Body composition during GH replacement in adults – methodological variations with respect to gender
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Abstract

Objective: Men with growth hormone deficiency (GHD) may be more sensitive to GH treatment than women in terms of changes in body composition. We have studied whether age, body-mass index (BMI) and the different types of methodology used to assess body composition may explain these differences.

Design: Forty-four men and forty-four women with GHD, closely matched for age and BMI, were studied before and after 6 months of GH replacement. The dose of GH was individually adjusted. Body composition was assessed by measurements of potassium-40, total body nitrogen (TBN), tritiated water dilution, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) and bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA). Four- and five-compartment models for body composition were also calculated.

Results: The total daily dose of GH was similar in men and women at 6 months. Serum insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) was higher in men than women at baseline and after 6 months of treatment (\( P = 0.01 \), paired \( t \)-test). The increment was, however, similar. In women, GH treatment reduced body weight and increased TBN. In both men and women, total body water and body cell mass increased, while total body fat (BF) mass decreased. At baseline, mean total BF varied considerably depending on the methodology used, with the highest value obtained from DXA. The changes in BF were, however, less dependent on the methodology, but DXA and BIA demonstrated the largest inconsistency between men and women.

Conclusions: These results suggest that gender differences in body composition in response to GH treatment are small, if adjustments are made for baseline factors such as age, BMI and dose of GH. Different methods of body composition measurements produce different results, but changes in response to GH administration are less inconsistent.
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Introduction

Men with growth hormone (GH) deficiency (GHD) may be more responsive to GH replacement therapy than women in terms of increase in serum insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I), anabolic action on lean tissue and bone mass, and reduction in total body fat mass (BF) (1–5). Gender or sex steroid replacement therapy could explain some of these differences, as sex steroids interact differently with GH. Oral oestrogen administration reduces serum IGF-I levels (6), probably through direct hepatic action of oestrogen. Oral oestrogen may in a similar manner also increase the amount of BF and reduce lean tissue mass in postmenopausal women (7). Oestrogen has also in vitro been shown to attenuate GH receptor signalling (8). Testosterone, on the other hand, induces protein anabolism and reduces central adiposity when administered as a replacement therapy in hypogonadal men (9), actions similar to those obtained by GH. Moreover, data also suggest that testosterone and GH may act synergistically on protein anabolism (10).

Young and middle-aged, healthy women have markedly higher GH secretion than age-matched men (11, 12). Most previous studies comparing the responsiveness of men and women with GHD have administered GH per kg body weight, resulting in men receiving a higher total daily dose of GH than women (3, 13, 14), and this possibly explains some of the differences observed. The large differences in responsiveness to GH treatment may also be explained by age and adiposity (3) as well as the different methods used to assess body composition (15). These factors have not been considered in previous comparisons of the response to GH treatment in men and women.
The primary aim of this study was to investigate the treatment response in women and men, in terms of body composition, when the GH replacement dose is individually adjusted (16, 17) and the men and women are closely matched in age and BMI. The secondary aim was to determine whether gender differences in response to GH replacement depend on the method used to assess body composition.

Patients and methods

Patients
One hundred consecutive hypopituitary adults (44 women and 56 men) with verified severe GHD, defined as GH response less than 3 μg/l during insulin-induced hypoglycaemia, were eligible for the study. The men and women were closely matched individually in terms of age and BMI. This procedure resulted in the selection of 44 men and 44 women. Twelve men were not included, as no match could be found.

Pituitary tumours were the most common cause of GHD (Table 1). In 37 men and 38 women, the onset of GHD took place in adult life. When required, patients received adequate and stable replacement therapy with glucocorticoids, L-thyroxine and sex steroids at least 6 months before the start of GH replacement (Table 1). The distribution of pituitary hormone deficiencies was similar among men and women except for hypogonadotrophic hypogonadism, which was more frequent among the women (75% vs 93%, P = 0.02). Twenty-two women received oral and ten women received transdermal oestrogen replacement therapy.

Study protocol
All patients received a low initial GH dose, independent of body weight (BW). After 1, 3 and 6 months of treatment, the dose was re-evaluated according to age- and sex-adjusted reference values for serum IGF-I and clinical response, as previously described (16). The dose of GH was reduced by half in the event of side effects, a decision based solely on clinical criteria of GH excess, such as arthralgia and peripheral oedema.

At the start of the study; after 1 week; and after 1, 3 and 6 months, side effects were recorded and serum IGF-I measurements were made. Physical and laboratory examinations, including measurements of body composition, were performed at baseline and at 6 months. Body weight was measured in the morning to the nearest 0.1 kg and body height was measured barefoot to the nearest 0.01 m. The BMI was calculated as body weight in kilograms divided by height in metres squared.

Biochemical assays
Blood samples were drawn in the morning after overnight fast. The serum concentration of IGF-I was determined by hydrochloric acid-ethanol extraction RIA with authentic serum IGF-I for labelling (Nichols Institute Diagnostics, San Juan Capistrano, CA, USA). The interassay coefficient of variation (CV) was 2.5% and 4.2% at serum concentrations of 125 and 345 mg/l respectively. To compare individual serum IGF-I concentrations with normative values from the general population, a serum IGF-I s.d. score (SDS) was calculated as previously described (18).

Body composition
Total body potassium (TBK) was determined by counting the emission of 1.46 MeV gamma radiation from the naturally occurring 40K isotope in a highly sensitive 3P whole-body counter with a CV of 2.2% (19, 20). Total body water (TBW) was determined by the isotope dilution of tritiated water (THO) (CV, 3.2%), as described previously (19). Tritiated water dilution may overestimate the TBW compartment because of the exchange of the isotope label with non-aqueous hydroxyl and carboxyl groups of fatty acids, carbohydrates and proteins (21). A 5% correction for hydrogen isotope exchange was therefore applied to the THO measurements (22).

Total body nitrogen (TBN) was measured by in vivo neutron activation from a 252Cy source (accuracy of ±4%), as described previously (15, 23, 24).

Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) (Lunar DPX-L; Lunar Corporation, Madison, WI, USA) was used to measure total body bone mineral content, lean body mass (LBMDXA) and BFDXA, as described previously (15, 25). The precision errors (1 S.D.) of the scanner that was used were determined from double examinations of 10 healthy subjects and were 1.7% for BF and 0.7% for LBMDXA.

Table 1 Diagnosis and degree of hypopituitarism in 44 men and 44 women with GH deficiency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atiology</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acromegaly</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cushing’s disease</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-functioning adenoma</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranioophangioma</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasellar tumour</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolactinoma</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of deficiency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolated GHD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A + T*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T + G*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A + T + G*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Secondary thyroid (T), gonadal (G) or adrenal (A) insufficiency.
Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) was used to determine TBWBIA, FFMBIA and BF BIA from equations supplied by the manufacturer, based on comparisons with densitometry in a normal population. With the subject in the supine position, BIA was measured with a frequency of 50 kHz and the BIA-101 device (RJL System, Detroit, MI, USA), according to the instructions of the manufacturer. From the BIA measurements, BF, TBW and FFMBIA were also calculated with four regression equations described in previous studies by Kushner et al. (21), Lukaski and Bolonchuk (26), van Loan and Mayclin (27), and Deurenberg et al. (28), with resistance and reactance from BIA as input variables. The BIA resistance measurements had a day-to-day CV of 1.7% (29).

TBW, body cell mass (BCM), LBMDXA, BF and FFMBIA are presented as a percentage of body weight and designated TBW%, BCM%, LBMDXA% BF% and FFMBIA% respectively, with the subscripts 4c, BIA, DXA or THO, when appropriate.

Four- and five-compartment models of body composition

In the four-compartment model (4c) used for body composition, body weight is the sum of BCM, extracellular water (ECW), fat-free extracellular solids (FFECS) and BF4c. In this model, the input variables are TBK measured in a whole-body counter, TBW derived from THO (TBWTHO), body weight and the normal body weight in a population, as described previously (19). Normative values for the four-compartment model were derived from regression equations from body composition studies of 476 healthy individuals (134 men, 342 women; aged 20–70 years) (19).

Finally, body composition was calculated in a five-compartment chemical model (5c) (15, 30). In this model, FFM is divided into total body protein (TBN×6.25) and TBWTHO. Total body mineral is estimated as bone mineral content from DXA plus soft tissue mineral derived from the assumption that the soft tissue mineral to bone ash ratio is constant at 0.235. Total body glycogen was calculated from total body protein, assuming that the ratio of glycogen to protein is constant at 0.044. BF was then calculated as body weight minus fat-free mass, and was denoted as BF5c. TBN was measured in 22 of the women and 22 of the men. Men and women with an available TBN measurement did not differ from the group with no TBN measurement in terms of age at the time of diagnosis, duration of disease, age at the start of treatment and peak GH level during insulin-induced hypoglycaemia.

Ethics

Written, informed consent was obtained from all the patients prior to the study. The ethics committee at the University of Göteborg approved the study.

Results

The men and women did not differ in terms of estimated age at the time of diagnosis (42.9±2.4 vs 39.7±2.6 years, P=0.37), duration of hypopituitarism (7.0±1.2 vs 9.7±1.3 years, P=0.13), age at the start of GH treatment (48.9±2.3 and 48.6±2.1 years, P=0.94), peak GH in response to hypoglycaemia (0.39±0.12 vs 0.33±0.09 mg/l, P=0.69), hypothalamic-pituitary disorder or degree of pituitary deficiency (Table 1).

Side effects related to fluid retention occurred in 19 (43%) men and 22 (50%) women (P=0.61). They were mild and were most frequently reported during months 1 and 3 of treatment. They resulted in a reduction in the daily dose of GH in four (9%) men and eight (18%) women (P=0.39). All side effects resolved in response to the dose reduction.

An average reduction of 1.2 kg body weight occurred in the women, resulting in a significant reduction in BMI (P=0.0028) with no change in the men. However, the between-group gender difference was not significant (Table 2).

GH dose and serum IGF-I (Table 2)

After 6 months of treatment, the average daily dose of GH was similar in men and women, whereas the daily dose of GH per kg body weight was greater in women than in men (Table 2). At baseline and after 6 months, men displayed higher serum IGF-I concentration and IGF-I SDS than women. The increases in dose and in serum IGF-I concentration between baseline and 6 months were, however, similar, whereas the increase in IGF SDS was greater in men.

Statistical methods

Descriptive statistical results are presented as the mean±standard error of the mean (S.E.M.) or ±95% confidence interval (mean value±1.96×S.E.M.) or 95% limits of agreement (mean value±1.96×S.D.) when appropriate. The within-group treatment effects were presented as the mean and the 95% confidence interval for the individual difference between 6 months and baseline. Between-group (gender) differences at baseline or after 6 months were tested by paired t-test. Differences in treatment effects between men and women were analysed by two-way repeated measures ANOVA. Since the groups were matched, McNemar’s test was used for binary (categorical) variables. Significance was obtained if the two-tailed probability value was <0.05. Correlation analyses were performed with Fisher’s r to z transformation. The assessment of agreement between two methods was performed with the Bland and Altman plot (31, 32).

All the calculations were made with the StatView 4.5 program (Abacus Concepts, Beverley, CA, USA).
The required mean dose of GH to elevate IGF-1 SDS by one unit was calculated in men and women. In men, 0.13 ± 0.01 mg/day elevated IGF-1 SDS by one unit while women needed 0.21 ± 0.02 mg/day ($P = 0.001$).

At 6 months, an inverse correlation was found between the daily dose of GH and age in women ($r = -0.4; P < 0.001$), but not in men ($r = 0.005$).

### Body composition

Men and women increased their TBW%, LBMDXA%, FEMBIA%, and BCM%, but not ECW%. Only women displayed a significant increase in TBN% and a significant reduction in BF DXA% (Table 3). No significant differences in treatment effects were noted between men and women in any of the measured variables (Table 3).

### Body composition determined with different methods

BF% determined at baseline with the four- and five-compartment models, BIA and DXA displayed different results, DXA giving the highest values and the 4c model giving the lowest values in both women and men (Table 3).

The changes in BF% ($\Delta$BF%) were, however, more similar in women ($P = 0.39$) and men ($P = 0.080$) (ANOVA between methods). When the changes in men were compared with those of women, none of the methods showed significant gender difference (Table 3).

In the Bland–Altman model, differences between the two body composition techniques are plotted against the averages of two techniques. The horizontal broken lines in Fig. 1A–C represent the limits of agreement calculated as ±1.96 times the S.D. of the differences between the two measurements $[(\Delta$BFmethod2 + $\Delta$BFmethod1)/2]. When the limit of agreement is close to zero, the two methods may be used interchangeably.

The $r$ values and the slope in the Bland–Altman plots reveal that the difference between the two methods estimating changes in BF depends on the differences in the amount of BF obtained by the two methods. This weakness in the methods seems to occur in a similar magnitude in both men and women.

Although correlation analysis is not the best method to compare two techniques measuring the same variable, significant correlations were found between all methods used for the measurement of changes in BF in women (Table 4). The same correlations in men were less coherent, with only BIA correlating to DXA and the four-compartment model. The exception is the four- and five-compartment models demonstrating similar strength of correlations in men and women.

The best agreement in change in BF was found between the four- and five-compartment models (Table 5). Significant differences in the assessment of changes in BF were found between DXA and BIA and DXA and the five-compartment model in men and women, and between BIA and the five-compartment model in women only. Methodological differences in assessing changes in BF between men and women were seen for DXA versus BIA, and a similar tendency for BIA versus the five-compartment model and DXA versus the four-compartment model. The largest mean difference in men was between changes in BF measured using DXA and the four-compartment model, and in women between BIA and the five-compartment model (Table 5).
Bioelectric impedance assays

The changes in BF obtained from different BIA equations produced different results in both men and women (ANOVA, $P < 0.001$). The decrease in BF was smallest with the van Loan equation in men, while the Deurenberg and Lukaski equations demonstrated the most marked BF reduction in both men and women. The changes in BF obtained with the various equations correlated with each other. The lowest $r$ values were 0.53 and 0.85 for men and women respectively. None of the BIA equations revealed significant gender differences in the treatment response with respect to BF (data not shown).

Subgroup analysis

Women were subdivided with respect to the route of oestrogen administration. At baseline, women without oestrogen replacement therapy were about 10 years older than women with treatment. They had also lower BMI and BF4c% than women with transdermal oestrogen therapy. No further differences were noted, and there were no significant differences in response to GH replacement between the groups.

The same subgroups of women were then compared with the men in a paired analysis. Men and women had similar mean ages and BMI, while BW and BCM% was higher in men in all groups. The doses of GH and the increase in IGF-I SDS did not differ between men and women in either pair of groups.

Discussion

Responsiveness to GH replacement was studied in men and women with GHD who were closely matched in terms of age, BMI and degree of anterior pituitary hormone deficiency. Dose titration resulted in a similar daily dose of GH and mean serum IGF-I increase in men and women, allowing study of gender difference not explained by background factors. Moreover, with several techniques and models to measure body composition, their use for men and women during GH replacement could be compared.

When we compared the four- and five-compartment models by the use of $^{40}$K, tritiated water and TBN from in vitro neutron activation, the mean difference in change in BF was nearly zero, and the 95% limits of
agreement between these two methods were narrower than those between any other two methods in response to GH treatment in both men and women (Fig. 1 and Table 5). These two methods may therefore be used interchangeably, especially when studying gender differences.

Due to the strong association between TBW and LBM, there is a good correlation between resistance obtained from BIA and FFMBIA. However, BIA mainly measures electrical conductivity in the extremities (33). In individuals with predominantly central fat distribution, such as adults with GHD, BIA may underestimate BF mass and the change in BF during GH treatment, which primarily affects central fat depots (14, 34). An essential assumption in BIA measurements is a sustained, well-defined hydration and electrolyte level in LBM. Since GHD is known to be associated with alterations in TBW and fluid distribution, the relationship between impedance and percentage of BF is likely to be different than that observed in healthy, normal subjects. Therefore, in addition to the relative insensitivity to changes in body composition in the abdomen, BIA also overestimates BF in dehydrated subjects, as shown by studies comparing results from BIA with methods less dependent on hydration and electrolyte levels, such as skin-fold measurement (35) and whole-body magnetic resonance imaging (36). Moreover, the estimation error of TBW and ECW was found to depend on the magnitude of TBW when BIA was compared with a multicompartiment model (37). Finally, the discrepancy in BF obtained with different BIA equations may also reflect the fact that none of these were developed in adults with GHD. All these factors may influence the success with which BIA reflects the absolute values and alterations of BF after GH replacement in men and women. Therefore, where marked changes in hydration level and electrolyte levels are expected, BIA may be less well suited to measure changes in body composition. In this study, BIA tended to show larger changes in FFM and BF in response to GH treatment in men than women (Table 3).

DXA creates a digital two-dimensional image of the body with two X-ray energies. The image is then analysed and transformed to tissue, depending on the attenuation of the X-rays. As a result, areas that do not include bone can be separated into fat and lean tissue. In areas consisting of bone and soft tissue, an
The changes in BF correlated less well between various methods in men than in women. The discrepancy between the DXA and BIA in men was most striking, the 95% limits of agreement between the two methods being much wider in men than women (Fig. 1), meaning that one of these methods may give less reliable results than the other in men. There was a tendency for better consistency among the body composition methods in measuring changes in BF in women, except that BIA showed virtually the same limits of agreement in both men and women. This indicates that BIA detects changes in BF in a similar manner in men and women (Fig. 1). The correlation analysis points in the same direction showing smaller absolute $r$ values in men, particularly when the four- and five-compartment methods were compared with DXA and BIA. This is to be expected since the CV for BIA and DXA is smaller than for the four- and five-compartment methods (Table 4). The discrepancy between the models of assessment in men and women is probably due to the different baseline and the different distribution of lean and fat tissue in men and women (39–41).

The multicompartment models based on measurements of TBK and TBW may better reflect the whole body and be less dependent on the distribution of lean and fat mass. The marked change in body hydration might affect the validity of using BIA and DXA, as they have been shown to underestimate BF in dehydrated subjects (42, 43). Although the magnitude of these errors is not considered to be of major significance in clinical research (44, 45), they can be reduced by using a more direct measurement of TBW and ECW.

Women with GHD have a lower serum IGF-I SDS than men, in spite of similar GH responses to the ITT test and GH secretory status (18), which might be explained by oral oestrogen treatment (6) and/or a

Table 4  Method comparison showing 95% limits of agreement between DXA, BIA and the four and five-compartment models measuring changes in BF ($\Delta$BF) after 6 months of GH treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method comparison</th>
<th>95% limits of agreement</th>
<th>Within-group $P$ value##</th>
<th>Between-group $P$ value##</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$BF$<em>{\text{DXA}}$ vs $\Delta$BF$</em>{\text{BIA}}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>−4.75 to 6.96</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>−0.52</td>
<td>−3.27 to 2.23</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$BF$<em>{\text{DXA}}$ vs $\Delta$BF$</em>{\text{4c}}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>−8.34 to 10.95</td>
<td>0.087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>−6.68 to 6.63</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$BF$<em>{\text{DXA}}$ vs $\Delta$BF$</em>{\text{5c}}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>−9.92 to 11.79</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>−5.24 to 6.37</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$BF$<em>{\text{BIA}}$ vs $\Delta$BF$</em>{\text{4c}}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>−7.83 to 8.22</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>−5.80 to 6.79</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$BF$<em>{\text{BIA}}$ vs $\Delta$BF$</em>{\text{5c}}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>−8.24 to 8.72</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>−4.36 to 7.24</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$BF$<em>{\text{4c}}$ vs $\Delta$BF$</em>{\text{5c}}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>−1.99 to 1.90</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
<td>−1.37 to 1.34</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# $\pm$ 1.96 x s.d.; ##paired tests.
lower lean body mass (18). In men and women with GHD, dose titration according to serum IGF-I levels gave women a slightly higher total dose of GH, but men and women experienced the same reduction in central adiposity in response to treatment (17), suggesting that women are less responsive to the same total dose of GH (3, 5, 46).

In this study, dose titration resulted in similar total daily doses of GH and produced similar increments in IGF-I in men and women. The dose of GH per kg body weight and the calculated dose of GH needed to produce an increase of one IGF-I SDS was, however, larger in women than in men. Therefore, women did respond less markedly to GH in terms of the serum IGF-I response (hepatic response), but when adjustments were made for age and BMI, the changes in body composition and serum IGF-I were more alike. Moreover, the previously described differences in GH-induced changes in body composition in men and women may even be smaller if methods least dependent on gender are used.

A weakness of this trial was the varying oestrogen status of the women, who were taking oral, transdermal or no oestrogen. We were unable to detect differences between these groups in terms of the response to GH treatment and in the comparison with matched men, indicating a minor impact on the results of this trial.

The previously described differences in responsiveness to GH replacement therapy in men and women are attenuated when age and BMI, together with individualized GH dose titration, are adjusted for. For clinical purposes, changes in body composition during GH replacement are well described by all the methods used in this trial. However, the results obtained in this analysis suggest that a multicompartment model is most appropriate for the assessment and comparison of body composition in men and women during GH treatment.
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