Health-related quality of life in acromegalic subjects: data from AcroBel, the Belgian Registry on acromegaly
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Abstract
Objective: To assess the impairment of quality of life (QoL), evaluated by the acromegaly QoL (AcroQoL) questionnaire, in patients with controlled and uncontrolled acromegaly.
Design: Cross-sectional evaluation of AcroBel, a national observational registry of acromegalic patients newly diagnosed or in follow-up.
Methods: Disease perception by the patients was evaluated by the disease-specific signs and symptoms score (SSS) and QoL was assessed by the AcroQoL questionnaire. Hormonal status was determined by central measurements of GH and IGF-I.
Results: Patients (n = 291) had a median GH of 1.43 μg/l (0.65–3.03; IQR), a median IGF-I of 231 μg/l (150–367), and a mean IGF-I z-score of +1.91 (S.D. 2.21). The AcroQoL total score in the whole group was 67.1 (51.1–78.4), with a score of 65.6 (43.8–78.1) for the physical dimension, 67.9 (53.6–80.4) for the psychological dimension, 78.6 (64.3–89.3) for personal relations and 57.1 (39.3–75) for appearance. The median SSS was 3 (1–5). There was a negative correlation between both questionnaires (r = −0.478; P < 0.001). There was no correlation between AcroQoL score and biochemical markers of disease activity. When subdividing patients into groups of biochemical control according to GH and IGF-I levels, no difference could be established for either SSS or AcroQoL scores.
Conclusions: The AcroQoL results from the AcroBel registry confirm the marked impairment of the patients’ QoL, especially in relation with appearance. A negative correlation between AcroQoL and SSS was confirmed. There was, however, no correlation between AcroQoL and biochemical markers of disease activity.

Introduction
Acromegaly is a rare disease usually caused by a growth hormone (GH)-secreting pituitary adenoma (1, 2). Because of the slowly progressing nature of the disorder, the diagnosis is often delayed while prolonged exposure to excessive serum concentrations of GH and insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) continues to affect morbidity and quality of life (QoL) (3–5). Biochemical control is more easily achieved nowadays due to improvements in surgical techniques and medical treatment. Neurosurgery and drug therapy with somatostatin analogues have been shown to improve general health and health-related QoL (HR QoL) in acromegalic patients (6). However, data on mental and emotional dysfunction and QoL during treatment are limited. The therapeutic assessment of acromegaly has mostly been focussed on the hormonal control and tumour shrinkage, and less on the associated symptoms and morbidities (5). Moreover, patients report an enduring impairment of well-being as a consequence of lasting cosmetic and orthopaedic deformities despite normalisation of GH/IGF-I secretion (7, 8).

The primary objective of this project was to assess the impact of acromegaly on the symptoms and signs score (SSS) and on QoL evaluated by the Acromegaly QoL (AcroQoL) questionnaire in 291 acromegalic patients enlisted in AcroBel, the Belgian registry for acromegaly, which presently comprises a total of 418 acromegalic patients with controlled and uncontrolled disease.

Subjects and methods
Subjects
AcroBel is the registry on acromegaly established to recruit and assess the Belgian acromegalic subjects newly diagnosed or in follow-up between January 1st, 2000 and September 30th, 2004.
objectives of AcroBel are to describe the demography and epidemiology of acromegaly in Belgium and to evaluate the quality of care. Details of the design of AcroBel are extensively documented in a companion paper (9).

A total of 418 acromegalic patients were included in the registry, of which 362 actively participated in a cross-sectional evaluation. Questionnaires related to QoL were obtained from 308 subjects. Incomplete laboratory results \((n = 3)\), GH replacement therapy \((n = 10)\) or use of pegvisomant \((n = 4)\) were exclusion criteria for this study, which was restricted to 291 patients. At the survey visit, demographic variables (age, gender, height and weight) as well as diagnostic information (initial tumour size, co-secretion, pituitary deficiencies, acromegalic co-morbidities, previous and current treatment and follow-up time from diagnosis) were recorded. The study protocol had been approved by the Ethics Committee of the University Hospital of Leuven, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Determination and evaluation of hormonal variables**

Blood was sampled in fasting conditions for centralised determinations of IGF-I (one sample) and GH (as a mean of three values, taken at intervals of 15 min). These samples were frozen at \(-80^\circ\mathrm{C}\) in the individual centres for later transport to the central laboratory (UCI, Saint Luc, Brussels, Belgium). Serum GH and IGF-I concentrations were determined by automated immunoassays (Advantage, Nichols Institute, San Juan Capistrano, CA, USA). The sensitivity of the GH assay was 0.1 \(\mu\mathrm{g}/\mathrm{l}\) and the intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation were 4.8 and 5.8\% respectively. GH values were expressed in terms of the first WHO International Standard (IS) 80/505 for pituitary-derived GH, in use at that time (conversion factor for expression in terms of the new WHO IS 98/574 = GH concentration \times 0.56). The sensitivity of the IGF-I assay was 6 \(\mu\mathrm{g}/\mathrm{l}\) and the intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation were 5.2 and 5.7\% respectively. All measurements were completed at the end of the survey period in two consecutive runs for GH and in one run for IGF-I. IGF-I values were compared with age- and gender-specific reference ranges \((10)\) and were expressed as a \(z\)-score (normal range \(-2\) to \(+2\)).

The central lab IGF-I and mean GH values defined the assignment to an outcome group. Cure was defined by the strict criteria of both a normal IGF-I for age and gender \((z\text{-score} \leq 2)\) and a mean GH value \(\leq 2 \mu\mathrm{g}/\mathrm{l}\) following surgery and/or radiotherapy. Controlled disease was defined by the same strict criteria when obtained under medical therapy irrespective of previous surgery or radiotherapy. Active disease was defined by an IGF-I \(z\)-score 2 and a mean GH value 2 \(\mu\mathrm{g}/\mathrm{l}\). Patients with discordant IGF-I and GH values were also considered to have active disease, with the exception of operated patients with a strictly normal IGF-I and a mean GH 2 \(\mu\mathrm{g}/\mathrm{l}\) but documentation of a GH nadir after an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) 1 \(\mu\mathrm{g}/\mathrm{l}\) or a random GH at least once below the detection limit of 0.5 \(\mu\mathrm{g}/\mathrm{l}\). HbA1c was analysed according to local standard methods (normal values 3–6\%).

**Questionnaires**

**AcroQoL**

The AcroQoL questionnaire contains 22 items divided into two scales, of which one evaluates physical features (8 items) and the other assesses psychological aspects (14 items). The latter is further divided into two subscales: one measuring appearance-related characteristics (7 items) and the other evaluating the impact of disease on personal relationships (7 items) \((11, 12)\).

Each of the 22 items is answered in a 1 (worse) to 5 (best) Likert scale, reflecting either the frequency of occurrence (always, most of the time, sometimes, rarely and never) or the degree of agreement with the item (completely agree, moderately agree, neither agree nor disagree, moderately disagree and completely disagree). A global score and scores of the respective scales and subscales are obtained by completing the results using the following formula:\((X - Y)/(5Y - Y)\times 100\) where \(X\) is the sum of the answers (between 1 and 5 for each item) of the respective scale and \(Y\) is the number of items of the respective scale. The formula converts the different scores to a scale between a minimum of 0 to a maximum of 100, where a higher score indicates a better QoL. If the percentage of unanswered questions does not exceed 25\%, a score is considered as calculable. No reference values are provided.

The original Spanish questionnaire has been translated into Dutch and French, two of the official languages of Belgium, following the recommended standard methodology. In patients with stable acromegaly, no difference was observed on retesting at 1 month, thus demonstrating a good test–retest reliability \((13)\) and internal consistency. Validity has been established in several studies \((5, 7, 14)\). Results of AcroQoL were also shown to be consistent across different European countries \((15)\).

**Signs and symptoms score (SSS)**

The SSS is a disease-specific tool that rates five features of acromegaly: headache, excessive perspiration, arthralgia, fatigue and soft tissue swelling, scoring 0–3 for each of the items. The maximum score \((= 15)\) is indicative of severe incapacitating signs and symptoms. SSS has a limited focus on the most reversible aspects of acromegaly; therefore, this score is not a measure of QoL.
Data analysis

Descriptive statistics are given as means and s.d.s or medians and interquartile (IQR) ranges. Normal distribution of continuous variables was tested by means of the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Pearson’s and Spearman’s correlation coefficients were calculated for studying associations between study variables. The independent sample $t$-test and the non-parametric Mann–Whitney test were used to compare study variables between two groups. One way ANOVA and non-parametric Kruskall–Wallis test were used to compare the four different groups according to GH and IGF-I levels. A level of $\alpha = 0.05$ was chosen to indicate statistical significance. Cronbach’s $\alpha$ was calculated to estimate the degree of internal consistency of the questionnaires and was always 0.70, which reflects good reliability.

Stepwise linear regression analysis was used to study factors related to AcroQoL within the survey population. All analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 12.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Clinical characteristics

The study cohort included 291 acromegalic patients (51% males) with a mean age of 54.8 years at the time of the survey. A macroadenoma was reported in 237 patients (81%), a microadenoma in 42 patients (14%), adenoma of unknown size in 11 patients (4%) and a GH-releasing hormone (GHRH)-producing carcinoid in 1 patient. A pure GH-secreting adenoma was reported in 202 patients (70%) and prolactin co-secretion in 69 patients (24%), while co-secretion of other hormones ($\alpha$-subunit and/or thyroid-stimulating hormone) was reported twice and tumour type was unspecified in 15 cases. Pituitary function apart from GH secretion was preserved in 169 patients (58%), while at least one pituitary deficiency was seen in 120 patients (41%).

The most frequent reported morbidity was arthropathy (42%), followed by hypertension (39%), carpal tunnel syndrome (27%), diabetes mellitus (23%) and goitre (21%).

Treatment modalities had been surgery ($n = 116, 40\%$), radiotherapy ($n = 18, 6\%$), combined surgery and radiotherapy ($n = 82, 28\%$) or primary drug therapy only ($n = 71, 24\%$). Presently, 185 patients (64%) were on medical treatment, either with somatostatin analogues ($n = 134, 46\%$) or dopamine agonists ($n = 39, 13\%$), or combination of both ($n = 12, 4\%$). Median follow-up time from diagnosis was 10 years (5–17).

Hormonal data

For the whole cohort, median GH was 1.43 $\mu$g/l (0.65–3.03; IQR), median IGF-I was 231 $\mu$g/l (150–367) and the mean IGF-I z-score was +1.91 (s.d. 2.21; Table 1). The IGF-I z-score correlated with the age at diagnosis ($r = 0.190, P = 0.001$). Overall, the median HbA1c level was in the normal range (5.8% (5.5–6.2)) reflecting adequate glycemic control in a majority of patients.

Taking the above mentioned criteria into account, patients were categorised as being cured ($n = 72$) or controlled ($n = 67$) having active disease ($n = 77$) or discordant GH and IGF-I values ($n = 75$).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>s.d.</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>IQR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis (y)</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>34.0–52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at survey (y)</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>44.0–65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up duration</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5.0–17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>24.6–31.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hormonal data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH ($\mu$g/l)</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.65–3.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z-IGF-I</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.58–3.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF-I ($\mu$g/l)</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>297.9</td>
<td>221.3</td>
<td>230.6</td>
<td>149.5–367.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.5–6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AcroQoL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>60.88</td>
<td>23.73</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>43.8–78.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>65.42</td>
<td>19.30</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>53.6–80.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relations</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>74.48</td>
<td>19.48</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>64.3–89.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>56.78</td>
<td>22.93</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>39.3–75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>63.85</td>
<td>19.32</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>51.1–78.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SSS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweating</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swelling soft tissue</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headaches</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint pain</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1–5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**AcroQoL data**

The median AcroQoL total score for the whole group was 67.1 (51.1–78.4; IQR). The score for the physical dimension was 65.6 (43.8–78.1) and was negatively related to HbA1c ($r = -0.134$, $P = 0.028$). For the psychological dimension, the median score was 67.9 (53.6–80.4), with better results for personal relations (78.6 (64.3–89.3)) and worse results for appearance (57.1 (39.3–75)). Lower AcroQoL physical scores were reported by females when compared with males ($P = 0.016$; Fig. 1).

Age, height, weight at survey, tumour size, co-secretion, presence of hypertension and diabetes mellitus or treatment modality were not significantly associated with any of the AcroQoL scores, except for a correlation between the physical score and height ($r = 0.172$, $P = 0.003$). No difference between age groups could be established for AcroQoL total or subscores (Fig. 1). The presence of at least one pituitary deficit was associated with lower scores for psychological and relational items ($P = 0.019$ and 0.002 respectively), while radiotherapy was not significantly related to the AcroQoL score ($P = 0.098$). A borderline negative correlation was found between the AcroQoL personal relation score and follow-up time from diagnosis ($r = -0.122$, $P = 0.046$). In a linear regression model, a higher total score for AcroQoL was predicted by male gender ($\beta = 5.650$, SE = 2.445, $P = 0.022$), lower body mass index (BMI) ($\beta = -0.596$, SE = 0.224, $P = 0.015$) and lower HbA1c ($\beta = -2.634$, SE = 1.285, $P = 0.041$; Fig. 2). The parameters included in the analysis were age at survey, gender, BMI, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, pituitary deficiencies, tumour size, radiotherapy, medication and surgery.

There was no significant relation between the AcroQoL score and the biochemical markers of disease activity. Moreover, when dividing patients in the four groups according to their GH and IGF-I levels no difference could be established for AcroQoL scores ($P$ values between 0.216 and 0.856; Table 2).

**Signs and symptoms score (SSS)**

The median SSS was 3 (1–5). The IGF-I z-score correlated with the total SSS ($r = 0.126$, $P = 0.031$), and with some of the individual items, such as soft tissue swelling ($r = 0.165$, $P = 0.005$) and sweating ($r = 0.123$, $P = 0.036$). However, when dividing patients in the four groups according to their GH and IGF-I levels, no differences between groups were found for either total SSS ($P = 0.059$) and subscores ($P$ between 0.187 and 0.434), with the exception of ‘soft tissue swelling’, which was scored higher by the patients with active disease ($P = 0.024$).

There was no relation between the total SSS and the age of the patients, although the ‘joint pain’ score was related to age ($r = 0.179$, $P = 0.002$). Females had higher headache and fatigue scores than males ($P = 0.005$ and $P = 0.028$ respectively). The symptom ‘excessive perspiration’ was associated with a higher body weight ($r = 0.207$, $P = 0.001$). None of the other tested variables in demographic parameters or diagnostic information were related to the total SSS or subscores. HbA1c was related to the total SSS.

---

**Figure 1** AcroQoL total and subscores according to age and gender. Bars show means.

**Figure 2** Linear regression analysis indicated that a higher AcroQoL total score was predicted by lower BMI ($P = 0.015$) and by male gender ($P = 0.022$).
GH or IGF-I (5). In the study by Paisley et al., a negative correlation between the questionnaire and changes in GH and IGF-I after a median interval of 532 days (19). Decrease in IGF-I resulted in a significant improvement in overall QoL as measured by the AcroQoL total score and all dimensions. Another prospective study, only published in abstract form, described a good correlation between AcroQoL and short form-36 in acromegalic patients treated with Sandostatin LAR (15). This is the first study to report on the use of AcroQoL in a nationwide registry with controlled and uncontrolled patients. Median AcroQoL total and subscores are comparable with those reported earlier for acromegalic patients in remission (5, 7, 14, 20, 21), and higher than that in active acromegaly (5–20).

The current study was cross sectional in design, with 10 years disease span on average, allowing an appreciation of the long-term influence of acromegaly upon the development of co-morbidities and the perception of health by the patient. Despite a satisfactory overall degree of biochemical control in our study group (22), QoL evaluated by AcroQoL was significantly impaired, confirming previous studies (14, 23). While biochemical or radiological markers of the disease and mortality rate have the opportunity of being measurable, it is obvious that from the patient’s perspective well-being is an important outcome.

Other studies have demonstrated the marked and prolonged influence of acromegaly on the patient’s QoL (14, 18). In the study by Rowles et al. (14), the AcroQoL-physical dimension correlated with SSS in patients with active disease. Our study confirms the marked impact of appearance on HRQoL, and a lesser impairment of the personal relations score, as previously reported by Webb et al. (5, 21). In the study of Biermasz et al. comprising 118 acromegalic patients in long-term remission, joint problems had a significant negative impact on QoL including AcroQoL total and all subscores (7). In view of the disabling and disfiguring effects of acromegaly, we may hypothesise that the persistence of cosmetic and orthopaedic deformities independent of the levels of GH and IGF-I could be responsible for the lack of difference in QoL when comparing groups with different degrees of biochemical control (8).

No relationship was found in our study between either GH or IGF-I concentrations and AcroQoL, and there was no difference in QoL score between patients with active and inactive disease, confirming the data by Rowles et al. (14) and Hua et al. (24). In contrast, in the study by Trepp et al., patients with uncontrolled acromegaly had significant lower HRQoL than patients...
in remission or with a discordant remission status (20). However, this was a single-centre study with rather small numbers of patients. When measured with the generic 15D instrument, HRQoL was related to GH suppression after an oral glucose tolerance test in an inverted U-shaped fashion (18).

The SSS is not a measure of HRQoL but was specifically designed to evaluate the severity of reversible symptoms associated with GH hypersecretion (6). In cross-sectional studies, the SSS has also been shown not to relate to biochemical measures of disease control (14). Prospective intervention trials aiming at reducing serum IGF-I concentrations have shown concomitant reduction in this score, with significant decreases in scores for soft-tissue swelling, excessive perspiration, fatigue and in the total score, with the greatest biochemical response experiencing the greatest improvement in SSS (25). In the current study, SSS results did not correlate with serum GH and IGF-I concentrations, but the IGF-I z-score correlated positively with the total SSS and with some of the individual items such as soft tissue swelling and sweating.

The potential for observing a relationship between biochemical parameters and QoL in our patients may be impaired by the fact that co-morbidities related to acromegaly influence QoL, as the frequent occurrence of arthropathy or may differently be influenced by the therapeutic modalities. Indirect factors, such as income, family support, housing, education, personality, environment, freedom, etc. are also likely to impact on QoL. Other relevant limitations of this paper should be mentioned. Variability of HRQoL over time is not taken into account, because of the cross-sectional nature of the design. Recently, published data, however, confirm a good test–retest reliability of the AcroQoL (13).

Younger age correlated with better HRQoL in the study by Kauppinen (18) and Biermasz (7), but not in the present study. We found that having at least one pituitary deficiency had a significant effect on the psychological dimension of the AcroQoL questionnaire, while radiotherapy had no impact. This is in contrast with other studies where radiotherapy but not the presence of hypopituitarism was related to reduced QoL (14, 18). There is no clear explanation for such discrepancies, even though one could suspect a relation between both of these parameters.

Significant independent parameters for AcroQoL in the present study were gender, BMI and HbA1c. It is difficult to compare these results with data from other studies, as different specific variables have been included in the analyses or populations were different. Also, in this study, a higher HbA1c was associated with lower scores of the physical dimension of QoL. This relationship between HbA1c and impairment of QoL in patients with acromegaly, as well as the associations between HbA1c and the total SSS, fatigue and joint pain scores require further study and do not prove that poorer glycemic control is the cause of impaired QoL. Clearly, a larger sample size by integration of the available national registries might help to confirm or deny these different associations.

In conclusion, the AcroQoL results from the AcroBel study validate the marked impact of acromegaly on patient’s QoL, especially on physical dimension and appearance. A close consistent negative correlation between AcroQoL and SSS was also confirmed. However, there was no correlation observed between AcroQoL and biochemical markers of disease activity in this cross-sectional evaluation of controlled and uncontrolled patients.
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