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Daily insulin requirement of children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes: effect of age, gender, body mass index and mode of therapy
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Abstract

Design: The purpose of this study was to generate insulin dose (ID) percentiles for children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus (DM1) having the opportunity to assess this important parameter in relation to age and sex.

Methods: Daily IDs per weight (ID/kg) were recorded in 22 177 patients with DM1 (3–25 years of age, DM1 duration of more than 2 years, 48% female) and ID percentiles (ID-Perc) were created statistically. The ID-Perc were compared between male and female, and between multiple insulin injection therapy (MIT) and continuous s.c. insulin infusion (CSII). A multivariate regression analysis was performed for ID in the third year of DM1 with ID/kg, body weight, age, gender, and insulin delivery regimen as variables.

Results: The 50th ID-Perc (P50) varied among 0.67 IU/kg (age 3 years), 0.93 IU/kg (13 years), and 0.70 IU/kg (23 years) increasing from early childhood to adolescence and decreasing toward adulthood. Highest P50 ID was found at 12 years in females (0.94 IU/kg) and at 14 years in males (0.92 IU/kg). Using ICT, the ID was significantly higher compared with CSII (P50: 0.94 IU/kg versus 0.79 IU/kg at 13 years). In multivariate regression analysis, ID was significantly (P<0.001) associated with age, gender, and insulin delivery regime.

Conclusion: The ID-Perc were significantly different during various periods of childhood and were influenced by gender, body weight, and insulin injection regimes. Therefore, the presented data 1) provide evidence to interpret individual ID in children and adolescents with DM1 and 2) more specifically identify children with unusually high (insulin resistance and non-compliance) or low (MODY and persistent remission) insulin requirement.
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Introduction

The daily insulin dose (ID) is a substantial parameter for the management of type 1 diabetes mellitus (DM1) in children and adolescents (1). A low ID representing underinsulinization could cause symptoms of insulin deficiency (2, 3) whereas a high ID could be a sign of insulin resistance (4, 5). Both conditions are statistically associated with poor metabolic control. Furthermore, diabetes management might improve if patients with unusually high (non-compliance, insulin resistance) or low (maturity onset of diabetes in the youth (MODY), persistent remission, and type 2 diabetes) insulin requirement could clearly be identified. But until now, there are no age- and gender-specific normative data on daily ID in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes. Moreover, the decision whether an individual ID is abnormally low or high was difficult, because even pediatric guidelines answer this question only superficially (ISPAD consensus guidelines 2007; www.ispad.org).

Furthermore, childhood and adolescence cover phases of growth and pubertal development with substantial changes of metabolic condition. Especially during puberty, physiological insulin resistance increases to some degree (6). On the other hand, obesity is a rising problem also in children with DM1 and insulin resistance is frequent in those obese children. Some diabetes-related complications, for example, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) or hyperlipidemia, are known in insulin resistance as well as in insulin deficiency (7). Therefore, it is very
important for clinical care to classify the ID as low, average, or high in relation to age, gender, body weight (expressed as SDS body mass index = BMISDS), and type of insulin requirement (MIT or CSII) in order to improve the database for the interpretation of the ID. The purpose of this study was to generate representative ID-percentiles (ID-Perc) from a large multicenter cohort of children and adolescents with DM1 and to identify influencing factors.

Subjects and methods
The study included 22,177 children and adolescents between 3 and 23 years of age who had DM1 for at least 2 years. Of them, 48% were female and 52% were male. This report summarizes the data of 222 pediatric diabetes centers in Germany and Austria accumulated in 1995 to March 2006. A computer software based on the FoxPro 9.0 compiler was developed for standardized prospective documentation of children and adolescents with diabetes (Diabetes Patienten Verlaufs dokumentation system) (8). Besides anthropometric parameters, ID per day, metabolic control (HbA1c), and treatment modalities are documented longitudinally by the software. The software allows standardized patient reports as well as local aggregation of data and patient selection according to multiple criteria. Anonymized data are transmitted for central multicenter analysis. Each participating center complies with their local ethical and data management guidelines. Inconsistent data were reported back to the centers twice a year for correction.

ID and insulin injection regime
ID was documented at each appointment as the dispenser dose over a period of 1 week excluding times with acute infections or unusual activities or circumstances (sport camps and fasting situation). The ID was expressed as IU/kg body weight. In patients with MIT, the number of insulin injections, the dosage and the type of insulin were reported. In patients with CSII, the number of basal rate and the daily sum of all boli was used to calculate ID.

Body weight
Body weight was recorded as BMI and the BMI-SDS using the LMS method (9): the median (M) and the coefficient of variation (S) of BMI for German children at each age and gender, whereas the lambda for the skew (L) value allows for the substantial age-dependent skewness in the distribution of BMI (10). Using these references, a BMISDS above 1.9 corresponds to obesity in children and adolescents independent of age or gender.

The ideal body weight (IW) was defined as the weight corresponding to BMISDS of zero based on the height of the child. The ID was correlated to BMISDS and IW and ID-Perc were created with and without adjustment for IW.

Statistical analysis
The SAS 9.1 statistic software package (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA) was used for the descriptive data evaluation. Non-parametric statistical tests (Mann–Whitney U-test/Wilcoxon test) were used. A P < 0.05 was considered as significant. The ID is presented as median and 5th, 10th, 25th, 75th, 90th, and 95th percentile. The associations of ID with age, gender, type of insulin therapy, and body weight were analyzed by multiple regression analysis with ID and age as the dependent variables, including gender, BMISDS, insulin therapy regimen, and number of insulin injections per day as independent variables in each model in the whole study population, including all children and adolescents irrespective of HbA1c levels. The regression analysis was performed for the third year of diabetes duration, a moment when the residual insulin secretion is no longer relevant.

Results
ID-Percentiles
The daily ID percentiles (ID-Perc) are based on 10,647 female and 11,516 male children and adolescents with DM1, representing 77,082 patient years.

Patient characteristics such as the distribution of age, gender, and diabetes duration are presented in Table 1. The ID-Perc for the whole study population showed a minimum ID at 3 years of age (P5 = 0.67 IU/kg), a maximum ID at 13 years of age (P50 = 0.93 IU/kg), followed by a decrease after puberty at 23 years of age (P50 = 0.70 IU/kg). The mean ID (P50) varies 31% over this period of lifetime with a significant increase during puberty (Fig. 1).

ID below the 5th percentile (P5) is characterized as low insulin dosage, in contrast to ID above the 95th percentile (P95) marked as high insulin dosage.

ID and gender
Growth pattern and pubertal development differ in boys and girls. Therefore, ID-Perc were created separately for males and females (Fig. 2). The daily ID (IU/kg) is significantly different for boys and girls between 3 and 18 years of age (P < 0.001; except $P = 0.001$ at 4 years and $P = 0.01$ at 14 years). The ID is higher in girls at 3–13 years of age and higher in boys at 14–18 years of age. The maximum of P50 is significantly different in boys and girls (0.92 IU/kg in male versus 0.94 IU/kg in
female: $P < 0.001$) but the maximum of P95 is not (1.42 IU/kg in male versus 1.41 IU/kg in female). The maximum of P50 and P95 is reached in girls at 12 years of age compared with boys at 14 years of age.

**ID and BMI**

In the third year of diabetes duration, obesity in children and adolescents with DM1 (BMISDS > 1.9) does not significantly increase ID. In contrast, obese children with DM1 need a significantly lower ID per kg body weight and BMISDS > 1.9 had a negative effect in the regression analysis ($\varepsilon = -0.085; P < 0.001$).

**ID and insulin injection regimes**

ID is significantly different in patients with multiple insulin injection therapy (MIT) compared with patients with continuous s.c. insulin infusion (CSII; Fig. 3) between 4 and 22 years of age ($P < 0.001$). P50 for CSII is consistently lower than for MIT. Regarding P95, the range is wide but at 3–5 years of age P95 is even higher in CSII than in MIT.

**Multivariate analysis**

**Associations among ID, age, BMISDS, and number of daily insulin injections** ID per weight and per IW were significantly influenced by age, gender, degree of obesity, insulin injection regimen, and number of insulin injections per day using multivariate linear regression analyses. In these multivariate models, increased BMISDS was associated with a decrease in ID per kg weight (see above).

The influence of body weight on ID was tested by recalculating the daily ID not by per kg body weight, but by per kg ideal body weight (IW). The ID was now significantly higher (e.g., P50 at 13 years 0.91 IU/kg IW versus 0.99 IU/kg; $P < 0.001$) and significantly different for boys and girls between 3 and 14 years of age (e.g., P50 at 13 years δ = 0.96 IU/kg IW versus μ = 1.02 IU/kg IW; $P < 0.001$), but the ID was higher in females throughout the whole period.

**Discussion**

Daily IDs used for the treatment of DM1 vary remarkably during childhood and adolescence (11). Therefore, age- and gender-specific ID-Perc based on 77,094 patient years were created. The study population was not separated into good or insufficient metabolic control to avoid a systemic bias. The aim was to offer more reliable interpretation of the individual ID for health care professionals in the management of juvenile type 1 diabetes (12, 13).
Potential explanations for abnormal insulin requirement are variable. Also diabetes of non-autoimmune origin should be considered if ID is unexpectedly low. In patients with autoantibody negative type 1B diabetes and autosomal dominant inheritance of diabetes, molecular genetic testing for MODY should be considered (14). Furthermore, associated autoimmune diseases in terms of adrenocortical insufficiency (Addison’s disease) often result in decreasing insulin requirement and hypoglycemia in children and adolescents with DM1. Although rare in the general population, it is more common in patients with DM1. The combination of Addison’s disease with DM1 and/or autoimmune thyroid disease is known as autoimmune polyendocrine syndrome type 2. In addition to the ‘classic’ Addisonian features, the development of unexplained recurrent hypoglycemia, reduction in total insulin requirement, improvement in glycemic control, or abnormal pigmentation should arouse suspicion of adrenocortical insufficiency (15). Insulin withdrawal with the intention to manipulate weight is a sign of anorexic behavior, especially in adolescent and young adult female subjects with DM1 (16, 17). Decreasing insulin requirement might be the first sign of anorectic behavior, even before weight loss is evident. Furthermore, normalization of insulin amounts might be another target next to BMI, to control efficiency of psychotherapy in patients with anorexia nervosa. Age-specific ID-Perc are helpful to define expected insulin requirements.

Insulin deficiency invariably results in impaired metabolic control (18, 19). Cardiovascular risk factors such as obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and smoking were associated with poor glycemic control analyzed in 27 358 children and adolescents with DM1 (20). The long-term consequences and complications are well known even in adolescents with DM1: beginning in microangiopathy resulting in diabetic retinopathy, nephropathy, and neuropathy (21, 22) and also growth retardation (23), liver disease, and dyslipidemia (24) (Mauriac syndrome). Insulin deficiency defined as a daily ID below the 5th percentile, results in cut off values between 0.34 (♂ 2 years of age) and 0.58 IU/kg per day (♀ 12 years of age). This wide range is partly due to the difference in insulin sensitivity in relation to age and especially in relation to puberty (25–27). It may also reflect more careful adaptation of the ID in younger children since blood glucose fluctuations are very frequent in preschool children and hypoglycemia awareness is difficult (28–30).

Insulin requirement analyzed in this study is based on the information provided by the patients/families. This applies to our study as well as all other reports in the literature on insulin requirement in outpatients with
any form of diabetes. Reported IDs are the basis for suggested dose adjustments during outpatient visits. Under special psychosocial circumstances, reported IDs may systematically differ from actually administered IDs. Insulin purging is a phenomenon that sometimes occurs in teenage girls with type 1 diabetes trying to loose weight. However, in this situation, actually applied IDs are clearly not reflecting insulin requirements for age. Furthermore, fasting hypoglycemia and postprandial hyperglycemia could be incurred when insulin antibodies with strong affinity and high capacity in high-affinity sites arise (31).

To the contrary, overinsulinization and insulin resistance might be assumed, if the ID is above the 95th percentile. Potential underlying causes are related to the physiology of puberty (32–34) but furthermore, insulin resistance per se might aggravate pathophysiology of autoimmune β-cell destruction and accelerate progression to overt type 1 diabetes (35–37). As known from patients with type 2 diabetes, patients with type 1 diabetes display insulin resistance and high insulin requirement in relation to obesity and low physical activity (38–41). On the other hand, treatment strategies in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes changed rapidly within the last decade also in regards to nutritional recommendations. Introduction of MIT regimens enabled a liberalization of carbohydrate intake under the label of ‘free eating’ (42, 43). But as a consequence, consumption of carbohydrate-rich soft drinks and ordinary sweets raised ID significantly in individual patients.

A treatment option in adolescents with type 1 diabetes and high ID might be the addition of metformin. In a double-blind placebo-controlled trial with 30 randomized adolescents with type 1 diabetes, metabolic control and insulin sensitivity improve significantly (44).

Insulin resistance is physiological during puberty to some extent, for example, to reduce the protein consumption and parallel shift this amount into anabolic effect and growth (45). Serum insulin levels in non-diabetic girls and boys are closely related to clinical stages of puberty, with parallel relationships to androgens or adiponectin (46). Furthermore, there is some evidence for menstrual cycle effects on insulin sensitivity (47). Unfortunately, in our multicenter study, cohort Tanner stages are not documented systematically in all patients, as some physicians believe that routine physical examination undressing the patient disrupts a non-hierarchical patient–doctor communication. Therefore, the ID has not been correlated to pubertal stages, but peak levels of ID at 12 years in girls and 14 years in boys strongly suggest a relation to pubertal development.

Insulin resistance is related to obesity. Therefore, the ID was adjusted and recalculated in relation to ‘ideal body weight’ (BMISDS=0). Using this method, the maximum ID is not only significantly earlier but also higher in girls than in boys mirroring the higher prevalence of overweight and obesity in girls with DM1 especially around puberty (data not shown). In contrast, the negative effect of obesity in the multivariate linear regression analyses on ID contradicts the hypothesis that obesity in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes additionally influences the insulin requirement (35). Possible explanations for this observation include the relatively low insulin concentration in the portal vein compared with peripheral insulin levels due to s.c. insulin injection, or altered body composition due to anabolic insulin effects on muscle tissue.

It is well known that different insulin application forms result in different ID (48, 49). CSII could deliver fasting and prandial insulin in a more physiological fashion than MIT. Therefore, the ID is significantly lower in CSII than in MIT (50) except for children at preschool age. This finding is due to a different indication for CSII in preschool children compared with older children and adolescents (51). Since CSII is difficult to supervise in very young children, it is usually a poor metabolic control indication with unsuccessful trial of any other therapeutic regimens (52, 53).

Figure 3 Median insulin requirement (IU, insulin per kilogram body weight and day): separated for insulin injection therapy (solid line) and continuous s.c. insulin infusion (dashed line).
In summary, these detailed percentiles of daily insulin requirement in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes will support clinical experience to adjust treatment regimens, providing objective data to enable diabetes care professionals in the interpretation of individual insulin requirements. In addition, differential diagnoses to other types of diabetes will be facilitated and objective evidence for prospective clinical trials is provided.
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