Total adiponectin and adiponectin multimeric complexes in relation to weight loss-induced improvements in insulin sensitivity in obese women: the NUGENOB study
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Abstract

Aim: Adiponectin increases insulin sensitivity, protects arterial walls against atherosclerosis, and regulates glucose metabolism, and is decreased in obese, insulin resistant, and type 2 diabetic patients. Adiponectin circulates in plasma as high, medium, and low molecular weight forms (HMW, MMW, and LMW). The HMW form was suggested to be closely associated with insulin sensitivity. This study investigated whether diet-induced changes in insulin sensitivity were associated with changes in adiponectin multimeric complexes.

Subjects: Twenty obese women with highest and twenty obese women with lowest diet induced changes in insulin sensitivity (responders and non-responders respectively), matched for weight loss (body mass index (BMI) = 34.5 (s.d. 2.9) resp. 36.5 kg/m² (s.d. 4.0) for responders and non-responders), were selected from 292 women who underwent a 10-week low-caloric diet (LCD; 600 kcal/d less than energy requirements). Plasma HMW, MMW, and LMW forms of adiponectin were quantified using Western blot method.

Results: LCD induced comparable weight reduction in responders and non-responders by 8.2 and 7.6 kg. Homeostasis model assessment insulin resistance index decreased by 48.1% in responders and remained unchanged in non-responders. Total plasma adiponectin and the quantity of HMW and MMW remained unchanged in both groups, while LMW increased by 16.3% in non-responders. No differences between both groups were observed at baseline and after the study. Total plasma adiponectin, MMW, and LMW forms of adiponectin were quantified using Western blot method.

Conclusion: No differences in total plasma adiponectin, HMW, MMW, and LMW forms were observed between responders and non-responders following 10-week LCD, suggesting that adiponectin is not a major determinant of weight loss-induced improvements in insulin sensitivity.

Introduction

Obesity is often associated with whole-body low-grade pro-inflammatory state, insulin resistance and a number of metabolic disturbances together defined as the metabolic syndrome. In the search for mechanisms that help explain the link between obesity, insulin resistance, and metabolic complications, it has been suggested that endocrine substances produced by adipose tissue (adipocytokines) might play a role (1–3). Adiponectin is a 30 kDa plasma protein secreted by mature adipocytes (4) representing 0.01% of total plasma proteins (5). Plasma adiponectin levels have been shown to be reduced in type 2 diabetic patients (6), insulin-resistant subjects (7), obese individuals (5), as well as in coronary heart disease patients (6). These findings suggest that adiponectin has insulin-sensitizing and anti-atherosclerotic effects (8). In human plasma, adiponectin circulates in distinct multimeric complexes forming trimeric low molecular weight (LMW), hexameric medium molecular weight (MMW), and oligomeric high molecular weight (HMW) complexes.
However, using different analytical methods, some authors distinguished only two adiponectin isoforms: LMW and HMW (7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 2). Adiponectin cell surface receptors (AdipoR1, AdipoR2) are expressed in muscle, liver, and adipose tissue (13, 14). In mouse myocytes and hepatocytes, adiponectin stimulates phosphorylation and the activation of 5'-AMP-activated protein kinase (15), a key regulatory enzyme in glucose and lipid metabolism, inducing glucose uptake and fatty acid oxidation in muscle (16, 15) and reducing hepatic gluconeogenesis (17). Activation of different cellular transduction pathways seems to be specific for different multimeric complexes in different organs (18, 19).

Previous investigations revealed that plasma HMW adiponectin levels are positively associated with insulin sensitivity indices (11, 20, 12, 21, 22) and plasma high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL C), while negatively associated with body mass index (BMI) and central body fat mass (11). Mutations in the adiponectin gene associated with impaired formation of HMW complexes have been phenotypically connected with hypoadiponectinemia and type 2 diabetes in humans (19).

Low-calorie diet-induced weight loss (supported with physical activity in some studies) is the corner stone of the management of obese patients and is associated with improved insulin sensitivity (23–25). In this context, the role of distribution of adiponectin multimeric complexes has attracted interest. Recently published studies of changes in adiponectin multimeric complexes following dietary intervention have yielded contradictory results; some showing no changes in their distribution (26), while others found increased quantities of HMW, MMW, and LMW complexes (9, 27). These conflicting results might be partially attributable to small sample sizes and/or the inclusion of both men and women in the above-mentioned studies, as gender differences in total plasma adiponectin and in the quantity of multimeric complexes have been demonstrated (6). In fact, some previous studies reported specific association between the HMW form and the insulin sensitivity (9, 28), while others failed to show such a relationship (26).

The aim of this study was to investigate whether changes in insulin sensitivity promoted by diet-induced weight reduction were associated with parallel changes in quantities of adiponectin multimeric complexes. Plasma levels of adiponectin multimeric complexes were compared in two groups of obese women who were selected based on contrasting responses of insulin sensitivity to weight reduction.

Materials and methods

Subjects

A group of 292 female obese subjects participating in the low-fat diet arm of the NUGENOB study (29, 30) were used for subsequent selection of ‘responders’ and ‘non-responders’ in this study, as described below. During the intervention 41 women were dropped out. Only subjects fulfilling the following inclusion criteria were found eligible for this study: Caucasian race, BMI = 30–40 kg/m², age 20–50 years, free from any chronic medication treatment (including oral contraceptives), stable body weight for 3 months prior to the study beginning, normal fasting plasma glucose (<126 mg/dl), and insulin (<2.3 pmol/l) levels. From the eligible 54 subjects, both groups of responders and non-responders were randomly selected from upper (responders) or lower (non-responders) half of this group divided by changes in homeostasis model assessment insulin resistance index (HOMA-IR). A group of 20 women with the highest reduction in HOMA-IR (31) index (range: 0.85–2.30) are referred to as ‘responders’, a group of 20 women with the lowest reduction in HOMA-IR index (range: −0.25 to 0.53) are referred to as ‘non-responders’ in this study.

Control non-obese subjects were randomly selected from a group of 85 control female subjects enrolled in the NUGENOB study. The eligibility criteria were: Caucasian race, BMI 18.5–25 kg/m², age 20–50 years, free from any chronic medication treatment (including oral contraceptives), stable body weight for 3 months prior to the study beginning, and normal fasting plasma glucose level (<126 mg/dl).

Subjects were recruited through the media, from waiting lists, by self referral or referral from a general physician or other clinical units and local obesity organizations in the United Kingdom (Nottingham), the Netherlands (Maastricht), France (Paris and Toulouse), Spain (Pamplona), Czech Republic (Prague), Sweden (Stockholm), and Denmark (Copenhagen).

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of each center and all subjects gave written informed consent before participating in the study. The NUGENOB trial is registered at www.controlled-trials.com under the number ISRCTN25867281.

Diet intervention

The target macronutrient composition of the diet was: 20–25% of total energy from fat, 15% from protein, and 60–65% from carbohydrate. The diet was designed to provide 600 kcal/d less than the individually estimated energy requirement, which was based on pre-treatment resting metabolic rate multiplied by a physical activity level coefficient of 1.3, assuming a sedentary life style. The dietary program is described in detail on the website http://www.nugenob.org and in a previously published paper (36). Obese women involved in this study underwent a 10-week low-caloric low-fat diet intervention. Anthropometric measurements, resting energy expenditure measurements, a standardized clinical investigation, as well as plasma samples for later analysis (stored at −80 °C) were obtained at the beginning (not longer than 14 days before the beginning of the dietary counseling)
and at the end of the tenth week of the study protocol. Participants were requested to abstain from alcohol consumption. The dietary instructions were reinforced and monitored and participants were weighed weekly. Participants were advised to follow their habitual physical activity patterns throughout the dietary intervention period. A 3-day weighed food record representing 2 weekdays and 1 weekend day was obtained before the intervention started and during the last week of the intervention. One day food records were obtained and analyzed by a dietitian during the regular follow-up counseling visits in the second, fifth and seventh week. The dietary records were analyzed using the country-specific food nutrient database routinely used in each center.

**Anthropometric and biochemical analysis**

Body height was measured with a calibrated stadiometer. Fat mass and fat-free mass were assessed by multifrequency bioimpedance technique (Bodystat: QuadScan 4000, Isle of Man, British Isles). All blood analyses (except adiponectin and adiponectin multimeric complexes) were conducted independently of the allocated intervention groups in core facilities at the Department of Human Biology. Nutrition Research Centre NUTRIM, Maastricht University, and Medical Laboratories (Dr Stein and colleagues, Monchengladbach, Germany). Plasma glucose and lipid concentrations were measured with standard enzymatic techniques on a COBAS FARA centrifugal spectrophotometer (Roche Diagnostica), triglycerides (TG; Sigma) total cholesterol (cholesterol 100, ABX Diagnostics), triglycerides (TG; Sigma) total cholesterol (cholesterol 100, ABX Diagnostics), HDL C (Roche). Fasting plasma low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL C) was calculated using the formula of Friedewald et al. (LDL cholesterol = (Total cholesterol – HDL cholesterol – (TG/5)) (32)). Fasting plasma insulin concentration was measured with a double-antibody RIA (Insulin, RIA 100, Kabi Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden). Total plasma adiponectin concentration was measured using an Adiponectin Human ELISA kit (Biovendor Laboratory Medicine Inc., Modrice, Czech Republic; coefficient of variability 4.1%).

**Adiponectin multimeric complexes quantification**

Samples (10 µl) of plasma diluted (1:2) with Laemmli sample buffer (without β-mercaptoethanol and SDS) were resolved using native PAGE under non-reducing, non-denaturing conditions, as previously described in other studies (33, 27, 19). Proteins were then western blotted to a nitrocellulose membrane, blocked for 1 h with 5% low-fat milk in a PBS with 0.5% Tween (PBS-T) and incubated overnight with primary human adiponectin antibody diluted 1:1000 in 1% low-fat milk in PBS-T (Anti-Human Adiponectin Rabbit Polyclonal Antibody, Biovendor Laboratory Medicine Inc.). A second incubation (45 min) was carried out with secondary antibody (goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulinG conjugated with horseradish peroxidase, Jackson ImmunoResearch Europe Ltd, Cambridge, UK) diluted 1:10 000 in 1% low-fat milk in PBS-T. Band detection using a chemiluminescent substrate (Luminol, Sigma–Aldrich) (34) was done on a FujiFilm LAS 1000 detection system (Fuji Photo Film Co. Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). Band intensities were analyzed using AIDA Software (Raytest, Isotopenmessgeraete GmbH, Berlin, Germany). Plasma samples taken before and after the intervention were run on the same gel in duplicates. Signal intensities from the duplicate samples were averaged and used for statistical analysis. Native molecular weight standards (Protein Markers for Native PAGE, Serva, Heidelberg, Germany) and recombinant adiponectin (Adiponectin Human-HEK, Biovendor Laboratory Medicine Inc.) were also run on each gel. The individual signal intensity of each band was normalized using the intensity of the MMW form of the recombinant adiponectin protein. The standard was run using the identical concentration on all the gels. Coefficient of variability of the western blot analysis was 7.5%. We are aware that all western blot analyses have a semi-quantitative character. However, before versus after comparisons are meaningful, assuming plasma samples derived before and after the intervention are run on the same gel. Consequently western blots provide a useful analytical tool for analyses of all adiponectin multimeric complexes in human plasma, as has been demonstrated by other studies (26, 27).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 12.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The effect of intervention was tested using the Wilcoxon test for paired observations for all studied variables. Differences in the effect of dietary intervention between responders and non-responders were analyzed using two-sample Mann–Whitney test. Comparison of baseline values between controls and groups undergoing intervention was done using two-sample Mann–Whitney test. Univariate correlations were analyzed using the Pearson’s correlation test with or without controlling for fat mass. As no differences in anthropometrical or biochemical data were observed between responders and non-responders at baseline, values of both groups were pooled together for the analysis of baseline associations. The HOMA-IR index was computed following the equation: ((fasting glucose(mmol/l)) × fasting insulin(µU/ml))/22.5. Data are presented as mean ± s.d. A level of P ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant in all tests.
Table 1 Energy intake and macronutrient intake in responders and non-responders before and at the end of a 10-week dietary intervention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Responders (n=20)</th>
<th>Non-responders (n=20)</th>
<th>Responders versus non-responders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>After LCD</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy intake (kcal/day)</td>
<td>1897.4±549.8</td>
<td>1371.1±297.5*</td>
<td>1942.4±384.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat energy (%)</td>
<td>36.4±6.7</td>
<td>24.4±4.5*</td>
<td>36.7±7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate energy (%)</td>
<td>45.4±9.2</td>
<td>57.0±4.6*</td>
<td>45.8±8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein energy (%)</td>
<td>16.9±8.6</td>
<td>18.1±1.8</td>
<td>16.7±5.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean±s.d.* P<0.001 and †P<0.05 for paired comparisons before versus after LCD intervention (Wilcoxon test). Responders versus non-responders column shows P values of Mann–Whitney test comparing the differences in the changes of variables between responders and non-responders.

Results

Dietary intervention and changes in anthropometric and biochemical parameters

The energy intake and macronutrient composition of the diet before the beginning of the study and during the last week of the intervention are summarized in Table 1, indicating that diet composition changed from baseline (the effect of the intervention), but no differences in the diet composition were observed between the two groups at baseline or at the end of the intervention.

Anthropometric and biochemical characteristics of the subjects before and after the dietary intervention are summarized in Table 2. Ten weeks of low-calorie diet (LCD) resulted in a reduction of body weight, BMI, fat mass, and waist circumference by 8.1, 8.2, 13.7, and 6.4% respectively in obese women (responders and non-responders grouped together). No significant differences in baseline or diet-induced changes of these parameters were observed between responders and non-responders.

There were no differences in baseline or diet-induced changes in metabolic and hormonal variables between the two groups, except diet-induced decreases in plasma insulin levels that were higher in responders compared with non-responders as designed and plasma TG, which were reduced in responders but remained unchanged in non-responders. Leptin levels significantly decreased following the LCD in responders as well as non-responders with no differences between both groups. HOMA-IR decreased in responders by 48.1% (P<0.05) and in non-responders by 6.3% (P<0.05) following LCD (according to the selection criteria for responders and non-responders).

Total plasma adiponectin and adiponectin multimeric complexes

Total plasma adiponectin levels were not different between responders and non-responders at baseline and at the end of the study. Compared with obese
subjects (responders and non-responders together), control lean individuals had higher plasma levels of total adiponectin by 19.3% (P<0.05). Diet intervention did not induce changes in total plasma adiponectin levels either in responders or in non-responders.

The quantity of the HMW, MMW, and LMW forms was not different between the responders and non-responders at baseline or at the end of the study. Control lean subjects showed higher levels of the MMW and LMW forms by 84.3 and 45.2% (both P<0.05) compared with non-responders at the beginning of the study, while no significant differences were observed between responders and control subjects. Absolute quantity of the LMW form was increased by 16.3% (P<0.05) following the LCD in non-responders. No other changes in the quantity of HMW or MMW forms were detected. Data are summarized in Table 3.

### Relationship between the total plasma adiponectin and anthropometric/metabolic variables

In obese subjects (responders and non-responders together), total plasma adiponectin at baseline was negatively associated with waist circumference, fasting insulin levels, HOMA-IR index, and TG/HDL C ratio (r = −0.29, −0.27, −0.29, and −0.44 respectively, all P<0.05) and positively associated with HDL cholesterol (r=0.4, P<0.01). When controlling for fat mass in the analyses, only association between plasma adiponectin, HDL cholesterol and TG/HDL ratio remained significant. No other associations between the total plasma adiponectin and the analyzed biochemical and anthropometrical parameters were observed.

### Relationships between adiponectin multimeric complexes and anthropometric/metabolic variables

In obese subjects (responders and non-responders together), the LMW and MMW forms were negatively associated with fat mass (r = −0.30 and −0.36 respectively, P<0.05), BMI (r = −0.30 and −0.34 respectively, P<0.05), waist circumference (r = −0.28 and −0.38 respectively, P<0.05), and fasting insulin (r = −0.29 and −0.26 respectively, P<0.05) at the beginning of the study. HOMA-IR index was associated with the MMW form at the beginning of the study (r = −0.28, P<0.05); an association with the LMW form was of borderline significance (r = −0.24, P=0.07). These associations were not significant when controlled for fat mass or when responders and non-responders were analyzed separately. No associations between the HMW form and the anthropometrical or biochemical parameters at baseline were present. Diet-induced changes in the analyzed anthropometric and biochemical parameters were not associated with changes in the absolute or relative quantity of adiponectin multimeric complexes in responders or non-responders.

### Discussion

The present study investigates for the first time in a retrospective design the diet-induced changes in insulin resistance related to plasma adiponectin and levels of adiponectin multimeric complexes. Responders and non-responders (2×20 subjects) with respect to their HOMA-IR index changes following the dietary intervention were selected from 292 women who participated in a multicentre interventional study NUGENOBS. When baseline and post-intervention changes in total plasma adiponectin and its multimeric forms were compared, no significant differences were detected between the responders and the non-responders. It has to be emphasized here that this retrospective approach enabled defining unique groups of subjects, so that baseline as well as diet-induced changes of variables related to insulin sensitivity (body weight, fat mass, BMI, waist circumference, fasting plasma glucose, free fatty acids) were not statistically different between both groups, while insulin sensitivity was improved only in responders, mainly due to changes in fasting plasma insulin levels. It is thus not plausible to explain the opposite evolution of HOMA-IR index in both

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Responders (n=20)</th>
<th>Non-responders (n=20)</th>
<th>Controls (n=20)</th>
<th>Responders versus non-responders</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>After LCD</td>
<td>Change</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>After LCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adiponectin (ug/ml)</td>
<td>7.1±2.7</td>
<td>6.7±2.5</td>
<td>0.3±0.9</td>
<td>7.6±2.8</td>
<td>7.8±2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMW form (QL)</td>
<td>14.7±13.4</td>
<td>15.6±14.6</td>
<td>0.9±5.0</td>
<td>9.9±6.6</td>
<td>9.6±6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMW form (QL)</td>
<td>17.1±13.8</td>
<td>17.5±14.9</td>
<td>2.8±8.0</td>
<td>13.4±10.5</td>
<td>13.6±11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMW form (QL)</td>
<td>15.5±8.9</td>
<td>16.4±9.2</td>
<td>1.7±10.7</td>
<td>13.5±6.8</td>
<td>15.7±8.9*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean±s.d.; HMW, high molecular weight form; MMW, medium molecular weight form; LMW, low molecular weight form; QL, quantity of light units normalized by reference QL of recombinant adiponectin MMW; LCD, low calorie diet. *P<0.05 for paired comparisons before versus after (Wilcoxon test). †P<0.01 for comparisons of baseline values between non-responders and controls (Mann–Whitney test). Responders versus non-responders column shows P values of Mann–Whitney test comparing the differences in the changes of variables between responders and non-responders.
the groups in terms of different reduction in the analyzed anthropometric variables. Despite the published associations between adiponectin levels and parameters of insulin sensitivity at baseline (11, 20, 12, 21, 22), the precise position of adiponectin in diet-induced changes in insulin resistance remain undisclosed. If adiponectin plays a dominant role in weight loss-induced changes in insulin sensitivity, we would expect to observe different adiponectin plasma levels or adiponectin multimeric complex quantities between responders and non-responders. However, this hypothesis was not supported by data from the present study. Based on the results of this study, it can be concluded that adiponectin seems not to be a major determinant of the weight loss-induced changes in whole-body insulin sensitivity in women.

Responders and non-responders achieved a comparable reduction of body weight (8.7 and 7.8%), BMI (8.7 and 7.7%), and fat mass (15.3 and 12.6%), suggesting a good compliance with the dietary protocol in both the groups. Plasma lipid profile parameters were also influenced as expected in both the groups. Quantity of the changes in anthropometrical variables are in the range observed in other interventional studies with comparable dietary protocols (35, 36) as well as those in the whole NUGENOB study (30).

The results currently available in the literature concerning changes in total plasma adiponectin concentration after weight loss are inconsistent. Unchanged levels of total plasma adiponectin following liposuction (37) or during a moderate weight loss were found in several studies (26, 38, 9), while an increase in plasma adiponectin following extensive weight reductions by bariatric surgery (39, 28, 40, 41) or intensive lifestyle counseling (42) were described by others.

Five papers on weight loss-induced changes in plasma distribution of adiponectin multimeric complexes have been published so far (four dietary interventions, are bariatric surgery intervention), showing no changes in distribution of multimeric complexes (26), isolated increase of the HMW form (28), an increase in the HMW and MMW forms (9, 43), or an increase in all the multimeric forms (27). However, there are differences in the male/female proportion and methods used for the analysis of multimeric complexes among these studies that should not be overlooked. In the present study, we investigated the largest number of subjects so far, consisting of 40 subjects (responders and non-responders). Because marked differences in total plasma adiponectin and its multimeric complexes were reported between men and women (women showing higher values of total adiponectin and HMW form) (6), we decided to investigate only female subjects in this study to reduce the inter-individual variability. We observed no diet-induced changes in adiponectin multimeric complexes following diet-induced weight loss, which support the findings of Abbasi et al. (26) but contrasts to the results of Bobbert et al. (9) study. It should be emphasized here that differences exist in the methods used for native electrophoresis between laboratories and even minor differences at this crucial step might produce profound differences in multimeric complexes distribution (44).

Similar to other studies (5, 45, 6, 46, 20, 21), a strong negative associations between total plasma adiponectin and indices of insulin sensitivity (HOMA-IR index and fasting insulin levels) were found in the present study by a univariate correlation analysis at baseline when obese subjects were investigated (responders and non-responders together). Among the analyzed multimeric complexes, a negative association between the LMW and MMW forms and baseline fasting insulin levels and between HOMA-IR index and the MMW forms were observed in our study. However, these correlations remained non-significant when controlling for body fat mass, suggesting that adiposity has an important impact on total plasma adiponectin, its multimeric complexes as well as insulin sensitivity and that associations between insulin resistance and adiponectin (total as well as multimeric isoforms) might be only reflecting the excessive adipose tissue stores. We also analyzed the association between the TG/HDL C ratio, which was recently shown to be useful in assessing insulin resistance and risk of atherosclerosis (48, 49), and total plasma adiponectin. TG/HDL ratio at baseline was strongly inversely associated with total plasma adiponectin level, independently on body weight, but not with any of the multimeric complexes in obese subjects (responders and non-responders pooled together). Diet-induced changes in the TG/HDL ratio were not associated with changes in plasma adiponectin levels or levels of multimeric complexes separately in responders and non-responders. These findings suggest that adiponectin might participate in a regulation of lipid metabolism at baseline. However, weight-loss induced changes in lipid metabolism seem to be induced mainly by changes in adiposity.

In agreement with the previous findings (26, 9), we observed neither diet-induced changes of HMW, MMW, or LMW form nor their association with changes of parameters of insulin sensitivity. The only diet-responsive form was the LMW form in a group of non-responders with 16.3% increase following the intervention, which is congruent with the results of our previous study (27), where the LMW form was predominantly up-regulated following low-calorie diet. However, this isolated statistical significance might also be a matter of chance. Another possible explanation why associations between changes in insulin sensitivity and adiponectin levels were not observed in our study is that HOMA-IR in investigated subjects was within the normal range, i.e., subjects were not insulin resistant despite being diagnosed as obese. It can be hypothesized that changes in insulin sensitivity achieved in insulin-resistant populations might be more strongly associated with changes in adiponectin (and its multimeric complexes) levels. Furthermore, insulin sensitivity...
might be improved through changes in other plasma cytokines (interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor, leptin) (50, 47) or a reduction in fat cell size and intrahepatic lipid content (36). Among these, leptin was analyzed in our study showing an expected decrease following intervention in both groups but no differences between both groups were observed, suggesting that neither leptin is discriminating responders from non-responders. Additionally caloric restriction per se was also shown to improve insulin sensitivity (51).

It is also possible that associations of changes in HMW, MMW, and LMW forms with changes in insulin sensitivity might be more specific to thiazolidindione (TZD) treatment than to LCD (52, 43, 12). As intervention-induced changes in HOMA-IR, fasting glucose and insulin levels were closely associated with parallel changes in fat mass, \( r = 0.58, 0.68, \) and 0.47, all \( P < 0.05 \) in responders, it can be suggested that changes in adiposity became major determinants of changes in insulin sensitivity, probably independent of adiponectin. Similar regulation was suggested during the exercise-induced weight loss in another study (53).

HOMA-IR, like any other index of insulin sensitivity based on fasting levels of insulin and glucose is evaluating mostly hepatic insulin sensitivity. As HOMA-IR does not evaluate insulin-stimulated glucose uptake, which takes place predominantly in skeletal muscle after glucose administration, any dietary intervention-induced changes in skeletal-muscle glucose uptake remained undisclosed. Although it has been shown that HOMA-IR correlates surprisingly well with the insulin sensitivity indices obtained using hyperinsulinemic clamp technique in obese individuals (54), it is necessary to note that HOMA-IR is largely dependent on fasting insulin levels. Excursions of insulin levels after several hormonal and metabolic stimuli together with a relatively short serum half-life and pulsatile secretion are responsible for the observed variability of HOMA in obese individuals, where coefficient of variability equal to 0.58 was reported compared with 0.28 in lean subjects (54). It has also been demonstrated that the association between HOMA-IR and clamp-derived indices might be diminished in lean (insulin sensitive) as well as severely insulin-resistant subjects (type 2 diabetes) (54).

The HMW form has been suggested to be physiologically the most potent form of adiponectin and might be the form responsible for its beneficial insulin-sensitizing and anti-atherosclerotic effects (43, 12). It has been shown that the ratios of HMW/total adiponectin and HMW/LMW are plausible indicators of TZD-induced changes in insulin sensitivity (12). The quantity of the HMW form in our study was not different between obese responders and non-responders at baseline. Our results thus do not support the hypothesis of a predominant role of the HMW form in influencing insulin sensitivity and interpretation of the HMW/total adiponectin ratio results should be performed with caution.

In conclusion, total plasma adiponectin together with the MMW and LMW forms (borderline significance) were found negatively associated with indices of insulin resistance at baseline in obese women. No differences in total plasma adiponectin or multimeric complexes were observed between subjects with the highest and lowest change of HOMA-IR index following a 10-week hypenergetic diet. Further studies elucidating the physiological relevance and function of multimeric complexes of adiponectin with respect to obesity and insulin resistance are warranted.
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