Expression of ghrelin gene in peripheral blood mononuclear cells and plasma ghrelin concentrations in patients with metabolic syndrome
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Abstract

Objective: We examined the expression of ghrelin and ghrelin receptors in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) and evaluated the effect of weight loss or exercise on plasma ghrelin concentrations in subjects with the metabolic syndrome.

Design and methods: Data from 75 overweight/obese subjects randomized to a weight loss, aerobic exercise, resistance exercise or control group for a 33-week intervention period were analysed. The plasma ghrelin concentrations and indices of insulin and glucose metabolism were assessed, and mRNA expression of ghrelin, its receptors and various cytokines in PBMCs was studied using real-time PCR.

Results: Ghrelin and GH secretagogue receptor 1b were expressed in PBMCs of subjects with metabolic syndrome. Ghrelin gene expression correlated positively with the expressions of tumour necrosis factor-α (P<0.001), interleukin-1β (P<0.001) and interleukin-6 (P=0.026) during the study, but was not associated with the plasma ghrelin concentration. Genotype-specific ghrelin gene expression in PBMCs was found for the −604G/A and the −501A/C polymorphisms in the ghrelin gene. At baseline, the plasma ghrelin levels were associated with fasting serum insulin concentrations, insulin sensitivity index and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. However, longitudinally weight, BMI or waist circumference and acute insulin response in i.v. glucose tolerance test were stronger predictors of the ghrelin concentration. Plasma ghrelin did not change over the study period in the weight reduction group, but it tended to decrease in the control group (P=0.050).

Conclusions: Ghrelin mRNA expression in PBMCs suggests an autocrine role for ghrelin within an immune microenvironment. Moderate long-term weight loss may prevent a decline in ghrelin concentration over time in individuals with metabolic syndrome.
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Introduction

Ghrelin, a 28-amino acid peptide, may influence the development of obesity and the metabolic syndrome through its role in the control of energy balance, food intake and regulation of body weight (1, 2). Insulin itself may also modulate the secretion of ghrelin (3, 4). Ghrelin is the only known endogenous ligand for the growth hormone secretagogue receptor (GHSR), and it strongly stimulates the release of growth hormone (GH) from the pituitary gland (5).

Acylation on the third serine residue of the ghrelin peptide is essential for at least the majority of its biological activities and the vast majority of circulating ghrelin has been found to be non-acylated (2).

The human ghrelin gene is located at the chromosomal locus 3p26–p25, and the prepro-hormone is encoded by five exons (2). In several studies, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the ghrelin gene have been associated with obesity (6–9), blood pressure (10), type 2 diabetes (11–14) and the metabolic syndrome (15, 16), although the results have not been consistent. To our knowledge, there are no studies showing genotype-specific expression of ghrelin in any tissue.

Although ghrelin is predominantly produced by the stomach, substantially lower amounts of ghrelin
expression have been detected in most human organs and tissues (5, 17). Apart from regulation of GH release and energy balance, ghrelin may also modulate the immune system (18, 19).

The effects of ghrelin are mediated via the GHSR (20). Two distinct ghrelin receptor transcripts are known: GHSR type 1a acts as ghrelin receptor, whereas type 1b is a truncated form of the GHSR 1a and pharmacologically inactive (20). The GHSR 1a is expressed mainly in the hypothalamus and pituitary gland (17, 21), while the GHSR type 1b mRNA expression has also been found in many peripheral organs (21), including immune cells (18, 19), indicating that ghrelin may have multiple functions in these tissues but with yet unknown importance.

Circulating total and acylated ghrelin levels are reduced in obesity (22–24) and metabolic syndrome (25, 26), and among obese subjects, the total plasma ghrelin concentrations have been reported to be lower in insulin-resistant patients (27), but the results have been variable and conflicting in different studies (28–30). The lower levels of ghrelin were associated with higher prevalence of the metabolic syndrome, with progressively lower levels as the number of components of the metabolic syndrome increased (31, 32), and others showed associations between acylated ghrelin and serum insulin and insulin resistance (33). Generally, plasma ghrelin is inversely associated with insulin concentrations and measures of adiposity and directly with insulin sensitivity and HDL cholesterol concentrations (34–37).

So far, only total plasma ghrelin levels have been investigated in diet-induced and combined exercise/diet weight loss studies. Previous studies have shown either an increase in ghrelin concentrations in obese subjects (30, 38–43) or no change in overweight healthy adults (44) or obese children (45, 46). However, during weight maintenance after weight loss, the ghrelin levels decreased back to the levels before weight loss (47). Even an initial decrease along with weight loss and a subsequent increase in plasma ghrelin has been reported (48).

Effect of exercise on circulating ghrelin levels has been inconsistent (49). In trials investigating overweight or obese subjects, total ghrelin was unaffected by short-term exercise, whereas acylated ghrelin increased (50). During a long-term exercise intervention aiming at exercise-induced weight loss, total plasma ghrelin increased (51, 52), whereas acylated ghrelin remained unchanged (52).

The aims of our study were 1) to examine the expression of ghrelin and ghrelin receptors in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), 2) to evaluate the clinical and genetic determinants of ghrelin expression in PBMCs, 3) to evaluate the associations with expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines, 4) to evaluate the clinical and genetic determinants of plasma ghrelin concentrations and 5) to test the effects of weight reduction or exercise intervention on the plasma ghrelin levels in subjects with impaired fasting glycaemia or impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) and the metabolic syndrome. Furthermore, PBMCs from venous blood samples are the most accessible tissue for analysis of gene expression and least demanding for the patients compared with biopsies of other tissues.

Materials and methods

Subjects and study design

Originally, the Genobin study included 75 overweight or obese (BMI 28–40 kg/m²) men and women aged 40 to 70 years with impaired fasting glucose (IFG) or IGT and at least two other features of metabolic syndrome according to the Adult Treatment Panel III criteria as modified by the AHA (53): waist circumference > 102 cm (males)/> 88 cm (females), fasting serum triacylglycerol concentration > 1.7 mmol/l, fasting serum HDL cholesterol < 1.0 mmol/l (males)/< 1.3 mmol/l (females) and blood pressure > 130/80 mmHg. IFG was defined as fasting plasma glucose concentration 5.6–7.0 mmol/l, and IGT was defined as 2-h plasma glucose concentration 7.8–11.0 mmol/l and fasting plasma glucose < 7.1 mmol/l. The study subjects were recruited through newspaper advertisement and additionally subjects from previous studies, who indicated interest in future studies were contacted. The subjects were then randomized to one of the following groups: weight reduction (WR, n = 28), aerobic exercise training (AE, n = 15), resistance exercise training (RE, n = 14) or control group (CG, n = 18). The subjects were randomized at the Department of Clinical Nutrition (WR, n = 6 and CG, n = 7) and at Kuopio Research Institute of Exercise Medicine (WR, n = 22; CG, n = 11; AE, n = 15 and RE, n = 14). The subjects were matched for age, sex and the status of glucose metabolism. In addition, 11 normal weight persons (mean age 48 ± 9 years, mean BMI 23.7 ± 1.9 kg/m²) were recruited. The intervention was performed in accordance with the standards of the Helsinki Declaration. The Ethics Committee of the District Hospital Region of Northern Savo and Kuopio University Hospital approved the study plan, and all participants gave written informed consent.

Interventions

Weight reduction programme The duration of the intervention varied between 32 and 38 weeks (mean duration 33 ± 1 weeks). The WR group had a 12-week intensive weight reduction period based on individual counselling by a clinical nutritionist (53). Adjustments on subjects’ diet were made in order to encourage a higher intake of fruits and vegetables and up to 30% of total fat intake resulting in a mean deficit in caloric
intake of about 500 kJ/d. The minimum aim for the following study weeks 12–33 was to maintain the weight loss achieved based on the same dietary prescription. Subjects were asked to keep their habitual level of physical exercise unchanged.

**Exercise training** In exercise training groups, the individualized and progressive training programmes were prescribed based on measured cardiorespiratory fitness level and muscular strength levels. Isometric muscular strength levels for lower extremity and handgrip were measured, and cycle ergometer test measuring VO₂ max and balance test were performed at baseline and at the end of the intervention period in both training groups. In addition, the subjects were asked to fill in physical activity diaries during the entire intervention.

The subjects in the AE group had first a 4- to 8-week accustoming period. During this phase, the subjects were asked to exercise twice or thrice per week for 30 min at low intensity per session. After that, training frequency was increased to a minimum of four times per week for at least 30 min per session and at 55–65% of the maximum level. The participants had follow-up visits once a month throughout the study.

The RE group had first a 2 week accustoming period in order to get used to the muscle training equipments. Thereafter, the muscle strength was measured by using five repetition maximum (RM) tests. Based on these measurements, training programmes were prescribed. During the first month, participants were given instructions to perform two training sessions per week at 60% load of 1-RM, 1 × 16 repetitions. The programme for the next three months was planned to include three to four training sessions per week at 70% of 1-RM, 1 × 12 repetitions. The last months included three to four training sessions per week at 70% 1-RM, 2 × 10–12 repetitions. The muscle strength was measured by 5-RM tests before every modification in the programme and at the end of the programme. The participants also had follow-up visits once a month and were advised to keep their diet habits unchanged during the whole intervention period.

**Control group** The subjects in the control group were advised to continue their normal lifestyle during the study and to keep their diet and exercise habits unchanged.

**Medical examinations and measurements**

At screening, the health status and medical history of the subjects were examined by an interview and laboratory examinations were carried out (53). All subjects kept a 4-day food record at baseline and at the end of the study (week 32). At baseline and at the end of the intervention period, a 2-h oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was performed with 75 g glucose per os (glucosum anhydricum, Oriola Oy, Espoo, Finland). Blood samples for plasma glucose and serum insulin concentrations were drawn at 0, 30 and 120 min. The frequently sampled intravenous glucose tolerance test was performed according to the minimal model method, as previously described (54). Insulin sensitivity index (SI), glucose effectiveness (SG) and acute phase insulin response to glucose (AIR) were calculated by the MINMOD Millennium software (Pasadena, CA, USA) (55). The subjects also underwent blood pressure, anthropometric and biochemical measurements, as described in detail elsewhere (53). These measurements were also performed at week 12.

**Hormone assays**

Blood samples were collected in pre-chilled tubes containing EDTA and immediately centrifuged. Plasma was stored at −80 °C until assayed. Overnight fasting plasma levels of ghrelin, which correlate well with 24-h integrated area under the curve values of ghrelin (34, 56), were measured by RIA using a commercially available kit (Linco Research Inc., St Charles, MO, USA). This assay detects both acylated and deacylated ghrelin. The lower and upper detection limits were 187.5 and 6000 pg/ml respectively. The intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variance (CV) were 8.7 and 14.5% respectively. All samples were run in duplicates in a single assay. Serum leptin was analysed by a commercially available RIA kit (Linco Research Inc). The intra- and inter-assay CV were 12.2 and 13.0% respectively.

Insulin was determined by a chemiluminescence sandwich method using an ACS automated system (Bayer A/S). The intra- and inter-assay CV were 7.7 and 9.0% respectively. Plasma tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), interleukin (IL)-6 and IL1-β concentrations were measured by solid phase ELISA (Quantikine, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA). The intra- and inter-assay CV were 6.1 and 7.7%, 5.9 and 10.9%, and 9.7 and 9.7% respectively. High-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) was determined by Immage Immunochemistry System (Immulite 2000 DPC, Los Angeles, CA, USA) with an analytical range of 0.1–250 mg/l and a sensitivity of 0.2 mg/l.

**Isolation of PBMCs**

PBMCs were isolated from anticoagulated peripheral blood by density centrifugation using Lymphoprep reagent (Axis- Shield, Oslo Norway) according to manufacturer’s instructions. The cells were washed with PBS (Invitrogen) and thereafter stored in RNAlater according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) and stored in −80 °C until used for RNA isolation. Altogether 56 samples for all three time points were available for 24 subjects of the WR group, 9 subjects of the RE group, 13 subjects of the AE group and 10 subjects of the CG group. Only subjects for whom PBMC samples were available at all three time points were included in the analysis.
RNA isolation

Total RNA was isolated using RNeasy Mini Kit columns according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Qiagen). The RNA concentration and the A260/A280 ratio was measured using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington DE, USA), an acceptable ratio being 1.9–2.1. Integrity of the RNA was assessed using agarose gel electrophoresis.

Real-time PCR analysis of gene expression

RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using High-Capacity cDNA Archive Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The real-time PCR analyses were performed with TaqMan chemistry with ready-made assays according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Applied Biosystems). The samples were analysed in triplicates with an Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Foster City, CA, USA). We used absolute quantification, the values for the amount of unknown samples were extrapolated from a standard curve. On each plate, a standard curve with points of 0.5, 1.5, 6, 18 and 36 ng and a calibrator of 6 ng mRNA equivalent was used. The cDNA pool for the standard curve was created by combining cDNA from a representative number of subjects of all three time points. Quantities on each plate were first corrected by the calibrator on the plate. The quantity values were finally normalized to the expression of the endogenous control glycer-aldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase.

Genotype analysis

Genotyping was performed by PCR-based restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis, as described elsewhere (10). A subset of randomly selected samples was repeated to ensure correctness.

Statistical analysis

The association between the plasma ghrelin concentrations at baseline and the variables studied was assessed using linear regression analysis. With ghrelin as the dependent variable, sex, age, BMI and the variable studied were entered into the multivariate models as independent variables. Linear regression analysis was also used to test associations between the gene expression of ghrelin and GHSR 1b and several cytokines in PBMCs at baseline. Ghrelin expression and sex, age and BMI were entered as covariates in a multivariate model.

To test the difference of plasma ghrelin concentrations and ghrelin expression in PBMCs between men and women, an independent samples t-test was used. Univariate ANOVA was applied to test the difference in plasma ghrelin levels, ghrelin and GHSR 1b expression in PBMCs at baseline between normal weight and overweight/obese subjects with features of metabolic syndrome with age and sex included in the model. Linear mixed models analysis was performed to test the relationship between plasma ghrelin concentrations or ghrelin expression in PBMCs and relevant variables longitudinally over the whole study period. Repeated measures ANOVA was used for testing the interaction between plasma ghrelin concentrations and the study groups during the study period (baseline, weeks 12 and 33). Differences between the groups at different time points and between the different time points for the groups separately were tested with estimated marginal means applying Bonferroni correction. Repeated measures ANOVA was also used to test the differences among the SNP genotypes in gene expression of ghrelin during the study. Covariates study group, sex, age and BMI at baseline were tested and removed from the model when not significantly contributing. P values are for trend across all three genotype groups and Bonferroni correction was applied for post hoc pairwise comparisons. Correlations between changes in the plasma ghrelin levels with other measurements were performed using Pearson’s correlation for normally distributed variables and Spearman correlation when variables were not normally distributed even after logarithmic transformation. Homogeneity of variances was tested using Levene’s test. To normalize the skewed distributions, logarithmic transformations or reciprocal and square root transformations were applied when needed. A P value <0.05 was considered significant. All analyses were performed using SPSS software version 14.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

All study subjects were overweight or obese (mean BMI 32.9 ± 2.8 kg/m²) with an average age of 60 ± 7 years at baseline. General and dietary characteristics at baseline and at the end of the study are presented separately for the three intervention groups and the control group (Table 1).

Ghrelin and GHSR expression in PBMCs

Both ghrelin and GHSR 1b mRNA were expressed in PBMCs of all study subjects, whereas GHSR 1a mRNA expression could not be detected. The mean ghrelin expression in PBMCs at baseline was 107.9 in arbitrary units (AU; range 47.5–240.3), with mean Ct values of 31.9 (range 31.1–33.3). The mean values for men and women were 99.6 ± 39.5 and 116.9 ± 45.8 AU at baseline respectively (P = 0.157). At baseline, the intervention groups displayed different levels of ghrelin expression (P = 0.018). During the intervention, however, the groups did not differ from each other regarding ghrelin expression in PBMCs in a model adjusted for baseline ghrelin expression (ghrelin
expression×group interaction \(P=0.778\); group effect \(P=0.459\). Therefore, all intervention groups were analysed together. Ghrelin expression did not differ between overweight/obese study subjects and normal weight persons (\(P=0.733\), adjusted for age and sex; mean ghrelin expression of normal weight persons 116.4 AU, range 55.3–210.3 AU).

The mean GHSR 1b expression in PBMCs at baseline was 94.8 AU (range 5.6–619.3) with mean \(C_i\) values of 31.0 (range 27.2–33.7) and there was no significant difference between men and women (\(P=0.613\); mean 78.2±62.6 AU and 112.7±138.1 AU respectively).

Ghrelin and GHSR 1b expressions were positively associated at baseline (\(P<0.001\), \(\beta=0.463\)). When the data were analysed longitudinally, the association was no longer statistically significant (\(P=0.111\)).

### Association with plasma ghrelin concentrations and anthropometric measurements

No significant correlation between plasma ghrelin concentrations and ghrelin mRNA expression in PBMCs was observed at baseline (Table 1) nor longitudinally (\(P=0.427\)). Measures of adiposity, waist circumference, weight and BMI were not associated with ghrelin mRNA expression in PBMCs longitudinally (\(P=0.496\), \(P=0.756\), \(P=0.831\) respectively).

### Association with inflammatory markers

The ghrelin mRNA expression in PBMCs had a significant positive association with TNF-\(\alpha\) expression at baseline (\(P=0.010\), \(\beta=0.353\)). The longitudinal analysis showed a significant positive association between ghrelin and TNF-\(\alpha\) expressions during the time course of the study independently of BMI, sex or age (\(P<0.001\); Fig. 1A). IL-1\(\beta\) expression in PBMCs did not associate with ghrelin expression in PBMCs at baseline (\(P=0.787\), \(\beta=0.038\)). However, longitudinal analyses showed a significant positive association during the study independently of BMI, sex and age (\(P<0.001\); Fig. 1B). In addition, \(\Delta%\)IL1\(\beta\) showed positive correlation to \(\Delta%\)ghrelin expression (\(P<0.001\), \(r=0.503\)). No association between IL-6 and ghrelin expressions in PBMCs was seen at baseline (\(P=0.663\), \(\beta=0.062\)), but the association was significant longitudinally (\(P=0.026\), Fig. 1C).

### Effect of ghrelin gene variations on ghrelin expression in PBMCs

The observed allele and genotype frequencies in all genotyped SNPs were in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium and are similar to those reported earlier in the population of the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study (10). At baseline, there were no significant differences between the genotypes of the two most common polymorphisms –604G/A and –501A/C in clinical and anthropometric characteristics of the subjects (data not shown). However, significant differences were
observed in the ghrelin mRNA expression in PBMCs in relation to these two polymorphisms. Subjects with the AA genotype of the −604G/A polymorphism had lower ghrelin expression in PBMCs than subjects with GG (P = 0.003) or GA genotype (P = 0.060; Fig. 2A).

Similarly, subjects with the CC genotype of the −501A/C polymorphism had lower ghrelin expression in PBMCs than subjects with the AA genotype (P = 0.009) (Fig. 2B). BMI at baseline, sex, age or study group did not significantly contribute to the different expression levels between the genotypes of either of the polymorphisms. Polymorphisms in the ghrelin gene were not, however, associated with plasma ghrelin concentration (data not shown).

**Plasma ghrelin concentrations at baseline**

At baseline, the mean fasting plasma ghrelin concentration in the whole study population (n = 75) was
Plasma ghrelin concentrations during interventions

All study subjects Fasting serum insulin was a significant predictor of fasting plasma ghrelin concentrations (P = 0.024) when analysed longitudinally.

Table 2 Correlations between plasma ghrelin concentrations and various characteristics of the subjects at baseline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>P valuea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>−0.048</td>
<td>0.732b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>−0.109</td>
<td>0.376b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>−0.279</td>
<td>0.217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma glucose (mmol/l), OGTT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 min</td>
<td>−0.081</td>
<td>0.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>−0.035</td>
<td>0.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120 min</td>
<td>−0.011</td>
<td>0.929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum insulin (mU/l), OGTT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 min</td>
<td>−0.483</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>−0.368</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120 min</td>
<td>−0.457</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0 (mU/l)⁻¹×min⁻¹</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIR (mU/l)⁻¹×min⁻¹</td>
<td>−0.236</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>−0.030</td>
<td>0.801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLDL cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptin (ng/ml)</td>
<td>−0.192</td>
<td>0.417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α (pg/ml)</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 (pg/ml)</td>
<td>−0.091</td>
<td>0.467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β (pg/ml)</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsCRP (mg/l)</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghrelin mRNA expression in PBMCs (AU)</td>
<td>−0.150</td>
<td>0.882</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P values and standardized regression coefficients β obtained from linear regression analyses adjusted for age, sex and BMI. aAdjusted for age and sex; bAdjusted for age and sex and BMI. S0, fasting serum leptin; AIR, acute insulin response; PBMCs, peripheral blood mononuclear cells; AU, arbitrary units.

However, when weight, BMI or waist circumference was added separately as a covariate into the model, these measures of adiposity significantly contributed to the plasma ghrelin concentration (P = 0.050, P = 0.025, P = 0.023 respectively) and the fasting insulin concentration was no longer significant (P = 0.075–0.128). In fact, weight, BMI and waist circumference were strongly associated with plasma ghrelin longitudinally (P = 0.013 for weight, P = 0.004 for BMI, P = 0.005 for waist, Fig. 3B). When substituting S0 for fasting insulin in the analyses, S0 did not significantly contribute to the ghrelin concentrations. However, when AIR was tested, it significantly influenced the fasting plasma ghrelin concentrations over the study period (P = 0.001, Fig. 3A), even after adjusting for the effect of weight, BMI, waist circumference and S0.

An inverse relationship between the relative changes in weight (%Δweight) and plasma ghrelin (%Δghrelin) from baseline to week 33 (P = 0.010, β = −0.294) was seen in all study subjects. %Δghrelin did not correlate with the relative change in fasting insulin, S0 or AIR (data not shown).

Interaction terms with regard to different study groups for above-mentioned variables were tested in all models and were not significant; therefore, the longitudinal relationships were analysed in all study subjects.

Weight reduction and plasma ghrelin concentrations

Body weight, as well as BMI and waist circumference, decreased in the WR group during the 33-week intervention period, but did not change significantly in the exercise and control groups (Table 1). When subjects from the WR group (n = 28) were compared with those from the control group (n = 18), the interaction term for plasma ghrelin × group indicated a trend for difference (P = 0.067) and the plasma ghrelin concentrations differed significantly between the groups at week 12 (P = 0.042) and 33 (P = 0.024) with higher concentrations in the WR group (Fig. 4A). However, the plasma ghrelin concentrations in subjects in the WR group did not significantly increase from baseline to week 12 or 33, whereas in the control group ghrelin concentrations decreased from baseline to weeks 12 (P = 0.067) and 33 (P = 0.050; Fig. 4A). To study the effect of weight reduction in more detail, the weight reduction group was divided into those who lost 5% or more of their body weight (n = 11) and those who lost less than 5% (n = 17) (53). The plasma ghrelin levels of subjects who lost 5% or more of their body weight showed an increasing trend over the study duration (baseline, 787 ± 167 pg/ml; week 12, 816 ± 193 pg/ml; week 33, 811 ± 149 pg/ml; Fig. 4B), but was not statistically significant in spite of their success in the intervention.

As shown in Table 1, fat intake (%) decreased and protein intake (%) increased significantly from baseline to the end of the study in the weight reduction group, whereas the carbohydrate intake (%) remained stable. However, correlation analysis between %Δghrelin and changes in fat intake and protein intake from baseline...
to the end of the study showed no associations (data not shown).

Discussion

In the first part of our study, we showed that ghrelin mRNA is variably expressed in PBMCs of subjects with metabolic syndrome. Previous reports have shown ghrelin expression in immune cells (T cells, B cells and neutrophils) from normal subjects (18, 19), but with great individual variations in the expression levels (18). Ghrelin expression in PBMCs was not influenced by the different interventions prescribed to the study subjects, nor was a difference between overweight/obese compared with normal weight persons evident. Furthermore, the ghrelin expression was not influenced by measures of adiposity and did not correlate with the plasma ghrelin levels. We found that polymorphisms of the ghrelin promoter region, the K604G/A and K501A/C variants, markedly modify the ghrelin gene expression in PBMCs, which may explain the individual variation of ghrelin expression in PBMCs in part. Differences in ghrelin gene expression in PBMCs according to SNPs in the ghrelin gene are one factor contributing to the variation, in addition to the normal extent of inter-individual variation, which has been shown in healthy humans (57) and is supposedly larger in a group of subjects with inhomogeneous metabolic disturbances.
Interestingly, GHSR 1b mRNA was also expressed in PBMCs, whereas GHSR 1a mRNA could not be detected. We found a positive correlation between ghrelin and TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-6 expressions in PBMCs over the whole study period and a positive correlation between changes in the expression of ghrelin and IL-1β from baseline to the end of the study. A previous study has shown that ghrelin treatment inhibited production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-1β, IL-6 and TNF-α) by PBMCs via a GHSR-specific pathway (19). It was further reported that ghrelin inhibited IL-6 and TNF-α mRNA expressions in primary human T cells, which supports a role for ghrelin in the transcriptional regulation of inflammatory cytokine expression (19). Anti-inflammatory effects of ghrelin mediated through activation of GHSR 1a in human endothelial cells have also been reported (58).

On the contrary, in our study, ghrelin expression directly correlated with TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-6 expressions in PBMCs. However, only GHSR type 1b was expressed in PBMC samples, whereas the expression of GHSR 1a was too low to be detected. Recently, GHSR 1b has been described as a dominant-negative mutant of GHSR 1a, resulting in attenuation of its constitutive signalling (59). The inhibition of pro-inflammatory cytokines in PBMCs has been shown to be GHSR 1a specific (19, 58). We can hypothesize that in our study, higher expression of ghrelin led to a higher expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines due to the absence of GHSR 1a expression and the concomitant attenuation of GHSR 1a action.

Genetic variations in the ghrelin gene were not associated with the plasma ghrelin concentrations in our study. A limitation in the present study is the sample size, which is rather small for genetic association studies and thus results should be interpreted with caution.

Plasma ghrelin levels correlated negatively with fasting serum insulin and insulin levels during the OGTT and positively with S1 and serum HDL cholesterol concentration cross-sectionally, which is supported by previous literature (22, 27, 34, 36, 37). However, in our longitudinal data, measures of central obesity seem to be more important contributing factors, explaining low ghrelin concentrations in persons with the metabolic syndrome, than insulin or insulin sensitivity. Interestingly, low AIR was also associated with high ghrelin concentrations longitudinally, suggesting that first-phase insulin secretion per se could influence ghrelin secretion. However, it was suggested previously that early insulin response does not affect plasma ghrelin (60). Numerous studies have been carried out to unravel the ambiguous relationship between insulin and ghrelin. It has been proposed that insulin is having an inhibitory effect on plasma ghrelin (3, 4), although studies have been conflicting (60–62). Ghrelin administration to humans has been shown to inhibit insulin secretion, but no effect on insulin response during OGTT was observed (63, 64). Co-administration of acylated and des-acyl ghrelin prevented the acute hyperglycaemic and hyperinsulinaemic effects of acylated and unacylated ghrelin when administered alone (65). Degree of insulin resistance or diabetes status could have an influence on the relationship between ghrelin and insulin, since insulin during hyperinsulinaemic–euglycaemic clamp tests decreased plasma ghrelin dose dependently in healthy humans, but not in type 2 diabetic patients, perhaps due to the presence of insulin resistance (66). It is therefore not clear whether insulin resistance plays a causal role in lower ghrelin concentrations, or whether ghrelin concentrations may be downregulated in insulin resistance as a physiological response to hyperinsulinaemia state.

As also seen in our study, subjects with insulin resistance or metabolic syndrome have lower ghrelin levels than normal weight controls (25, 27, 32) and higher plasma ghrelin levels have been associated with increased insulin sensitivity (34, 36).

In our study, diet-induced weight loss did not result in an increase in plasma ghrelin levels; instead, we found that ghrelin concentrations in overweight/obese control subjects significantly decreased over the study period. Since our subjects were all insulin resistant, we could speculate that overweight/obese subjects with IGT or IFG experience a gradual decrease in plasma ghrelin levels, when they are not undergoing lifestyle changes. It may be that the absence of weight loss in obesity may further decrease plasma ghrelin levels over time. Supporting this hypothesis, the increased plasma ghrelin levels achieved by weight loss through dietary restrictions returned to baseline after a weight maintenance period of 6 months in a recent study (47). It has been proposed that an increase in circulating ghrelin after weight loss may constitute a secondary, counter-regulatory mechanism preventing further weight loss (38). In fact, while ghrelin remained relatively stable, leptin serum levels decreased significantly upon diet-induced weight reduction in our study (data not shown). It is therefore tempting to speculate that the moderate weight loss experienced by our study participants, which was accompanied by beneficial perpetuation or changes respectively, in circulating appetite hormones was thus giving way to successfully maintain the lost weight.

In our study, no changes in plasma ghrelin levels were observed in the resistance or aerobic exercise groups. This may be partly explained by the absence of weight loss or low intensity of exercise, or it may suggest that exercise has a little effect on ghrelin concentrations.

It must be noted that the plasma ghrelin levels reflected in this study are total plasma ghrelin levels. When interpreting the results of this study, it should therefore be taken into consideration that even though the total circulating ghrelin levels did not change upon weight reduction or exercise intervention, it is also possible that acylated ghrelin levels or the acylated/unacylated ghrelin ratio changed. It is therefore recommended that future studies investigate the
effect of weight reduction on the two forms of circulating ghrelin separately.

Measurement of only total ghrelin (acylated and non-acylated ghrelin) may contribute to the controversy regarding plasma ghrelin levels and insulin sensitivity or insulin resistance. Non-acylated and acylated ghrelin forms may, however, induce different physiological and metabolic effects (65, 67). Elevated acylated/non-acylated ghrelin ratios were associated with insulin resistance in obese or overweight subjects (29). Both total and non-acylated ghrelin were inversely related with insulin resistance, but acylated ghrelin and the acylated/non-acylated ghrelin ratio were associated with higher insulin resistance in subjects with metabolic syndrome (28). Even though acylated ghrelin seems to be more strongly associated with insulin resistance, non-acylated ghrelin nonetheless has some non-endocrine effects (2, 68).

To summarize, ghrelin and GHSR 1b, but not type 1a, mRNA expression was detected in PBMCs. The ghrelin mRNA expression was not determined by degree of obesity, measures of obesity, age or sex, and different lifestyle interventions and weight loss had no effect on the expression. Instead, ghrelin expression was modified by SNPs in the ghrelin gene promoter, thus explaining part of the variation in ghrelin expression in PBMCs, which was observed in our study subjects. Ghrelin expression in PBMCs correlated positively with expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines. The mechanisms, however, cannot be determined from our study.

Plasma ghrelin levels were associated with insulin resistance and other features of the metabolic syndrome cross-sectionally, but adiposity may more strongly predict ghrelin concentrations than insulin sensitivity over time. However, first-phase insulin secretion might also modulate plasma ghrelin levels. Moderate weight loss over a prolonged period of time did not increase plasma ghrelin levels, but the absence of weight loss in obesity along with metabolic syndrome may even decrease plasma ghrelin levels over time. Since ghrelin mRNA expression and plasma ghrelin concentration did not show an association, ghrelin expression in PBMCs suggests more an autocrine role for ghrelin in the immune microenvironment.
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