Coding sequence analysis of GNRHR and GPR54 in patients with congenital and adult-onset forms of hypogonadotropic hypogonadism
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Abstract

Objective: To determine the frequency of rare nucleotide variants in GNRHR and GPR54 in a large cohort of probands (n=166) with normosmic idiopathic hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (nIHH), characterized by mode of inheritance, testicular volume, and presence or absence of endogenous LH pulsations.

Methods: Whenever possible, probands answered detailed questionnaires, underwent full physical exams, and underwent q 10-min frequent blood sampling for LH. Exons segments for GNRHR and GPR54 were screened for mutations. Nucleotide changes were identified as rare variants if they occurred at less than 1% frequency in an ethnically matched control population.

Results: Sixty-two percent of male probands were classified as sporadic, meaning that no other family members had delayed puberty or nIHH. In contrast, 61% of female probands were from familial pedigrees, with either autosomal dominant or autosomal recessive inheritance. Patients displayed a broad spectrum of disease severity based on testicular size and endogenous LH pulsations. Twenty-four rare variants were identified in GNRHR (within 15 probands) and seven rare variants in GPR54 (within five probands).

Conclusions: Rare variants in GNRHR are more common than GPR54 in a nIHH population.
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Introduction

While the traditional definition of idiopathic hypogonadotropic hypogonadism is the absence of spontaneous sexual maturation in the setting of low gonadotropins and sex steroids, the past 10 years have been witness to a greater appreciation of the phenotypic richness of this disorder, with nuances in the patterns of sexual development (1–3), the presence or absence of luteinizing hormone (LH) pulsations (4, 5), and associated anomalies (6–8). The past decade has also given rise to several new genes that have been implicated in idiopathic hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (IHH), including genes which play a role in the gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) neuronal migration, such as KAL1 (9, 10), genes modulating GnRH release, such as GPR54 (11, 12), and finally, genes which affect the responsiveness of the gonadotropes at the level of the pituitary gland such as GNRHR (13). Therefore, the ability to make genotype–phenotype correlations for this disorder is challenged by the ability to correlate subtleties in phenotype with the ever-growing complexities of gene/protein function. Rather than focus on one proband and the gene/protein structure–function relationships pertinent to his/her individual mutations, this paper attempts to identify the number of rare base pair variants in two genes (GNRHR and GPR54) in a large cohort of patients with normosmic IHH (nIHH).

Materials and methods

All studies were reviewed and approved by the Partners Healthcare Institutional Review Board (IRB) and study subjects provided written informed consent prior to participation.
**Diagnosis**

Participants in the study were either referred directly to the Reproductive Endocrine Associates of Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH) for clinical evaluation or were referred directly by their physicians to participate in our genetic studies. The diagnosis of IHH was made based on the failure to undergo complete spontaneous pubertal development by 18 years of age with low serum sex steroid levels (testosterone ≤ 3.4 nmol/l, estradiol ≤ 73 pmol/l) and inappropriately low or normal gonadotropin levels. Additional evidence for a diagnosis of IHH was provided by (i) the absence of LH secretion during 12–24 h of q 10-min blood sampling; (ii) normal imaging of the hypothalamic–pituitary region; and (iii) otherwise normal anterior pituitary function. Whenever possible, patients were interviewed by both a clinical investigator and a genetics counselor using an IRB-approved questionnaire, so that a full family pedigree could be obtained.

Whenever possible, olfactory testing was performed using the University of Pennsylvania smell identification test. Only normosmic probands were included in this cohort. If a proband’s family members were determined to have isolated anosmia or Kallman syndrome (IHH and anosmia/hyposmia), the proband was excluded from the present cohort.

Patients were classified based on their testicular size before the initiation of exogenous gonadotropin or pulsatile GnRH therapy. Determination of testicular volume was made by Prader orchidometer or measurements of length × width, which were then converted into cubic centimeters using Hansen and With’s formula: 0.52 × longitudinal axis × square of transverse axis (14). Patients were categorized as having adult-onset IHH if they had undergone a normal timing and onset of puberty (and proven paternity in some cases), but subsequently developed isolated hypogonadotropic hypogonadism without an identifiable cause (1).

**Ethnicity**

The majority of patients were Caucasian and from a mixed European background; six individuals were Hispanic; four were Asian, and three were African American. Thirty-eight individuals were from Turkey. Pedigree analysis was performed to ascribe the likely mode of disease transmission as outlined in previous studies (5, 15). Modes of inheritance included autosomal dominant, autosomal recessive, X-linked (male probands only), unknown (two brothers affected in a single sibship, male probands only), sporadic (no affected family members), and no pedigree information. Probands were classified into the last category if they (i) were adopted, (ii) lost to followup, or (iii) had not yet responded to requests to discuss the family history by detailed questionnaire. Only probands whose family members had either IHH or delayed puberty were included in the familial categories.

**Clinical studies**

Patients seen at the MGH were admitted to the General Clinical Research Center for a neuroendocrine evaluation including a q 10-min blood sampling study (12–24 h) to assess endogenous LH secretion. LH pulsatility was determined using a modification of the Santen and Bardin method (16).

**Clinical assays**

Blood-sampling studies were performed over a 25-year period; therefore, two different immunoassay systems were used for the measurements of LH. Originally, LH measurements were made via RIA with a limit of detection of 0.8 IU/l (17). Later, this assay was switched to an automated microparticle enzyme immunoassay (MEIA) (AxSYM System; Abbott Laboratories) with a limit of detection of 1.6 IU/l. The MEIA was calibrated using the same RPs as the RIA to make results comparable across data sets. The data regarding the presence or absence of LH pulses are based on the respective limits of detection of each system.

**Mutation analysis**

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes of cultured white blood cells. Forty-eight probands were screened for GNRHR using the technique of temperature-gradient gel electrophoresis (5). The remaining probands were screened by PCR amplification of exon segments and direct sequencing. For GPR54, all screening was performed by direct sequencing (12). Because the primers for each exon were placed approximately a few hundred base pairs on either side of the intron–exon junction, small segments of non-coding DNA were also analyzed. Nucleotide changes were cataloged as rare variants if they occurred at less than 1% frequency in ethnically matched control populations.

Although the goal of the mutation analysis was to catalog coding sequence variation in GNRHR and GPR54 in all referred nIHH probands, in a few instances, limited DNA quantity precluded the study of both genes. These individuals were excluded from the data analysis. In one proband, exon 1 of GPR54 amplified poorly and further sequencing will be required. Some probands have been previously reported (GNRHR: n = 48; GPR54: n = 63) (5, 12).

**Control populations**

For GNRHR, control DNA was derived from blood samples either from anonymous MGH Blood Bank volunteers (n = 50) or from prospectively recruited volunteers determined to have normal reproductive function by history and physical examination (n = 96 Caucasian). For GPR54, DNA derived from several
sources was used to compare frequencies of base pair changes: (i) blood bank volunteers \((n = 50)\); (ii) prospectively recruited healthy volunteers \((n = 45)\); (iii) phenotypically normal parents of children with a mucolipidosis type IV \((n = 36)\); and (iv) immortalized cell lines purchased from Coriell Laboratories \((n = 50)\). The most recent patient demographics regarding admissions to MGH indicate that 74\% are Caucasian, 3\% African American, 3\% Hispanic, 0.1\% Native American, 1\% Asian, 1\% other, and 18\% unknown. Therefore, the majority of the blood bank donors would be expected to be Caucasian of mixed European descent and the MGH blood bank donors and the Caucasian group were combined as a single group for determination of polymorphism frequencies in Caucasian controls.

**Results**

**Modes of inheritance**

The cohort comprised 138 male and 28 female probands. For the female probands, 61\% of pedigrees met the criteria for either autosomal dominant or recessive inheritance (Fig. 1). In contrast, male probands were predominantly sporadic, with only 10\% attributed to dominant or recessive inheritance. Even if male probands from families classified as ‘unknown’ (i.e. brother–brother combination) were attributed to recessive inheritance, the proportion of dominant and recessive cases relative to the total would account for a much smaller proportion compared with female cases. Despite the difference in the absolute numbers of male versus female probands, the proportion of cases in which no pedigree information was available was approximately equal, 20\% for the females and 22\% for the males, suggesting that the recruitment and pedigree expansion efforts were not biased toward a particular gender.

**Phenotype**

The baseline testicular volume before the initiation of therapy with either exogenous gonadotropins or GnRH was recorded in 75 of the 138 men in this cohort and ranged from prepubertal \((\leq 4 \text{ cm}^3)\) to normal \((> 15 \text{ cm}^3)\). However, the majority of men \((53/75)\)
had prepubertal-sized testes (Fig. 2A). Blood sampling was performed in 56/138 men. Thirty-two men had no detectable LH pulses in a 12-h interval (Fig. 2B).

Thirty-five males in the cohort had both a confirmed pretreatment testicular volume and blood-sampling data. Patients presenting with a testicular volume of \( \leq 4 \text{ cm}^3 \) were twice as likely to be apulsatile for LH, whereas patients presenting with a testicular volume of \( > 4 \text{ cm}^3 \) were twice as likely to have detectable pulsations (Fig. 3).

**Rare variants identified**

In GNRHR, 15 probands (eight males and seven females) were identified with rare variants, incorporating compound heterozygous, homozygous, and simple heterozygous changes (total number of variants = 24, Table 1). Five males had rare variants identified on only one allele (Q106R \((n=2)\), R262Q, H247H, and F216F). One proband with a Q106R heterozygous change had adult-onset hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (AOHH).

For GPR54, five probands were identified with rare variants (total number of variants = 7, Table 1). Two variants were also identified in ethnically matched control population at a frequency of \( > 1\% \).

**Discussion**

The primary goal of this study was to perform mutation analysis for GNRHR and GPR54 in a large cohort of probands with nIHH. In the past decade, several genes have been implicated in the pathophysiology of IHH, establishing distinct physiological pathways. The first gene to be identified was KAL1 (9, 10); the protein it encodes, anosmin, plays guidance roles in (i) axonal outgrowth/branching from the olfactory bulb (18) and (ii) the migratory activity of GnRH neurons (19). Anosmin may also act as a co-ligand modulator of fibroblast growth factor receptor 1 (FGFR1) (20), whose parent gene has also been identified as harboring mutations in familial Kallmann syndrome (8). Therefore, these two genes, and possibly others yet to be discovered appear to comprise a key pathway affecting olfactory bulb development and, by extension, GnRH neuronal migration. Similarly, mutations in GPR54 and GNRHR have also been implicated in IHH – new data suggest that GPR54 acts at the level of the hypothalamus as a key regulator of GnRH secretion (21–29). Therefore, the pathway encompassing metastin–GPR54–GnRH–GnRHR appears to modulate GnRH release and pituitary responsiveness in contrast to GnRH neuronal migration, and was the target pathway for the genetic analyses presented in this report. However, the ratio of male:female probands, and the distribution of inheritance patterns bring forth novel observations worthy of discussion on their own merits.

The male:female ratio within the assembled normosmic cohort was almost 5:1, further corroborating the remarkable male:female predominance in hypogonadotropism, as previously reported by our group (15). Although the X-linked gene, KAL1, was the first gene to be associated with Kallmann syndrome (IHH and anosmia), coding sequence changes in KAL1 do not account for the excess of male cases (30). Since the phenotype of anosmia appears to be completely expressed in male mutation carriers (i.e. all males with mutations have a smell defect), our finding of a strong male:female predominance in a normosomic population is all the more striking. In addition, only one male in the assembled cohort appeared to be from an X-linked pedigree using Mendelian principles (but ultimately was found to carry a nucleotide deletion in NROB1, formerly known as DAX1) (31). Although formal segregation analysis was not performed in this study, simple pedigree inspection did not suggest the presence of additional X-linked genes.

The relative proportion of the different inheritance modalities between the male and female probands is also quite striking. The majority of male probands were sporadic, meaning that no other family members had either IHH or delayed puberty. In contrast, the majority of female probands were ascribed to dominant or recessive inheritance. Why such inheritance discrepancies exist is unclear. However, a female proband classified as coming from a pedigree with autosomal recessive inheritance, as determined by our criteria, is more likely to carry a GNRHR variant, as discussed in detail below.

Mutation analysis was performed for both GNRHR and GPR54 in this report. Variants were identified in both genes. Traditionally, the determination of whether a nucleotide variant is a true mutation is based on (i) the nature of the base pair change, with greater confidence given to frameshifts and premature termination codons; (ii) the presence or absence of the base pair change in an appropriately sized, ethnically matched population; and (iii) function studies of mutant constructs in vitro. If a base pair change was identified in a proband in GNRHR or GPR54, as well as in an ethnically matched control population at a frequency of 1% or greater, it was not cataloged in this report as a rare variant, although the determination of polymorphism frequency in a reference population can be adjusted depending on the desired significance (32).

In the genetic analysis, more rare variants were identified in GNRHR \((n=24)\) than GPR54 \((n=7)\). For GNRHR, although the variants encompassed compound heterozygote, homozygote, and simple heterozygote changes, the majority of male probands \((5/8)\) were found to carry rare variants on only one allele, with no coding sequence abnormality identified on the opposing allele. Such variants have been reported both by our group (5), as well as others (33); however, GNRHR has consistently been described as an autosomal recessive
Table 1 Rare variants in GNRHR and GPR54.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dominant (n=8M, 12F)</th>
<th>Recessive (n=6M, 5F)</th>
<th>X-linked (n=1M)</th>
<th>Unknown (n=6M)</th>
<th>Sporadic (n=86M, 5F)</th>
<th>No ped info (n=31M, 6F)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**GNRHR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F 1059001 c.987+495 T&gt;C</th>
<th>F 01411 c.317 A&gt;G; c.785 G&gt;A Q106R; R262Q</th>
<th>M 12001 M 53501 c.317 A&gt;G Q106R</th>
<th>M 85501 c.648 C&gt;T F216F</th>
<th>F 98501 c.987+495 T&gt;C Non-coding variant (1/192 Caucas chrom, &lt;1%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F 35901 c.30 T&gt;A; c.31 C&gt;A; c.959 C&gt;T N10K; Q11K; P320L</td>
<td>F 43502 c.317 A&gt;G; c.797 T&gt;G Q106R; L266R</td>
<td>M 06507 c.785 G&gt;A R262Q</td>
<td>M 38601 c.741 C&gt;T H247H</td>
<td>M 17701 c.95 C&gt;T; c.599 G&gt;A T32I; C200Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 78701 c.317 G&gt;A; c.743-132 A&gt;G Q106R; non-coding variant</td>
<td>F 78505 c.247 C&gt;G; c.317 A&gt;G L83V; Q106R</td>
<td>M 72201 c.286 C&gt;T; c.317 A&gt;G P96S; Q106R</td>
<td>M 47601 c.317 A&gt;G; c.317 A&gt;G Q106R; Q106R</td>
<td>M 03406 c.991 C&gt;T; c.1195 T&gt;A R331X; X399R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 1145001</td>
<td>M 67601 M 1147001 c.505-59 G&gt;T Non-coding variant M 69301 c.1197+122 C&gt;G Non-coding variant</td>
<td>M 67601 M 1147001 c.505-59 G&gt;T Non-coding variant M 69301 c.1197+122 C&gt;G Non-coding variant</td>
<td>M 59401 c.1079 A&gt;T H360L</td>
<td>M 67601 M 1147001 c.9 C&gt;T T3T Non-coding variant (1/90 Hispanic chrom, &gt;1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPR54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M 68611 c.443 T&gt;C; c.443 T&gt;C L148S; L148S</th>
<th>M 03406 c.991 C&gt;T; c.1195 T&gt;A R331X; X399R</th>
<th>M 03406 c.991 C&gt;T; c.1195 T&gt;A R331X; X399R</th>
<th>M 59401 c.1079 A&gt;T H360L</th>
<th>M 1057001 c.9 C&gt;T T3T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F 1145001 c.565 G&gt;A A1891 (1/90 Hispanic chrom, &gt;1%) (1/90 Caucasian chrom, &gt;1%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
gene for IHH, requiring the presence of mutations on both alleles to cause disease. As this study examined coding sequence predominantly, it is certainly possible that variants in non-coding sequence (introns or regulatory regions) were missed by the present methods of analysis. However, a growing body of data challenges the traditional description of GNRHR as a ‘recessive gene’. In vitro, GNRHR mutations – mutations that were originally identified from patients with IHH – have frequently been shown to be misrouted, retained in the endoplasmic reticulum, and exerting dominant negative effects on WT receptors (34). If these in vitro observations are true in vivo, it is possible that heterozygote variants in GNRHR could contribute to disease phenotype in the absence of mutations on the opposite allele.

Of the five GNRHR heterozygote changes identified in this study, three were Q106R (n = 2), and R262Q, the two most frequently identified base pair changes in IHH patients (5, 13, 35–40). Of the two probands carrying Q106R, previously demonstrated to decrease receptor binding (13), one was a patient with AOHH, who presented with a testicular volume well within the normal range. This is the first report of a coding sequence change in an ‘IHH gene’ in a patient with the adult-onset form of the disorder. As a variant of IHH, AOHH is a puzzling entity – by definition, these patients have normal puberty and in some cases, paternity, before the development of hypogonadotropism. In contrast to patients with acquired HH due to an identifiable cause (i.e. mass lesion in the pituitary), these patients have no clear etiology for their reproductive endocrinopathy. As homozygosity for Q106R has been associated with the fertile eunuch variant form of IHH, it is theoretically possible that Q106R in heterozygous form could play a role in other attenuated forms of the disease.

Complicating these phenotypic issues is the observation that the base pair change leading to Q106R was originally identified in an anonymous MGH blood bank donor. Since the possibility that an IHH proband donated blood products cannot be excluded, the frequency of this variant in a larger Caucasian population with normal reproductive function (n = 96 individuals, 192 chromosomes) was evaluated. Because Q106R was not identified in this larger control population, it does not appear to be a common polymorphism, but what role it plays in causing hypogonadotropism, or what other genes it interacts with, will require further exploration.

The male probands carrying GNRHR variants were examined in light of their phenotypic assessments of disease severity. Testicular size in males can be used as a marker of prior exposure to endogenous GnRH or gonadotropins (41). Similarly, the presence of spontaneous LH pulsations at baseline has also been interpreted as evidence for an attenuated hypogonadotropic phenotype (12, 38). The majority of male probands in this study had prepubertal-sized testes (although testicular volumes spanned the range from <1 to 25 cm³) and undetectable LH pulses at baseline. Not unexpectedly, small testicular volumes were more likely to be associated with an absence of LH pulses and testicular volumes >4 cm³ were more likely to be associated with detectable pulsations. However, two male probands (GNRHR: R262Q heterozygote and Q106R/P96S) had prepubertal-sized testes but detectable pulses.

In contrast to male probands, 80% female probands with autosomal recessive inheritance (4/5), had rare variants in GNRHR. Three of five had rare variants in the coding sequence, one of five had rare variants in both coding and non-coding sequences. Therefore, female probands from pedigrees manifesting autosomal recessive inheritance are more likely to carry sequence variants in GNRHR than males.

In contrast to GNRHR, only seven variants were identified in GPR54. Two probands have been previously reported, and of the three novel variants, two were in non-coding sequence. The remaining variant, H360L, was identified in heterozygous form. No female probands were identified with rare sequence variants.

In summary, a pathway of culprit genes for nIHH is beginning to emerge. Even excluding anosmia and skeletal/mid-line defects, patients with nIHH display a broad spectrum of disease severity and modes of inheritance. Rare variants are more common in GNRHR than GPR54.
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