Sustained endogenous glucose production, diminished lipolysis and non-esterified fatty acid appearance and oxidation in non-obese women at high risk of type 2 diabetes
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Abstract

Objective: To evaluate early defects in glucose production, lipolysis and fatty acid oxidation in non-obese, normally glucose tolerant women, who are nevertheless at risk of type 2 diabetes.

Methods: Ten women with previous gestational diabetes (pGDM) and ten controls were studied in two 4 h infusions of stable isotopes 6,6-2H2-glucose, 1-13C-palmitate, and 1,1,2,3,3-2H5-glycerol with and without infusion of adrenaline. Fatty acid oxidation was quantified using indirect calorimetry and 13CO2 measurements. Insulin sensitivity was evaluated using the short insulin tolerance test.

Results: The pGDM and control women were non-obese and carefully matched for body mass index and fat mass. Whole body insulin sensitivity and basal insulin concentrations did not differ significantly but basal glucose concentrations were increased in women with pGDM. During a 0.9% saline infusion, glucose appearance was not significantly different at the first (90–120 min) and second (210–240 min) steady states. However, glucose appearance decreased in controls but was maintained in the pGDM women (K0.33 G0.02 vs K0.03 G0.08 mg/kg per min; P<0.004). Basal glycerol appearance (0.27 G0.02 vs 0.38 G0.03 mg/kg per min; P<0.02), palmitate appearance (0.74 G0.09 vs 1.05 G0.09 mg/kg per min; P<0.03) and palmitate oxidation (0.07 G0.01 vs 0.10 G0.01 mg/kg per min; P<0.03) were lower in the pGDM women. During the adrenaline infusion, changes in glucose, glycerol and palmitate concentrations and kinetics were similar in both groups.

Conclusions: Sustained glucose production during fasting is an early abnormality in non-obese subjects at risk of type 2 diabetes. Lipolysis and non-esterified fatty acid appearance and oxidation are diminished, suggesting an increased tendency to store fat. The observations are not readily attributable to differences in insulin or catecholamine sensitivity.

Introduction

Type 2 diabetes is characterised by increased circulating glucose concentrations: impaired insulin secretion and action, characteristic of the diabetic state, lead to decreased glucose utilisation by muscle and enhanced hepatic glucose production. Diminished suppression by insulin of lipolysis, non-esterified fatty acid (NEFA) appearance and very low-density lipoprotein production by the liver lead to elevated circulating glycerol, NEFA and triglyceride (TG) concentrations. Little is known about the emergence of these metabolic defects prior to the development of frank diabetes. Gestational diabetes (GDM) is carbohydrate intolerance, first diagnosed during pregnancy. Women with previous GDM (pGDM) and normal glucose tolerance (NGT) post-partum, are at risk of developing type 2 diabetes, especially if overweight (1, 2), and serve as a model of the pre-diabetic state. Following a 75 g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), glycerol and 3-hydroxybutyrate concentrations may be elevated compared to age, race and body mass index (BMI)-matched controls, compatible with impaired suppression of lipolysis (3). This may be secondary to a relative deficiency of insulin after a meal (3). Diminished suppression of NEFA to insulin, reflecting insulin resistance is also seen (4). Most studies have, however, been confounded by subjects being overweight or obese (3, 4). It is therefore difficult to assess changes due to the pre-diabetic state separate from the obese state. Although concentration differences have been described, they provide no information about turnover (3). No study has examined glucose, glycerol and palmitate kinetics with stable isotopes simultaneously in a pre-diabetic group with NGT. In the present study, we aimed to compare glucose, glycerol and palmitate metabolism...
both basally and following catecholamine stimulation, in
a group of non-obese women with pGDM carefully
matched with controls for weight and fat mass (FM).

Subjects, materials and methods

Subjects

Over a 2-year period, ten European women with pGDM
(diagnosed in index pregnancy with 75 g OGTT at
24–28 weeks gestation by World Health Organisation
(UN) criteria) and ten controls were recruited retro-
spectively (via antenatal care database) at St Mary’s
Hospital, London: index GDM pregnancy fasting plasma
glucose (mean ± s.e.m.). 121 ± 5 and 2-h glucose, 148 ±
2 mg/dl. No pGDM woman had required insulin
treatment during the index pregnancy. Control subjects
had a normal glucose challenge test during pregnancy
(plasma venous glucose <140 mg/dl (122 ± 4 mg/dl)
after 50 g oral glucose). During the index pregnancy
there was no difference in age, 36 ± 2 vs 36 ± 2 years,
BMI at term (27.0 ± 0.8 vs 26.6 ± 0.7 kg/m²), parity
(3 ± 0 vs 2 ± 0), or time since delivery (6 ± 1 and 4 ± 1
years) in the pGDM vs control women respectively.
There was a family history of type 2 diabetes in two of
the subjects with pGDM but no family history of GDM or
known monogenic forms of diabetes in either group.
At recall, all women had a 75 g OGTT and NGT
according to WHO criteria: fasting glucose (95 ± 4 vs
86 ± 2 mg/dl; P = 0.04) and 2-h glucose 108 ± 7 vs
79 ± 4 mg/dl; P = 0.01) in pGDM and control women
respectively (5). The women were selected to be non-
obese and of Northern European descent and carefully
matched for BMI and FM. No women were pregnant or
obese and of Northern European descent and carefully
matched for BMI and FM. No women were pregnant or
breast feeding and all had normal renal and thyroid
functions. Subjects were studied in the follicular phase
of the menstrual cycle except for two women, one from
each group, who were taking the combined oral
contraceptive pill and were studied on days 3–5 in
their ‘pill-free’ week. Body weight was determined on
an electronic scale. FM and fat-free mass (FFM) were
measured by near-infrared light spectroscopy. Waist and
hip circumference measurements were made with the
subjects standing in the upright position to the nearest
0.5 cm, halfway between the spina iliaca and the last
rib, and at the trochanter major respectively. Subjects
provided written informed consent before participation
in these studies, which were approved by an insti-
tutional review board (St Mary’s Hospital Ethics
Committee), and conducted in accordance with the
principles endorsed by the Declaration of Helsinki.

Assessment of insulin sensitivity

This was assessed by the short insulin tolerance test
(SITT) (6). Subjects were studied in the metabolic day
unit after an overnight fast of 10–12 h and asked to
observe their usual diet and abstain from alcohol or
strenuous exercise for the previous 3 days. A 44-mm,
20 gauge cannula was placed retrogradely into a vein in
the forearm and heated to 40–50 °C in a warm air box
to arterialise the superficial venous blood for sampling.
A second 44-mm, 20 gauge cannula was placed in the
antercubital vein of the contralateral arm to allow
administration of short-acting insulin (0.05 U/kg body
weight) at time 0 min, and samples taken at −15, 0, 3,
4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15 min for plasma
glucose and NEFA estimation. The test was stopped at
15 min. A carbonated glucose drink (LucoZade Original;
GlaxoSmithKline) and complex carbohydrate, such as
a sandwich, was given immediately following the study
to avoid hypoglycaemia. Samples were centrifuged for
15 min at 4 °C at 2000 g, plasma separated, stored at
−70 °C, and later analysed for glucose and NEFA
conzentations. The linear slope of the glucose decline
from 3 to 15 min after the insulin, was the measure of
insulin sensitivity expressed as milligrams per litre per
minute (mg/l per min). The NEFA measurements
provided an assessment of the effect of insulin on lipid
metabolism. Homeostasis model assessment (HOMA)
was performed on the post-absorptive glucose and
insulin concentrations to provide an independent
analysis of insulin action (7, 8).

Infusion studies with adrenaline and normal saline

Infusion studies took place on separate study days in the
metabolic day unit after an overnight fast of 10–12 h.
All studies were completed within 12 weeks. Subjects
were allowed to drink water ad libitum during this time.
They had abstained from alcohol and physical exercise
and consumed a diet containing at least 150 g
carbohydrate for at least 3 days prior to the test. For
blood sampling, a 44-mm, 20 gauge cannula was
inserted retrogradely into the superficial vein in the
dorsum of the hand and kept patent with an infusion of
0.9% (normal) saline. This hand rested in a warm air
box (40–50 °C) to obtain arterialised venous blood
samples. Blood samples were withdrawn via a three-
way tap with the first 2 ml discarded to avoid
contamination with saline. A second cannula was
placed in an ipsilateral antecubital vein for the infusions
of the stable isotopes and adrenaline or saline.

The stable non-radioactive isotopes 6,6-2H2-glucose,
1-13C-palmitate and 1,1,2,3,3-2H5-glycerol and two samples of expired air
were collected via a Douglas bag into evacuated glass
tubes for measurement of background $^{13}$CO$_2$ enrichment.

A priming dose of NaH$^{13}$CO$_3$ (99% APE, Cambridge Isotopes, MA, USA) 110.5 μg/kg was given, followed by a primed dose (4.6 mg/kg) continuous infusion (4.0 mg/kg per h) of 6,6-$^2$H$_2$-glucose, a primed dose (0.05 mg/kg) continuous infusion (0.29 mg/kg per h) of 1,1,2,3,3-$^2$H$_5$-glycerol and a continuous infusion of 1,1,2,3,3-$^2$H$_5$-glycerol enrichment was deproteinised with ethanol and derivatised with n-butyl boronic acid in pyridine and acetic anhydride (11). Plasma for 1,1,2,3,3-$^2$H$_5$-glycerol enrichment was deproteinised with ethanol and derivatised with acetonitrile and N-methyl-N-(t-butyl-dimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide (12).

Further details on preparation of infusates and analysis of labelled glucose, glycerol and palmitate:

**Preparation of infusates**

Potassium 1-$^{13}$C-palmitate (99% C; Mass Trace, Woburn, MA, USA) was added to 5 ml sterile water at 60 °C, passed through a millipore filter (0.22 μm) into a preheated (60 °C) 50 ml bottle of 20% human albumin solution (Bio Products Laboratory, Elstree, Herts, UK; Department of Radio-Pharmacy, Royal Free Hospital) and analysed for palmitate concentration and enrichment. The exact infusion rate of the 1-$^{13}$C-palmitate was calculated by multiplying the concentration of the substrate (15).

Analysis of labelled glucose, glycerol and palmitate:

Plasma for 6,6-$^2$H$_2$-glucose enrichment was deproteinised with ethanol and derivatised with n-butyl boronic acid in pyridine and acetic anhydride (11). Plasma for 1,1,2,3,3-$^2$H$_5$-glycerol enrichment was deproteinised with ethanol and derivatised with acetonitrile and N-methyl-N-(t-butyl-dimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide (12).

Plasma for 1-$^{13}$C-palmitate enrichment was added to an internal standard of pentadecanoic acid (99%, Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, Dorset) with extraction of carboxylate anion tetrabutylammonium cation pairs by dichloromethane and iodomethane (13, 14).

Measurement of stable isotope enrichment and calculations:

The isotopic enrichments of glucose, glycerol and palmitate were determined by separate analysis of the bis-(n-butylboronate)-monoaoyacetilglucose, tris-(t-butyldimethylsilyl)glycerol and palmitate methyl ester respectively, on a Varian 3400 gas chromatograph/Finnigan Incos XL mass spectrometer (Thermoquest Ltd, Hemel Hempstead, UK). During the times 90–120 and 210–240 min, a physiological and isotopic steady state was present; therefore Steele’s equation for steady state was applied to calculate the rate of appearance (Ra) or rate of disappearance (Rd) of the substrate (15).

\[
Ra_{substrate} = \frac{F}{TTR} \times I_{ECO2} = \frac{V_{CO2} \times 41.6 \times 100}{K_{IEp} \times 0.81}
\]

where F is the infusion rate of substrate (micromoles per minute) and TTR is the tracer to tracee ratio of substrate.

Breath samples were analysed for $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C ratio in a gas chromatograph isotope ratio mass spectrometer (PDZ Europa Ltd, Northwich, Cheshire, UK). Palmitate oxidation was calculated using the equations of Wolfe et al. (15):

Palmitate oxidation (μmol/min) = IECO$_2$/IEp × 0.81 × VCO$_2$ × 41.6 × 100

where IECO$_2$ is the isotopic enrichment of expired CO$_2$ (moles percentage excess (MPE)), IEp is the isotopic enrichment of palmitate (MPE) and VCO$_2$ is the CO$_2$ production rate (ml/min).

Palmitate concentrations were calculated from the ratio of the methylpalmitate to methylpentadecanoate (13).
Palmitate non-oxidative metabolism was calculated by subtracting the rate of palmitate oxidation from the rate of palmitate turnover.

The metabolic clearance rate (MCR) was calculated:

$$\text{MCR} (\text{ml/kg min}) = \frac{\text{Ra substrate}}{\text{subrate concentration} \times 1000}.$$ 

**Biochemical assays** Serum samples for specific insulin were analysed in duplicate by an in-house ELISA with a coefficient of variation < 8.6%. This is a highly specific assay for intact insulin and the cross reactivity with proinsulin was negligible (16). Glucose was measured with a hexokinase assay (Randox, Co., Antrim, UK) on a discrete, clinical analyser (Cobas Mira, Hoffman-LaRoche and Co., Basel, Switzerland). Adrenaline and noradrenaline were measured by HPLC (10). NEFA concentrations were measured by colorimetric method (Wako Chemicals, Neuss, Germany) as were glycerol, lactate and 3-hydroxybutyrate concentrations (Randox, Co. Antrim, UK). Lipids were analysed in the laboratory (Olympus AU 640 analyser, Olympus Optical Co Ltd, Eastleigh, Hants, UK).

**Power calculations**

Based on previous data, the study had > 86% power (at $P < 0.05$, two-sided) to detect a between-group difference in lipolysis, NEFA production and oxidation, and glucose production, both basally and in response to adrenaline (9, 17).

**Statistical analysis**

Data are presented as the mean ± S.E.M. Skewed data were log-transformed and presented as the median (interquartile range). Statistical analyses were performed in STATA 8 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA); the Mann–Whitney $U$-test and unpaired Student’s $t$-test were used as appropriate. A $P < 0.05$ was taken as significant. The basal data were calculated as the mean of the 1SS data (pre-0.9% saline and pre-adrenaline). To assess the response to the adrenaline infusion, the change in substrate concentration and turnover from 1SS to 2SS was compared in the pGDM and control groups. The change in substrate concentration and turnover from 1SS to 2SS during the 0.9% saline infusion, representing an extended fast, was also calculated and compared between the pGDM and control groups.

**Results**

**Baseline characteristics**

The subjects were matched for FM and BMI: nine out of ten subjects in each group had a BMI between 20 and 25 kg/m². 1 in each group had a BMI between 25 and 28 kg/m². There was no significant difference in age, waist to hip ratio or parity (Table 1).

**Insulin sensitivity tests and effect of insulin on NEFA metabolism**

Whole body insulin sensitivity was not significantly different in pGDM vs control women as assessed by the SITT (29.1 ± 3.2 vs 30.9 ± 1.6 μmol/l per min respectively). There was no significant difference in the suppression of NEFA concentrations by insulin in pGDM vs control women (23.9 ± 3.7 vs 30.2 ± 2.0 μmol/l per min).

**HOMA analysis**

There was no significant difference in insulin sensitivity (HOMA %S): 41(18–79) vs 78 (21–99) %S between the pGDM and control women respectively.

**Basal substrate and hormone concentrations**

Basal glucose concentrations were higher in pGDM vs control women (96 ± 1.7 vs 88 ± 1.4 mg/dl; $P = 0.009$). Palmitate and NEFA concentrations were lower in the pGDM group (81 ± 10 vs 178 ± 17 μmol/l; $P = 0.002$ and 610 ± 40 vs 780 ± 60 μmol/l; $P = 0.04$ respectively).

There was no significant difference in circulating adrenaline (0.10 ± 0.02 vs 0.14 ± 0.03 nmol/l), noradrenaline (1.36 ± 0.1 vs 1.05 ± 0.07 nmol/l), insulin (63 ± 16 vs 72 ± 17 μU/l), lactate (0.49 ± 0.04 vs 0.43 ± 0.03 mmol/l), 3-hydroxybutyrate (0.09 ± 0.02 vs 0.15 ± 0.04 mmol/l) and glycerol (98 ± 7 vs 104 ± 7 μmol/l) concentrations in the pGDM vs control women respectively. There was no difference in cholesterol (180 ± 6 vs 177 ± 6 mg/dl), TG (77 ± 4 vs 68 ± 7 mg/dl) and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (51 ± 2 vs 50 ± 4 mg/dl) concentrations in pGDM vs control subjects respectively.

**Basal substrate appearance**

Glycerol appearance was lower in women with pGDM vs control women (96 ± 1.7 vs 88 ± 1.4 mg/dl; $P = 0.009$). Palmitate and NEFA concentrations were lower in the pGDM group (81 ± 10 vs 178 ± 17 μmol/l; $P = 0.002$ and 610 ± 40 vs 780 ± 60 μmol/l; $P = 0.04$ respectively).

There was no significant difference in circulating adrenaline (0.10 ± 0.02 vs 0.14 ± 0.03 nmol/l), noradrenaline (1.36 ± 0.1 vs 1.05 ± 0.07 nmol/l), insulin (63 ± 16 vs 72 ± 17 μU/l), lactate (0.49 ± 0.04 vs 0.43 ± 0.03 mmol/l), 3-hydroxybutyrate (0.09 ± 0.02 vs 0.15 ± 0.04 mmol/l) and glycerol (98 ± 7 vs 104 ± 7 μmol/l) concentrations in the pGDM vs control women respectively. There was no difference in cholesterol (180 ± 6 vs 177 ± 6 mg/dl), TG (77 ± 4 vs 68 ± 7 mg/dl) and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (51 ± 2 vs 50 ± 4 mg/dl) concentrations in pGDM vs control subjects respectively.

**Table 1** Comparison of anthropometric data in previous gestational diabetes (pGDM) vs control women. Data are presented as mean ± S.E.M.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>pGDM</th>
<th>Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>42 ± 2</td>
<td>40 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>23.5 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBM (kg)</td>
<td>42.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>45.9 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM (kg)</td>
<td>20.1 ± 2.1</td>
<td>19.2 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist (cm)</td>
<td>77.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>75.7 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist:hip ratio</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; LBM, lean body mass; FM, fat mass.
weight, FM and FFM (all $P<0.02$; Table 2). Palmitate appearance and oxidation were lower in women with pGDM vs controls as expressed per kilogram body weight ($P=0.03$; Table 2) and FFM ($P=0.03$). There was no significant difference in glucose appearance basally in pGDM vs control subjects (Table 2). Non-oxidative palmitate disposal was not significantly different in the pGDM vs control women: 2.67 ± 0.3 vs 2.93 ± 0.17 µmol/kg per min. The MCR of palmitate and glycerol was not significantly different between the pGDM and control women (27 ± 3 vs 29 ± 5 ml/kg per min and 31 ± 3 vs 37 ± 3 ml/kg min respectively).

**Substrate appearance and changes during the normal saline infusion**

At the start and at the end of the 0.9% saline infusion, glucose appearance was not significantly different in the pGDM and control women (Fig. 1). With the prolonged saline infusion in the control women, glucose appearance declined in every instance (Fig. 1). In the pGDM women, it was maintained such that the change in glucose appearance was different in the two groups ($\Delta = -0.33 \pm 0.02$ vs $-0.03 \pm 0.08$ mg/kg per min; $P=0.04$; Fig. 1). There was no significant difference between the pGDM and control subjects in glycerol appearance from 1SS to 2SS ($0.30 \pm 0.04$ to $0.29 \pm 0.03$ mg/kg per min vs $0.38 \pm 0.04$ to $0.39 \pm 0.04$ mg/kg per min; $P=0.59$ respectively). The same was true for palmitate appearance ($0.88 \pm 0.18$ to $0.99 \pm 0.21$ mg/kg per min vs $0.98 \pm 0.11$ to $0.89 \pm 0.09$ mg/kg per min; $P=0.33$) and palmitate oxidation ($0.08 \pm 0.01$ to $0.09 \pm 0.01$ mg/kg per min vs $0.09 \pm 0.01$ to $0.10 \pm 0.01$ mg/kg per min; $P=0.74$) in pGDM vs control women.

**Substrate and hormone concentrations and changes in response to adrenaline**

There was a significant increase in the concentrations of adrenaline, glucose, lactate, NEFA, palmitate and glycerol in response to the adrenaline infusion in both groups (2SS vs 1SS), but the increment was similar for both (Table 3). Insulin concentrations rose more in women with pGDM vs controls ($P=0.02$; Table 3). There was a significant increase in the 3-hydroxybutyrate concentrations in response to the adrenaline infusion in both groups and the rise was greater in controls vs the pGDM women ($P=0.05$; Table 3).

**Substrate appearance and changes in response to adrenaline**

The rate of appearance of glycerol and palmitate, and palmitate oxidation, increased in response to the adrenaline infusion (2SS vs 1SS). There was no significant difference in the change in appearance rates of glucose, glycerol, palmitate and palmitate oxidation in the pGDM vs control women (Table 4).

**Discussion**

Early defects in these non-obese pGDM women include elevated basal glucose concentrations, sustained glucose production during fasting, but surprisingly, reduced lipolysis, NEFA appearance and oxidation. No differences in whole body insulin action or catecholamine action were present. Therefore, abnormalities in carbohydrate and fat metabolism exist in pGDM women before the development of type 2 diabetes. These women have up to a 60% chance of developing type 2 diabetes over a 15-year period (1).

**Carbohydrate metabolism**

Glucose appearance rates were similar and glucose concentrations elevated basally in the pGDM vs control women. This is in agreement with other isotope studies in NGT women with pGDM (18, 19), and first-degree relatives of type 2 diabetic subjects (20), a group at increased risk of diabetes. The decrease in glucose...
appearance from 1SS to 2SS, was greater in the control vs the pGDM subjects during the additional period of fasting involved in the 0.9% saline infusion ($P=0.004$).

The women with pGDM have an impairment in the ability to switch off glucose production, the main contributor to which is the liver, during a short fast. Although whole body insulin sensitivity was similar, as measured by the SITT and confirmed by HOMA analysis, there may be differential tissue resistance, with the liver being relatively resistant and adipose tissue relatively more sensitive to insulin. Interestingly, longitudinal studies in Pima Indians have shown that enhanced whole body insulin sensitivity predicts weight gain and insulin resistance later in life (21).

Previous studies have mainly demonstrated greater insulin resistance in pGDM women (22–26) although some have shown similar insulin sensitivities to controls (18). The variable findings may reflect the heterogeneity of the pGDM groups especially the time interval between index pregnancy and study. In this study, the pGDM women were at an early stage with each woman having experienced one GDM pregnancy controlled on diet alone. The SITT was used to assess insulin sensitivity.

Although it is a validated test that correlates well with the euglycaemic clamp (6), it may not be sufficiently discriminatory to detect subtle differences in insulin sensitivity. Although insulin secretion was not measured in our study, secretory defects are common in lean pGDM women (2, 22). In response to adrenaline, there was no difference in the plasma glucose or glucose appearance responses between the groups. Insulin concentrations increased more in the pGDM group suggesting again that possibly the liver is relatively resistant to insulin, although this was not assessed formally. The reason for the augmented insulin response is not clear.

**Fat metabolism**

Glycerol and palmitate appearance, and oxidation rates were lower basally in pGDM vs control women, as were palmitate and NEFA concentrations. These differences were not attributable to differences in clearance rates. The relative decrease in the availability of fat as an energy substrate basally and the lower NEFA oxidation implies a tendency to store fat although the palmitate non-oxidative disposal, representing mainly

### Table 3 Substrate and hormone concentrations in response to adrenaline. Absolute values (mean ± S.E.M.) are shown.

*Insulin concentrations were skewed and are shown as the median (interquartile range).*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hormone/substrate</th>
<th>pGDM 1SS</th>
<th>pGDM 2SS</th>
<th>Controls 1SS</th>
<th>Controls 2SS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adrenaline (nmol/l)</td>
<td>0.10±0.02</td>
<td>2.15±0.32</td>
<td>0.14±0.03</td>
<td>2.16±0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mg/dl)</td>
<td>96±2</td>
<td>122±7</td>
<td>88±2</td>
<td>109±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.46±0.02</td>
<td>0.82±0.06</td>
<td>0.44±0.04</td>
<td>0.77±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEFA (μmol/l)</td>
<td>612±47</td>
<td>1015±62</td>
<td>819±63</td>
<td>1257±74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitate (μmol/l)</td>
<td>85±11</td>
<td>142±18</td>
<td>146±19</td>
<td>208±19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol (mlU/l)</td>
<td>100±9</td>
<td>131±9</td>
<td>115±8</td>
<td>141±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (mIU/l)</td>
<td>7.15 (3.26–22.39)*</td>
<td>11.40 (7.06–29.69)*</td>
<td>7.89 (1.03–35.70)**</td>
<td>7.89 (1.03–35.70)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Hydroxybutyrate (pmol/l)</td>
<td>0.09±0.04</td>
<td>0.38±0.09</td>
<td>0.18±0.05</td>
<td>0.70±0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

pGDM, previous gestational diabetes; 1SS, first steady state; 2SS, second steady state; NEFA, non-esterified fatty acid. *Insulin concentrations were skewed and are shown as the median (interquartile range). **There was a greater increase in 3-hydroxybutyrate concentration in the control group compared with pGDM women ($P=0.05$).

### Table 4 Substrate rate of appearance in response to adrenaline. Absolute values (mean ± S.E.M.) are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate appearance</th>
<th>pGDM 1SS</th>
<th>pGDM 2SS</th>
<th>Controls 1SS</th>
<th>Controls 2SS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol appearance (mg/kg per min)</td>
<td>0.24±0.02</td>
<td>0.46±0.04</td>
<td>0.39±0.04</td>
<td>0.75±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(μmol/kg per min)</td>
<td>2.63±0.21</td>
<td>5.00±0.43</td>
<td>4.24±0.43</td>
<td>8.15±0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose appearance (mg/kg per min)</td>
<td>1.89±0.11</td>
<td>2.09±0.11</td>
<td>1.94±0.10</td>
<td>2.09±0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(μmol/kg per min)</td>
<td>10.50±0.61</td>
<td>11.61±0.61</td>
<td>10.77±0.55</td>
<td>11.61±1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitate appearance (mg/kg per min)</td>
<td>0.62±0.08</td>
<td>1.18±0.18</td>
<td>1.04±0.11</td>
<td>1.43±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(μmol/kg per min)</td>
<td>2.42±0.31</td>
<td>4.61±0.70</td>
<td>4.06±0.43</td>
<td>5.58±0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitate oxidation (mg/kg per min)</td>
<td>0.06±0.01</td>
<td>0.12±0.02</td>
<td>0.14±0.04</td>
<td>0.19±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(μmol/kg per min)</td>
<td>0.24±0.04</td>
<td>0.46±0.08</td>
<td>0.56±0.16</td>
<td>0.76±0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Substrate appearance increased in both groups in response to adrenaline. The change from 1SS to 2SS was not different between the two groups. pGDM, previous gestational diabetes; 1SS, first steady state; 2SS, second steady state.
re-esterification, was not significantly different between the groups. These subjects were non-obese and matched carefully for FM and therefore the metabolic differences described are likely to be of primary importance in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes. It is difficult to ascertain the impact of diabetes itself on lipolysis and NEFA kinetics, separate from obesity: the two conditions frequently co-exist and obesity itself is associated with lower lipolysis, NEFA appearance and oxidation (9). Most studies have failed to match for body composition, but in those where obese type 2 diabetic men have been matched for body weight and FM with controls, NEFA uptake and oxidation were diminished (27). The pGDM subjects had non-significantly greater fasting insulin concentrations and it may be that this contributed to the lower lipolytic and fatty acid oxidation rates. Although suppression of NEFA metabolism was not significantly different during the SITT, it may be with a more physiological dose of insulin. This requires further investigation.

Weight reduction in obese type 2 diabetic subjects fails to improve NEFA oxidation (28). This suggests that it may be a primary defect, in keeping with the findings of this study. However, when studying the diabetic state, the defects may be adaptive or secondary responses rather than primary. In men with impaired glucose tolerance (27) (at risk of type 2 diabetes) and nutritionally stunted children (29) (at increased risk of obesity), diminished NEFA oxidation is seen, again suggesting that it may be a primary defect in both type 2 diabetes and obesity. It could reflect mitochondrial dysfunction, as observed in insulin-resistant offspring of subjects with type 2 diabetes (30). Susceptibility genes for mitochondrial dysfunction have been described previously (31, 32). Possible mechanisms for the lower lipolysis and NEFA appearance in the pGDM group include: adipose tissue receptor differences e.g. fewer β-adrenoceptors or increased α2 receptors (33) or insulin receptors. In protein-restricted rats, at high risk of developing diabetes (34), adipocytes are smaller and have increased numbers of insulin receptors (35) leading to locally increased insulin sensitivity (34). This is observed despite decreased insulin sensitivity in the muscle. Post-receptor mechanisms may be involved (36). An imbalance between NEFA uptake and oxidation could promote accumulation of lipids within skeletal muscle leading to skeletal muscle insulin resistance (27). The lower rate of basal glycerol and palmitate appearance in the presence of higher plasma glucose concentrations suggests greater insulin action in adipose tissue relative to the liver. The lower NEFA turnover coupled with the elevated glucose concentrations is not compatible with increased NEFA turnover driving glucose production (37). The pGDM women may have a subnormal ability to oxidise NEFA, secondary to raised glucose concentrations, which may increase malonyl coenzyme A concentrations and thus inhibit mitochondrial NEFA transport (38). Adrenaline has not been given to women with pGDM before. The increase in 3-hydroxybutyrate production in response to the adrenaline infusion was smaller in women with pGDM vs controls, and this may indicate a subtle defect in NEFA metabolism; no other differences were seen. In contrast, people with established type 2 diabetes have predominantly shown diminished lipolysis (39), NEFA appearance and oxidation in response to catecholamines. Similar results are observed in obesity (9). In conclusion, in a group of non-obese, NGT women at increased risk of diabetes, we found sustained glucose production during fasting and reduced lipolysis, NEFA appearance and oxidation, which may promote fat storage. These observations could be primary defects in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes and are not readily attributable to differences in insulin or catecholamine sensitivity.
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