg from the first washout (55 000, 540 000, and 850 000 ng/FNA in the first washout of each nodules FNA: 1500, 12 000, and 21 000 ng/FNA in the second washout respectively). After the triple pumping action through the needle, the washout was left in the test tube that was then closed and sent to the laboratory for biological analysis.
Biological measurements

Thyroglobulin measurements in the FNA washout and serum were performed with an IRMA (Kit Cis Bio International, Gif-sur-Yvette, France); TgAb was measured with a radioimmunoassay (Brahms International, Brasov, Romania) with a functional sensitivity of 20 U/ml. Tg assays were run at two dilutions or more in order to search for a putative hook effect. Results of serum Tg and FNATg were expressed in ‘ng/ml’ and ‘ng/FNA’ respectively, with a functional sensitivity of 1 ng/ml or 1 ng/FNA (inter-assay coefficient variation of 20%). To express the results of FNATg, we decided to use ng/FNA, as the ‘inventors’ of the technique in 1992 (7) (Table 1). We consider that the unit ng/FNA is appropriate as what is studied here is the quantity of Tg left in the needle after puncture and washed during the washout. Since 1992 several authors have used ng/ml (Table 1) that may falsely induce the reader to believe that FNATg reflects a concentration of Tg in the LN, whereas it actually reflects the dilution of the quantity of Tg left in the needle in the volume of solution used for the washout. Albumin was measured by immunoprecipitation (BN II Dade Behring, Marburg, Germany), with the calibration curve used with urinary samples to measure the minute quantity of protein anticipated in the washout liquid. The functional sensitivity was 10 μg/l.

Histological analysis

Surgical specimens, with LN dissection labeled according to site and side, were fixed in 10% formalin and embedded in paraffin. Sections of 4 μm were then stained routinely with hematoxylin–eosin–safran (HES). LN status was assessed by gross and histological examination. LNs with size ≥0.5 cm were cross-sectioned and each embedded separately, while the smaller ones were embedded together. Five serial sections of each LN were performed and examined histologically.

Statistical analysis

Normality tests (skewness, kurtosis, and omnibus tests) concluded to a non-Gaussian distribution of FNATg values. All results are therefore expressed as medians (interquartile, range). Multiple comparisons were carried out with non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis tests following by post hoc analyses when the results of Kruskal–Wallis tests were significant. All statistical analyses were completed with the NCSS 97 statistical
software (Kayesville, UT, USA). A value of $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Cytological results**

Cytological results are summarized in Fig. 1.

In the negative control group, all 26 cervical mass FNA cytologies were informative. Five cervical masses depended on the parotid, one was a post-surgical collection, and nineteen were non-DTC-related LNs (11 infectious LNs and 8 tumorous LNs). The pathological examination was in agreement with the cytological conclusions in all surgically removed cervical masses.

In the positive control group, FNA cytology classified 20 thyroid nodules as benign and 1 as a papillary carcinoma, which was confirmed by histological examination after thyroid surgery.

In the 53 LNs from 34 patients with a DTC history, cytology was informative in 44 LNs, including 25 LNs (16 patients) classified as benign with no thyroid cells and 20 LNs (12 patients) as malignant with the presence of neoplastic thyroid cells. It was non-informative in nine LNs (six patients).

**Thyroglobulin levels in FNA**

FNATg is shown in Fig. 2 for all groups. We classified FNATg levels as undetectable when below the detection limit (<1 ng/FNA); low when the level of FNATg was between detection limit and 10 ng/FNA, which is close to the cut-off level used by previous reports (Table 1) and high when it was above this cut-off level.

**Negative control group** In all 26 cervical mass of these non-thyroidectomized patients, FNATg was undetectable.

**Positive control group** In the 21 thyroid nodules, FNATg was always high with a median of 38,000 ng/FNA (25th, 11,850; 75th, 93,500; range 127–210,000).

DTC patients: In the 25 LNs (16 patients) with a benign FNA cytology, FNATg was undetectable but one LN showed low levels of FNATg (6.6 and 7.1 ng/FNA).

In the 19 LNs (12 patients) with a malignant cytology, the median FNATg was 7174 ng/FNA (25th, 1363; 75th, 25,302; range 6.6–140,000), in the range of positive controls except for two LNs (1 patient) that showed low levels of FNATg (6.6 and 7.1 ng/FNA).

In the nine LNs with a non-informative result, eight had FNATg below detection limit but one LN showed an

---

**Table 1** Review of the different methods reported for fine needle aspirates thyroglobulin (FNATg) in the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Needle</th>
<th>Quality and volume of aspirate</th>
<th>Tg assay method</th>
<th>Tg free serum</th>
<th>Needle</th>
<th>Quality and volume of aspirate</th>
<th>Tg assay method</th>
<th>Tg free serum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pacini et al. (7)</td>
<td>21 gauge (G)</td>
<td>0.5 ml</td>
<td>Immunoradiometric (Sarin)</td>
<td>Tg-free serum</td>
<td>standard '0' given by the Tg kit company.</td>
<td>Mean+2 S.D. of non-metastatic LNs in patients with DTC in their medical history.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al. (8)</td>
<td>22 G hypodermic needle</td>
<td>Serum pool with Tg</td>
<td>Immunoradiometric (Kronus)</td>
<td>Tg-free serum</td>
<td>standard '0' given by the Tg kit company.</td>
<td>Mean+2 S.D. of non-metastatic LNs in patients with DTC in their medical history.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frascadore et al. (9)</td>
<td>22–23 G spinal needle</td>
<td>Saline solution and Tg-free serum</td>
<td>Chemiluminescent (Kronus)</td>
<td>Tg-free serum</td>
<td>standard '0' given by the Tg kit company.</td>
<td>Mean+2 S.D. of non-metastatic LNs in patients with DTC in their medical history.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cignarelli et al. (6)</td>
<td>22–25 G</td>
<td>Saline solution and Tg-free serum</td>
<td>Immunoradiometric (Radim)</td>
<td>Tg-free serum</td>
<td>standard '0' given by the Tg kit company.</td>
<td>Mean+2 S.D. of non-metastatic LNs in patients with DTC in their medical history.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baskin et al. (14)</td>
<td>22–26 G 9 cm spinal needle</td>
<td>Saline solution and Tg-free serum</td>
<td>Immunometric chemiluminescent (EuroDPC)</td>
<td>Tg-free serum</td>
<td>standard '0' given by the Tg kit company.</td>
<td>Mean+2 S.D. of non-metastatic LNs in patients with DTC in their medical history.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cunha et al. (13)</td>
<td>22–25 G</td>
<td>Saline solution and Tg-free serum</td>
<td>Chemiluminescent (Sarin)</td>
<td>Tg-free serum</td>
<td>standard '0' given by the Tg kit company.</td>
<td>Mean+2 S.D. of non-metastatic LNs in patients with DTC in their medical history.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snozek et al. (12)</td>
<td>25 G</td>
<td>Saline solution and Tg-free serum</td>
<td>Chemiluminescent (Sarin)</td>
<td>Tg-free serum</td>
<td>standard '0' given by the Tg kit company.</td>
<td>Mean+2 S.D. of non-metastatic LNs in patients with DTC in their medical history.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FNATg of 11 825 ng/FNA, equivalent to the 25th percentile of positive controls and higher than the median observed in DTC patients with a malignant LN cytology.

**Histological results**

Out of the 12 patients (20 LNs) with a malignant cytology, 11 patients underwent LN surgery and one underwent radioiodine therapy without LN surgery. None of the 16 patients (25 LNs) with a benign cytology underwent LN surgery, in agreement with international recommendations. In these patients, we cannot provide evidence of benign histology. Consequently, false negative of FNATg cytology associated with FNATg cannot be excluded. In addition, the patient with a non-informative cytology but an FNATg of 11 825 ng/FNA underwent LN surgery, so that histological results were available in 12 patients and scintigraphic results in 1 patient (Fig. 1). Pathological examination confirmed DTC LN metastases in 11 out of the 12 patients, including 10 out of the 11 patients with a malignant cytology and the patient with a non-informative cytology but an FNATg of 11 825 ng/FNA. In one of the 12 patients with a malignant LN FNATg cytology, no DTC LN metastasis was identified, despite preoperative malignant FNA cytology and a high FNATg (52 000 ng/FNA).

The levels of expression of Tg immunostaining varied widely between patients with a very low immunostaining in the DTC metastasis of the oncocytic DTC that showed the very low levels of FNATg. The Tg immunostaining also varied between different areas of the same sample in a given patient (Fig. 3).

**Evaluation of the contamination of FNATg by serum Tg**

**DTC patients** We made the following ‘worst-case hypothesis’: all the volume of material aspirated through the syringe would be blood, all the Tg contained in the material would first be not washed out of the needle when the material is spread on the glass, and then entirely recovered in the washout of the needle by the saline solution. The quantity of serum Tg aspirated through the needle is then the concentration of serum Tg (ng/ml) multiplied by the volume of the material (ml), which proved to be <0.2 ml. Using this hypothesis, one can calculate that a patient with a 20 ng/ml serum Tg should have a maximal contamination of FNATg by serum Tg = 20 × 0.2 = 4 ng/FNATg. We then used the values of serum Tg to calculate what could be the contamination of FNATg by serum Tg in our DTC patients who had at least a detectable FNATg. This included 15 patients: the 12 patients with a malignant cytology, 2 with a benign cytology, and 1 with a non-informative cytology (Fig. 1). From these 15 patients, 14 had one or several determination of serum Tg, which was detectable in 7 patients, in the range of 0.4–29.2 ng/ml, whereas FNATg was in the range of 49–140 000 ng/FNA (Fig. 4). So one can calculate that the maximal contamination of FNATg by serum Tg should be 29.2 × 0.2 = 5.8 ng/FNA. It should be noted, however, that the DTC patient with the serum Tg value of 29.2 ng/ml had an FNATg of 14 400 ng/FNA, so that a potential contamination of FNATg by 5.8 ng serum Tg (0.04%) is clearly not significant in this patient. Using the same calculation in each of these 14 DTC patients, we could calculate that the maximal contamination of FNATg by serum Tg varied between 0.003 and 0.012%, clearly not significant in any patient.

**Negative controls** Because the 26 negative controls were not thyroidecimized we know they do have a detectable serum Tg, although the data are not available. All 26 patients had an undetectable FNATg that demonstrate that their serum Tg has not interfered with FNATg.

**Measurement of FNA albumin** In order to measure the contamination of LN washout by plasma proteins, albumin levels were measured in the washouts of 24 LNs FNA from DTC patients, including 9 LNs which proved to be DTC metastasis. FNA albumin was from 0.02 to 2.18 mg/FNA. Comparison of these values with the normal serum concentration of albumin, 40 mg/ml demonstrates that the maximal contamination of FNA by serum protein in this study is a ratio of 0.05 versus serum, lower than our hypothesis of a 0.2 ratio (see above).

In conclusion, theoretical considerations as well as experimental data support the hypothesis that serum Tg did not interfere in any results of FNATg presented in this study.
Possibility of TgAb interference with FNATg measurement in the washout

In all patients with an undetectable FNATg, TgAb measurement in the FNA washout was negative, even in six patients with high levels (range 48–1000 UI/ml) TgAb in the serum. In patients with a detectable FNATg, TgAb was negative when FNATg was lower than 2000 ng/FNA. When FNATg was higher, the measurement of TgAb in the washout was a false positive because of the very high level of thyroglobulin. Indeed, this artifact is a known competitive effect when Tg is higher than 1450 ng/ml.

Discussion

There are several reasons why measurement of LN FNATg should be a sensitive and specific tool for the detection of LN DTC metastasis in patients followed for...
DTC. Regarding sensitivity, concentration of Tg is very high in thyroid vesicles, and it is found both inside the thyrocytes and in its close vicinity, allowing to identify the proximity of thyroid cells even if no cells are actually identified at FNA cytology, as illustrated in one of the patients reported in the present study. Regarding specificity, it has been established that physiological expression of the thyroglobulin gene is very strictly restricted to follicular thyroid cells (16–18) and in pathological tissues expression of the Tg gene has been reported only in DTC.

It may then seem curious that Tg could be detected in the LNs of patients with evidence of DTC metastasis, as reported in previous studies (7, 14). This finding led the authors to define cut-off levels of FNATg above which LN FNATg would be specific of thyroid cells. Theoretically, measurement of thyroglobulin immunoreactivity in non-thyroid tissue can be explained through two hypotheses: contamination of the tissue by circulating serum thyroglobulin or artifact in the method used for detection of thyroglobulin.

In this work, we bring evidence against the first hypothesis: FNATg was not detectable in any of our 26 negative control group of non-thyroidectomized patients who presented with a non-thyroid-related cervical mass. By contrast, FNATg showed high values in each of the 21 patients from the positive control group of patients presenting with a thyroid nodule. These measurements demonstrated that FNATg correctly detected the presence of thyroid cells in the thyroid nodules of the positive control group but was clearly not affected by the circulating serum levels of thyroglobulin originating from the thyroid of the negative control group patients.

We provide two additional lines of evidence against interference of serum Tg in FNATg: calculation of serum Tg contribution to FNATg using a ‘worst-case scenario’ and measurement of FNA albumin as a probe for serum protein both demonstrate that serum Tg may significantly interfere with FNATg only in patients with high levels of serum Tg in whom FNATg has no interest, as these patients generally harbor obvious metastases.

Our findings thus favor the hypothesis that, in all patients who do not have high levels of serum Tg, a detectable level of FNATg in a cervical mass is related to the presence of thyroid cells in this mass. This hypothesis is also favored by the recent studies by Snozek (12) and Cunha (13) who report that cut-off FNATg levels as low as 1 or 3 ng correctly identified DTC LNs. We show evidence for that hypothesis in one patient with low levels of FNATg, who proved to carry oncocytic DTC metastasis with a low expression of Tg as measured by Tg immunostaining. It is noteworthy that this patient provides the first evidence that a low level of FNATg can be related to a DTC, although oncocytic, as opposed to poorly DTC metastasis (19). Are oncocytic DTC metastases the only possible explanation for low levels of FNATg? In the benign FNA cytology group of our DTC patients, we encountered one patient with a low level of FNATg, whose explanation remains elusive, which represents a weakness of our study. This patient underwent a second FNA after 1 year, which showed an undetectable FNATg and we do not have a definitive histological diagnosis as she did not undergo LN surgery. This patient could represent a rare ‘false-positive low FNATg’ but alternatively she could carry a DTC micrometastasis that was sampled only in the first FNA. Measurement of FNA mRNA Tg might prove useful in these difficult cases, although it would need to be validated against histology.

What then could be the explanation of the false-positive ‘low FNATg’ that have been reported in control patients in other reports (7, 14)? There are a number of different possibilities by which weak signals measured in the immunoassay are related not to the binding of the Tg antibody to a small concentration of Tg antigen but rather to the non-specific binding of these antibodies to different components of the medium (20). These well-described ‘matrix effects’ artifacts depend on the antibodies and medium used. Regarding this hypothesis, it is noteworthy that the Tg dosage kit that was used in this report is different from the kits used in the articles that do report low levels of Tg immunoreactivity in negative control patients.

One of our patients showed high level of FNATg but surgery did not find any DTC metastasis. It should be noted, however, that the FNA cytology of the same LN of this patient was also positive, so that hypotheses other than false-positive LN FNATg should be considered here, including failure of surgery to remove the LN that was punctured, failure of the pathologist to identify this LN or even necrosis of a small DTC metastasis after puncture.

Finally, one limitation of our study is that we cannot exclude false-negative determination of FNATg, as the patients with a negative LN cytology and an undetectable
FNA-Tg did not undergo LN surgery. It should be noted that in the seminal study of Pacini et al. (7) who used a less specific assay, no DTC metastasis was identified in patients with an undetectable Tg, suggesting that FNA-Tg is very sensitive for the detection of DTC metastasis.

In conclusion, the data we report here support the notion that LN FNA-Tg, using the method and dosage kit that we used, provides a very specific tool for detection of LN DTC metastasis and that even low levels of FNA-Tg can be related to a DTC metastasis.
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