Remission of Graves’ disease during anti-thyroid drug therapy. Time to reconsider the mechanism?
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Abstract

Therapy of Graves’ hyperthyroidism with thionamide anti-thyroid drugs is accompanied by a gradual remission of the autoimmune aberration in the majority of patients. The most likely mechanism behind this remission has been considered to be a direct immunosuppressive effect of thionamide drugs. However, a number of findings in clinical studies of patients with Graves' disease indicate that remission is probably not caused by a special effect of thionamide drugs. Many studies have shown that remission is linked to restoration of the euthyroid state, and that it is independent of drug dose and type. Moreover, similar remission is observed when patients become euthyroid after thyroid surgery. In an explanatory model described, it is assumed that the autoimmune aberration of Graves’ disease is often basically quit mild and self-limiting. Patients may become ill by the running of a vicious cycle of hyperthyroidism worsening the autoimmunity, and autoimmunity worsening the hyperthyroidism. Once patients are made euthyroid by one or the other drug or by thyroid surgery, the majority of patients will gradually enter remission of the disease. The conclusion that remission is associated with restoration of the euthyroid state, and that it is not a special drug effect, highlights the importance of making and keeping patients with Graves’ disease euthyroid.

European Journal of Endocrinology 155 783–786
became euthyroid again. Such a mechanism had previously been argued for by Volpe (10). Perchlorate has some effects on the immune system (8), but is not likely that such effects would lead to exactly the same fall in TSH receptor antibodies as observed during MMI therapy (Fig. 1, panel A).

Another important study suggesting that remission is not a specific drug effect was published by Torring et al. (11). In a prospective randomized study of patients with Graves’ hyperthyroidism, they observed similar rates of remission of Graves’ disease in patients made euthyroid by anti-thyroid drugs and by subtotal thyroidectomy followed by levothyroxine replacement (Fig. 1, panel B). This finding supports that remission of Graves’ disease is linked to euthyroidism, and not to the administration of anti-thyroid drugs. Thyroid surgery removes both thyroid antigens and thyroidal lymphocytes, and it leads to an acute release of antigens into the circulation. It is likely that such changes would alter the autoimmune aberration of Graves’ disease. It is, however, not to be expected that the fall in TSH receptor antibodies caused by such mechanisms would be nearly identical to the fall observed during therapy with anti-thyroid drugs (Fig. 1, panel B).

If remission of Graves’ disease during anti-thyroid drug therapy was caused by an immunosuppressive effect of the drugs, it would be expected that the use of higher doses of drugs would lead to a more rapid and probably more sustained remission of the disease. Such an effect was proposed by Romaldini et al. (12) based on preliminary studies. Subsequently, a number of prospective randomized studies have been performed to elucidate the putative dose response effect of thionamides on remission of Graves’ disease. Some of the studies have been designed to evaluate another hypothesis as well. That is the hypothesis set forward by Hashizume et al. (13) who published that the administration of levothyroxine may prevent relapse of Graves’ hyperthyroidism after stop of treatment with anti-thyroid drugs. In a recent comprehensive review, including

### Table 1

Findings in clinical studies on the remission of Graves’ disease during anti-thyroid drug therapy.

1. Remission is independent of the type of drug (methimazole, propylthiouracil, and perchlorate)
2. The fall in TSH receptor antibodies in blood is similar whether the patient is made euthyroid by drugs or by surgical therapy
3. Remission follows euthyroidism independent of drug dose
4. Remission is independent of the additional use of levothyroxine

TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone.
meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials, Abraham et al. (14) concluded that neither the dose of thionamide drug nor the use of additional levothyroxine had any importance for the remission of Graves’ disease during drug therapy or for the risk of relapse after stop of drugs. It might be speculated, that thionamide drugs exert their maximal in vivo immunosuppressive effects already at very low doses, and that this is the cause for the lack of dose–response in clinical studies. Still, this lack of dose effect is another argument that remission of Graves’ disease during anti-thyroid drug therapy is not caused by an immunosuppressive effect of the drugs, but that remission is linked to restoration of euthyroidism.

Hyperthyroidism has a series of effects on the immune system and on the interaction between thyroid antigens and the immune system. As discussed by Volpe (15), such effects may worsen the autoimmune aberration of Graves’ disease. A simplified model of remission of Graves’ disease is shown in Figure 2. In this explanatory model, it is assumed that the autoimmune aberration of Graves’ disease is often basically quite mild and self-limiting, with a high tendency to enter remission. The reason that many patients become rather severely ill and that they do not enter remission is that a self-enhancing cycle starts running. In this vicious cycle, hyperthyroidism leads to worsening of the autoimmune aberration, and autoimmunity leads to generation of more TSH receptor antibodies and worsening of the hyperthyroidism. Once that cycle is broken by making the patient euthyroid by one or the other drug or by surgery, most patients will gradually experience remission of the disease. Euthyroidism caused by radioiodine destruction of the thyroid gland is different, because radioiodine therapy has a particular direct effect on thyroid autoimmunity (16).

Autoimmunity is complex and the model described may well turn out to be too simple. On the other hand, it is important for optimal therapy of hyperthyroidism to consider whether remission during anti-thyroid drug therapy is caused by a direct immunosuppressive effect of the drugs or reestablishment of the euthyroid state of the patient. The former hypothesis suggests that the use of higher doses of drugs may be of benefit. As discussed, this idea has turned out to be a dead end. It only leads to more side effects of the drugs (8, 14).

Acceptance of the latter mechanism highlights the importance of making and keeping the patient with Graves’ disease euthyroid. This may occasionally include more prolonged use of MMI. To minimize the risk of side effects, the lowest possible dose of the drug should be used. Several investigators have reported that such therapy may prevent relapse of overt Graves’ disease (17–20). One mechanism behind such a protective effect of low dose MMI therapy may be a decrease in the risk of reactivation of the vicious cycle illustrated in Figure 2. An additional possibility would be that the risk of relapse is diminished by keeping thyroid iodine content low, as discussed in a previous article (21).

**Figure 2** Illustration of the hypothesis that remission of Graves’ disease during therapy is linked to restoration of immunological regulation as the patient becomes euthyroid again (15). It is assumed that Graves’ disease has a high tendency to spontaneous remission in most patients. However, remission is hindered by the running of a vicious cycle: the hyperthyroid state worsens the autoimmune aberration that leads to generation of more TSH receptor-stimulating antibodies (TSH-R-Ab), etc. The cycle is broken when the patient is made euthyroid by drugs or surgery, and this is followed by a gradual remission in the majority of patients. The figure is modified from Laurberg et al. (21).
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