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Abstract

Objective: Four distinct familial types of isolated GH deficiency (IGHD) are classified, of which type II, IGHD II, is the autosomal dominant inherited form. Based on clinical data, it became evident that there is a wide variability in phenotype among the various GH-1 gene alterations leading to the disorder. As subjects suffering from IGHD II caused by the specific missense mutated P89L GH (C6129T) have never been reported in detail, the aim was to analyse the impact of this mutated GH form on its clinical follow-up as well as to study its effect at the cellular level in comparison with the most common missense mutation R183H GH (G6664A).

Methods: Twelve subjects belonging to four families presenting with P89L GH were clinically compared with 17 subjects from 5 families with the R183H GH missense mutation. Further, co-localization of the wild-type (wt-type) and mutant GH forms was studied in AtT-20 cells, mouse pituitary gland, applying quantitative confocal microscopy analysis. Using immunofluorescent techniques, cells were double stained for GH and one of the following organelles: endoplasmic reticulum (anti-Grp94), Golgi (anti-βCOP) and secretory granules (anti-Rab3a). In addition, GH secretion and cell viability was analysed in detail.

Results: Importantly, as well as growth hormone deficiency, eight out of twelve subjects with the P89L mutated GH form developed other endocrine deficits and the pituitary gland became smaller over time (P < 0.05). At the cellular level, quantitative analysis of the variable mutants expressed in AtT-20 cells revealed a different extent of co-localization, different effects on GH secretion, and, therefore, a different impact on the secretory pathway which might be caused by different folding or aggregation problems necessary for sorting, packaging and/or secretion through the regulated secretory pathway.

Conclusions: Our results show that specific and detailed analyses of the different mutations identified in IGHD II may shed light on the different mechanisms of secretory pathophysiology, and may provide a better explanation of the range of clinical features associated with GH missense isoforms. Importantly, the findings in patients with P89L GH extend beyond classical IGHD and stress the need for continued clinical vigilance in IGHD II patients for the development of other hormonal deficiencies.
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Introduction

Four distinct familial types of isolated growth hormone deficiency (IGHD) have been defined on the bases of inheritance and other hormone deficiencies (1, 2). This classification includes IGHD type IA, autosomal recessive with absent endogenous growth hormone (GH); type IB, autosomal recessive with diminished GH; type II, autosomal dominant with diminished GH; and type III, X-linked with diminished GH (1, 2). The autosomal dominant form of IGHD, type II (IGHD II) is most commonly caused by mutations within the first six base pairs (bp) of intervening sequences 3 (5’IVS-3) (3), which result in a missplicing at the mRNA level and the subsequent loss of exon 3, producing a 17.5-kDa human (h) GH variant (4). This GH product lacks amino acids (aa) 32–71 (del32-71GH), which is the entire loop that connects helix 1 and helix 2 in the tertiary structure of hGH (5, 6). Skipping of exon 3 caused by GH-1 gene alterations other than those at the donor splice site in 5’IVS-3 has also been reported in other patients with IGHD II. These include mutations in exon splice enhancer (ESE1 in exon 3 (E3)) (E3 + 1G → T, ESE1m1; E3 + 5A → G, ESE1m2)
and within suggested intron splice enhancer (ISE) (IVS-3 + 28G → A, ISEM1; IVS-3del + 28–45, ISEM2) sequences (3, 7–12). In addition to splice site mutations that result in the production of del32–71 GH, three other missense mutations within the GH-1 gene cause IGHD II. These include the substitution of leucine for proline (P89L), histidine for arginine (R183H) and phenylalanine for valine (V110F) respectively (13–16). Severe short stature (<−4.5 standard deviation score (SDS)) is not invariably present in all affected individuals (15). Binder et al. have hypothesized that children with splice site mutations may be younger and shorter at diagnosis than their counterparts with missense mutations (15). However, in a recent study of a collection of patients with different IGHD II mutations, we suggested that patients with the P89L missense mutation might be an exception, and may develop other pituitary hormone impairments similar to those reported in a specific subgroup of patients with the 17.5-kDa variant caused by exon 3 skipping induced by the 5′IVS-3 + 1/+2 bp splice site mutation (17). The 5′IVS-3 + 1/+2 bp splice site mutation may have a greater impact on spliceosome assembly and splicing efficiency than mutations more distant from the splice site (e.g. 5′IVS-3 + 5/+6 bp) and may, therefore, increase the exon 3 skipping. The higher quantity of 17.5-kDa GH variant would appear to exert a dominant negative effect on packaging and secretion of 22-kDa GH, and lead to a more severe phenotype, both in vitro and in an animal model of IGHD II (11, 17–22). Therefore, the aim of this study was to focus specifically on the patients suffering from IGHD II caused by the P89L missense mutation, to describe their clinical follow-up and to analyse the impact of this mutation at the cellular level in terms of GH synthesis, transport, storage and secretion.

**Patients and methods**

**Patients**

In Fig. 1, three individual pedigrees as well as the growth charts of the six affected children suffering from a P89L (C6129T) missense mutation causing IGHD II are depicted. The families were of Caucasian (France), Mediterranean (Spain, Greece) and Turkish origin (Kurds) respectively. Furthermore, for comparison five additional families presenting with the most common missense mutation (R183H GH; G6664A) (n = 5; Caucasian, France; Mediterranean, Spain, Greece; Turkish and Arab origin) were assessed and reported as a group (Table 1). In addition, also included in this study were affected members of families, children and adults, who had previously been diagnosed as having a missense mutation within the GH-I gene responsible for IGHD II and who did not receive GH (nt, not treated) (Table 1). The study was approved by the Ethical Clinical Research Committee of the University Children’s Hospital, Bern, Switzerland as well as by the Ethical Committees of the collaborative Centres, and a written consent was obtained from patients and subjects. At the time of diagnosis, two independent GH stimulation tests were performed. The tests included an insulin tolerance test (ITT), arginine stimulation, combined arginine and ITT, clonidine and growth hormone-releasing hormone (GHRH) stimulation tests according to the guidelines as described by Ranke (23). Furthermore, adrenocortico-trophin (ACTH) reserve was tested following hypoglycaemia after ITT, and ACTH stimulation tests were performed in subjects with low basal cortisol levels (23). In addition, all the other pituitary-derived hormonal axes were assessed following identical protocols (23). Further, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed with gadolinium contrast and narrow scanning of the pituitary region.

**Clinical follow-up study**

Children who had been diagnosed as type II GH deficient with an identified missense mutation (P89L, R183H) in the GH-I gene, and who had been treated for several years (median, 6.8 years; range, 5.5–9.6 years) with recombinant human GH (rhGH) at a regular GH replacement dose of 15–20IU/m^2/week (7 injections/week) were included in this study. When near adult height (AH) was reached, GH treatment was stopped for two months and the patients’ pituitary hormonal axes were re-tested (see above). Near AH was defined on the basis of a height velocity of 1 cm/year or less over an interval of at least 6 months. Both chronological ages as well as bone age (BA) had to be at least 14 years (females) and 16 years (males). The BA was estimated according to the method of Greulich and Pyle (24). As all the subjects went through puberty normally, no sexual abnormalities were reported and basal levels of gonadotrophins and sex steroids were normal, this axis was not examined further.

**Hormone measurements**

Serum GH levels were measured in different clinical centres by several assays (RIA, ELISA, and enzyme immunoassay) and according to the Eilat consensus paper (Growth Research Society; GRS) a provocation peak GH concentration below 10 ng/ml was deemed to support a diagnosis of growth hormone deficiency (GHD) (25). Insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) concentrations were determined by either an IGF-I kit (Nichols Institute Diagnostics, Bad Vilbel, Germany) or using the assays described by Blum et al. (26). Total thyroxine (TT4), free thyroxine (fT4) and thyrotrophin (TSH) were assessed on the AxSYM system (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL, USA); using an ultrasensitive hTSH II for TSH measurements (microparticle enzyme immunoassay, MEIA). ACTH was assessed by applying...
a sequential immunometric assay (Immulite, DPC, Los Angeles, CA, USA) and cortisol was measured using a chemiluminescence immunoassay (CLIA) (ADVIA Centauer, Bayer Corporation, Tarrytown, NY, USA).

**Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)**

MRI examinations were carried out in different radiology centres as previously described in detail (17). Since the height of the pituitary gland increases with age, the data were compared with the normative data reported by Argyropoulou et al. and Tsunoda et al. (27–29).

**Statistical analysis**

A two samples t-test was performed to calculate the P-values. Sagittal hypophyseal height, a continuous variable, was analysed after correction for age to SDS by a 95% confidence interval for the difference of means between the study groups (27–29).

**Cell culture and treatment**

Mouse pituitary (AtT-20/D16v-F2) cells were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA) and cultured in DMEM (Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, 4.5 g/l glucose) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FCS (fetal calf serum; Life Technologies, Invitrogen AG, Basel, Switzerland) and 100 U/l penicillin/streptomycin. This F2 subclone was developed from the original AtT-20 cells, an ACTH secreting cell line established from murine pituitary tumour.

**Expression vectors**

Wild-type GH and the naturally occurring mutants P89L GH and R183H GH were cloned in pcDNA3.1 (-) neo.
Table 1 Details of families and the patients studied with IGHD type II. Base line data are indicated in italics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>M&lt;sup&gt;α&lt;/sup&gt;/F&lt;sup&gt;β&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>¹Peak GH (mg/l)</th>
<th>Age at retest [yrs on therapy]</th>
<th>Peak GH&lt;sub&gt;a&lt;/sub&gt; (mg/l)</th>
<th>IGF-I&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt; (ng/ml [SDS])</th>
<th>TSH&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt; (mU/l; no: 0.3–5)</th>
<th>TT4&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt; (nmol/l; no: 55–135)</th>
<th>fT4&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt; (pmol/l, no: 10–20)</th>
<th>ACTH&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt; (ng/l; no: 9–50)</th>
<th>Cortisol&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt; (nmol/l; no: 137–600)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P89L (C6129T)</td>
<td>3/0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>16.8 [6]</td>
<td>150 [−3.9]</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>452</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>16.8 [6]</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>188 [−3.0]</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>610</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50.6 [−2.7]</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>336</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>452</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2*</td>
<td>2/1</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>15.5 [7]</td>
<td>132 [−4.2]</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>16.2 [6]</td>
<td>156 [−3.8]</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64 [−2.7]</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>15.0 [9.6]</td>
<td>179 [−3.2]</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>53 [−3.1]</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>294</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7/3</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>109 [−4.9]</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>42 [−3.4]</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 4</td>
<td>8/4</td>
<td>5/1</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>16.5 (15–16.6)</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>108 (42–188)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(4.0–13)</td>
<td>(22–69)</td>
<td>(15–4.1)</td>
<td>[6 (6–9.6)]</td>
<td>(1.9–4.0)</td>
<td>[−3.4 (−3.2) to −4.9]</td>
<td>(1.0–3.12)</td>
<td>(39–86)</td>
<td>(5.3–18)</td>
<td>(8.0–41)</td>
<td>(78–610)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>16.9 (16–17.2)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>106 (127–201)</td>
<td>3.3 (1.8–4.1)</td>
<td>105 (81–129)</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>352</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>42 [−3.4]</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 5</td>
<td>5/1</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>7/3</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>352</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M<sup>α</sup>/F<sup>β</sup>, total numbers of affected subjects in each individual family (M, males; F, females); M<sup>α</sup>/F<sup>β</sup>, numbers of GH treated, affected subjects in each family; M<sup>α</sup>/F<sup>β</sup>, numbers of affected, untreated (nt) subjects in each family; ¹peak GH following stimulation (insulin, arginine, clonidine, GHRH); ²peak GH peak (insulin induced hypoglycaemia, ITT) following two months without treatment, ³age-dependent SDS are given in square brackets, ⁴samples were taken in a fasting state at 0800 h (ITT), normal range (no) is shown, ND: not done.

* Families 1 and 2 were partially included in reference 17.
(Invitrogen, La Jolla, CA, USA) vector as described (14). AtT-20 cells were transfected with 1.5 μg DNA of each vector using the FuGENE6 transfection reagent (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), followed by selection for stable clones with 300 μg/ml geneticin (G418; Promega Corp., Madison, WI, USA).

**Antibodies**

Polyclonal rabbit anti-human GH antibodies were purchased from ICN Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Eschwege, Germany). Rat monoclonal anti-Grp94 (endoplasmic reticulum, ER) antibodies were purchased from MBL through LabForce AG (Nunningen, Switzerland). Mouse monoclonal anti-Golgi (anti-βCOP) and mouse monoclonal anti-Rab3a (secretory granules) antibodies were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA) and Synaptic Systems (Göttingen, Germany) respectively. All conjugated secondary antibodies were obtained from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc. and Molecular Probes (West Grove, PA, USA).

**Cell preparation and immunofluorescence staining**

AtT-20 cells expressing normal or mutant GH forms were grown on slide flask Nunc delta, Article Nr. Nunc170920 (Falcon; Nalge Nunc International, Naperville, IL, USA), fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, and permeabilized with 0.05% Tween 20 in 2% BSA plus buffer. The cells were incubated with primary antibodies for GH and one of the following organelle markers. Grp94 (glucose-related proteins) belongs to a group of heat shock proteins (Hsp 90) and is one of the ER chaperones and acts as a marker for ER (20, 30). βCOP is the major component of the COP-I protein complex, which is critical for vesicular traffic between the ER and Golgi, and is useful as a marker for the vesicular-tubular clusters found at the cis face of the Golgi stack, as well as the cis-Golgi itself (31). Rab3a, a member of Rab protein family, belongs to the ras-related superfamily of small monomeric GTPases and is a marker for secretory vesicles (32). After washing, cells were incubated with AlexaFluor 488 (Invitrogen, La Jolla, CA, USA) conjugated secondary antibody against the GH primary antibodies and Cy3-conjugated secondary antibody against one of the cell organelles primary antibodies, for 30 min at 37°C. Negative controls consisted of replacement of primary antibodies by serum. In order to make sure that AtT-20 cells were not modified by the vector transfection procedure, the staining with antibodies against markers of ER, Golgi and granules was checked before and after transfection. Confocal images of double-labelled cells were recorded using a Zeiss LSM 410 laser scanning confocal microscope. AlexaFluor 488 was excited at 488 nm and Cy3 at 517 nm. Emission wavelengths of 510 nm were collected for AlexaFluor 488 and 575–640 nm for Cy3. Quantitative co-localization analysis of either normal or mutant GH with organelle markers was performed, using the Imaris 3.2 co-localization software module (Bitplane AG, Zürich, Switzerland). Earlier reports described a statistical means to quantify co-localization of molecules from confocal images (33). The image of each cell is scanned and fluorescent signals from the two fluorochromes are quantitated at the overlapping position. The resulting histograms display overlapping peaks of high signal intensity if the two proteins co-localize. Correlation between the fluorochromes was quantified as the Pearson correlation (1 for perfect correlation, 0 for no correlation, and −1 for inverse correlation). Average correlation coefficients of fluorescent signals from cells stimulated at different times can then be compared. The mean fluorescence intensity at different time points of 30 cells was measured for each organelle and GH. Statistical analyses were performed using ANOVA (analysis of variance) one-way test plus Dunnet’s multiple comparison tests comparing each mutant to wt-GH.

**Forskolin stimulation**

To test for possible differences in the regulated secretion of mutant GHs compared with wt-GH, stably transfected cells were stimulated with forskolin and examined at different time points. Three different sets of experiments, each lasting for 24 h were performed. Stably transfected cells were seeded on slide flasks without addition of G418. After 12 h of growth, medium was removed from the first sets of cells. Cells were washed twice with PBS and were further incubated with DMEM plus 50 μM forskolin (Sigma Aldrich) for 2 h. After this time, the media were removed and fresh DMEM without FCS (i.e. medium free of nutrients and growth factors) was added to the cells for an additional incubation of 10 h to give a set of cells that were examined 12 h after the beginning of forskolin stimulation. This procedure was repeated with other sets of cells after 16 h and 20 h of growth to give a time point corresponding to 8 h and 4 h post-stimulation respectively. The slides were washed and fixed for immunostaining as mentioned above. Each set of experiments was repeated at least 6 times.

**Measurements of GH in the cell culture**

The amount of GH (wt-GH, R183H GH and P89L GH mutant) secreted into the cell culture supernatant was quantitatively assessed using a variety of assays as follows: hGH immunochemiluminometric assay (ICMA) (Nichols Diagnostics Institute, Bad Vilbel, Germany), GH ELISA and immuno-functional assay (IFA) (34, 35). The methods have been described previously in detail (35, 36).
Nucleofection and AtT-20 cell proliferation assay

The impact of expression of the different GH mutants on AtT-20 cell viability was assessed by cell proliferation assays after transient transfection. $1 \times 10^6$ AtT-20 cells were nucleofected (Nucleofector, Amaxa Biosystems GmbH, Cologne, Germany) in an electroporation cuvette along with nucleofector solution R and wild-type or mutant GH using the programme A-23. Cells were transferred into fresh pre-warmed media with 10% FCS. The effect of wild-type GH or mutant GH transfection on cell viability was then assessed using a CellTiter 96 Aqueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay (MTS assay, Promega Corp., Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Cells were plated in 96-well plates at $5 \times 10^4$ cells per well in triplicate wells and incubated for 0 to 3 days. The absorbance at 490 nm was recorded using a Rainbow ELISA reader (Magellan software, Tecan Company, Groedig, Austria). Transfection efficiency was checked with a control plasmid, EGFP-N1, expressing enhanced green fluorescent protein and was analysed by fluorescent microscopy. All the values obtained from 1–3 days were corrected with the background values measured after 7 h of nucleofection.

Results

Subjects suffering from a P89L GH missense mutation

Hormonal data In addition to all affected family members, children previously diagnosed with IGHD II were re-tested at final height (AH) following 5.5 to 9.6 years of rhGH treatment (Table 1). Although there was no difference in age at diagnosis between the P89L GH and the R183H GH group (median, 7.35 vs 7.2 years), a striking difference was found when the other pituitary gland-derived hormones were assessed either following the rhGH treatment or in the untreated adults (Table 1). No patients belonging to the R183H group presented with another additional pituitary-derived hormonal deficiency other than IGHD. Furthermore, TSH and cortisol levels were statistically different between the groups, as were the total thyroxine values ($P < 0.001$).

Size of the pituitary gland In order to compare sizes of the pituitary gland and its development, patients with the P89L GH missense mutation were compared with subjects presenting with R183H GH. At diagnosis, there was no statistical difference between the pituitary heights among the patients belonging to the different groups of missense mutations (mean values: P89L, $-1.3$ SDS; R183H, $-1.4$ SDS). As we did not have control MRI scans in all the patients, pituitary gland size was re-assessed in six randomly selected adult subjects of each group. At that time, pituitary gland sizes of the P89L GH patients were significantly smaller than those of the R183H group (mean values: $-2.3$ SDS vs $-1.7$ SDS; $P < 0.05$). Furthermore, there was a tendency towards a slight reduction of the adenohypophyseal height in patients with evolving endocrinopathy, comparing the MRI scans of patients with evolving endocrinopathy ($n = 3$) with those without ($n = 3$) (mean values: $-2.5$ SDS vs $-2.1$ SDS).

Intracellular localization of either wt-GH or mutants such as R183H GH and P89L GH analysed by confocal microscopy

Figure 2 shows expression of wt-GH, P89L GH and R183H GH when stably expressed in AtT-20 cells following 24 h of growth after plating. Panel I shows the overall distribution of wt-GH and missense mutants, with the heaviest staining in a peri-nuclear region, consistent with accumulation in the ER and Golgi complex, and in a punctuate staining near the plasma membrane, consistent with accumulation in secretory granules. This might be expected since all three proteins share the same signal peptide, but this analysis does not rule out quantitative differences in co-localization. Indeed, co-staining with antibodies against markers for ER (anti-Grp94, Fig. 2A), Golgi (anti-βCOP, Fig 2B) and/or granules (anti-Rab3a, Fig 2C) began to reveal differences in the extent of subcellular co-localization. This was pursued by confocal analysis of these stably transfected cells in another set of experiments, where the cells were forskolin stimulated (forskolin stimulation assay) and stained after variable time points (4, 8, and 12 h) (Fig. 3). Fluorescent immunohistochemical images were recorded from single cells and the degree of co-localization with markers was calculated using Imaris co-localization software. We found a high co-localization of wt-GH with ER ($r = 0.68$, 0.74 and 0.81), Golgi ($r = 0.7$, 0.75 and 0.81) and vesicles ($r = 0.8$, 0.79 and 0.83) after 4 h, 8 h and 12 h respectively. In contrast, R183H GH showed only weak association with ER ($r = 0.36$, 0.49 and 0.45), Golgi ($r = 0.53$, 0.29 and 0.35) and vesicles ($r = 0.40$, 0.44, and 0.47) after 4 h, 8 h and 12 h respectively; representing a significantly ($P < 0.001$) reduced co-location in all three cell compartments compared with wt-GH. Cells expressing P89L GH also displayed a 50% reduction in co-location with the markers for ER ($r = 0.33$, 0.29, 0.58), Golgi ($r = 0.37$, 0.4) and vesicles ($r = 0.52$, 0.45) after 4 h.
8 h and 12 h (ER only) compared with wt-GH. However, the co-localization of P89L GH with Golgi ($r = 0.65$) and secretory vesicles ($r = 0.75$) increased significantly after 12 h and reached similar values as found for wt-GH transfected cells. We obtained identical results with three different stable clones of each variant. However, stable transfection selects the strong and resistant clones, so we also examined forskolin-stimulated cells after transient transfection with each mutant. The correlation coefficients of the data comparing transient versus stable transfections, ranged from 0.7 to 0.9 (not shown), suggesting that the differences we observed between the mutants were not simply due to the selection of particular stably transfected clones.

Figure 2 Subcellular co-localization of wild-type and mutant forms of GH with different organelle markers in fixed cells. (A) GH and Grp94 (endoplasmic reticulum marker) co-localization. (B) GH and βCOP (Golgi marker). (C) GH and Rab3a (secretory granules). Row I, specific staining for wt-GH or the mutants is indicated by green colour fluorescent (FITC labelled). Row II, positive staining for cell organelles is shown by fluorescent red colour (Cy3 labelled) in the same microscopic field as in row I. Row III, merged images. Row IV, masked areas, where the intensity of the green and red fluorescence was measured in the co-localized area.

Figure 3 Quantitative analysis of confocal microscopy images. Images were obtained from the cells stimulated with forskolin at 3 different time points (4 h, 8 h and 12 h). Fluorescent intensities of co-localized areas were measured for GH or the mutants with each cell organelle. The degree of co-localization of two fluorochrome signals (GH, FITC; organelles, Cy3) was measured from a single cell (as described in the text) and average Pearson correlation coefficients are shown for 30 AtT-20 cells expressing wt-GH, R183H GH or P89L GH mutants for each organelle. (a) Correlation between staining for wt-GH and the various organelles at 4, 8 and 12 h after stimulation. (b and c) As (a) for R183H GH and P89L GH mutant GH respectively. *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$; ns: not significant.
**Determination of GH and ACTH secretion**

In the next experiments the amount of GH isoforms secreted into the supernatants was determined using three different GH assays normalized to the same GH standard to control for the possibility that the mutations alter antibody binding affinity and subsequent determination of GH concentration in individual assays (34). The DSL ELISA (Diagnostic Systems Laboratories, San Juan, Capistrano, CA, USA) and the ICMA assays are both conventional ELISAs. The immunofunctional assay (IFA) has been developed for detection uses labelled GH binding protein (GHBP), which binds to binding site 1 of the human GH. Both GH mutants were detectable by all three methods and the ratios for both ELISAs (ICMA/DSL) were the same for wt-GH, R183H GH and P89L GH, as were the ratios of IFA/DSL and IFA/ICMA. The other assays, DSL and ICMA, showed the same ratio for both GH variants, indicating that the mutation P89L does not affect the affinity for the antibody used in these two conventional ELISAs. Therefore, for quantification, hGH-DSL was the ELISA used for the rest of the study. Mouse ACTH concentrations were also measured as an internal control for secretion from AtT-20 cells. Here, ACTH secretion is being used as a marker for the secretory pathway in general, and not to support a specific mechanism for ACTH deficiency. As expected, the concentration of both wt-GH and ACTH increased in the supernatant with time after stimulation (Table 2). The mean values for wt-GH were found to be 5.0, 8.0 and 14.3 ng/ml after 4 h, 8 h and 12 h respectively. In contrast, 50% less R183H GH was secreted (Table 2), whereas their ACTH secretion increased with time (Table 2) as in wt-GH stably transfected cells, suggesting that R183H GH has no non-specific inhibitory effect on the secretory pathway in these cells. In contrast, no P89L GH was detected in the supernatant even after 12 h (Table 2), although after 24 h a slow accumulation was noted (1.138 ng/ml/24 h). With this mutant, however, ACTH secretion was also reduced (11.52 ng/ml/24 h) suggesting that the P89L GH form disturbed the endogenous ACTH secretory pathway in these cells.

**Cell proliferation assay**

As AtT-20 cells expressing the P89L GH mutant showed reduction in both GH as well as ACTH secretion, cell proliferation was compared at 24 h, 48 h and 72 h after transient transfection with wt-GH or missense mutants to assess their effects on cell viability. Using EGFP-N1 as a positive control, we achieved 70–80% transfection efficiency in viable AtT-20 cells. The proliferation rate of cells expressing P89L GH was equivalent to that of cells expressing wt-GH and was slightly higher than that of cells transfected with vector alone (Fig. 4). Cells expressing both missense constructs (P89L GH and R183H GH) exhibited significantly greater proliferation (P < 0.001) than the cells transfected with a GH construct with a splice site mutation at position +2 (IVS-3 + 2 bp) at all time points tested, and the time course of proliferation was very similar, increasing after 24 h and 48 h. This increase in cell proliferation persisted for up to 72 h but dropped back thereafter. These experiments suggest that despite the impact of P89L GH expression on secretion, this is not simply due to a non-specific effect on cell viability or proliferation, at least under these conditions.

![Image of Table 2](image-url)

Table 2 Effect of forskolin stimulation of GH and ACTH secretion at different time points from stable AtT-20 cells expressing wt-GH or one of the mutants. The mean values ± S.E.M. of 6 independent experiments are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Δ increase GH</th>
<th>Δ increase ACTH</th>
<th>GH/ACTH (secretion index)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GH (ng/ml)</td>
<td>ng/ml</td>
<td>ACTH (ng/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt-GH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 h</td>
<td>5.0±2.8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14.94±4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 h</td>
<td>8.0±4.5</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>26.8±8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 h</td>
<td>14.3±5.7</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>35.7±13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R183H GH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 h</td>
<td>2.8±0.92</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>19.43±12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 h</td>
<td>5.5±1.5</td>
<td>196.4</td>
<td>26.0±15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 h</td>
<td>7.9±0.9</td>
<td>282.1</td>
<td>34.3±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P89L GH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 h</td>
<td>0.007*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.48±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 h</td>
<td>0.014*</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>10.0±2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 h</td>
<td>0.0128*</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>10.91±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>1.138*</td>
<td>1.131</td>
<td>11.52±0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The secretion index is calculated as concentration GH/concentration ACTH in the supernatant (37). R183H GH showed a 50% reduction in GH release compared with wt-GH, but the secretion index remained close to 1. In contrast, cells expressing P89L GH showed a significant reduction in GH release after 12 h, but small amounts of GH were released after 24 h (1.338 ng/ml/24 h). *P<0.01 compared with corresponding values for wt-GH using a Mann–Whitney test.
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Discussion

It has been suggested that children with splice site mutations in their GH-1 gene may be younger and shorter at diagnosis than their counterparts with missense mutations (15). However, when various mutations were analysed in detail (3, 7–15), it became clear that this generalization did not hold true for patients presenting either the C6129T missense mutation yielding P89L GH, or the 5’IVS-3 + 5/+6 bp splice site mutations leading to the exon 3 skipped 17.5-kDa form of GH. Furthermore, we recently reported that subjects with 5’IVS-3 + 1/+2 bp splice site mutations skipping exon 3 are not only more severely GHD but may eventually present with other pituitary hormone deficiencies, unlike the patients with IGHD II caused by splice site mutations skipping exon 3 (17). There were two aims of the present study. One was to evaluate in more detail the possible additional endocrine deficits in four families with the missense P89L GH mutation compared with subjects with the R183H GH mutation causing IGHD II. The other was to compare the cellular effects of this and other dominant IGHD II missense mutations when expressed in a model endocrine cell line in vitro.

Clinical course of IGHD II in subjects expressing P89L GH

Compared with patients with IGHD II caused by splice site mutations leading to a skipping of exon 3 (median age at diagnosis, 3 years) (17), patients with P89L GH were rather older (median age, 7.35 years) when GHD was diagnosed by their caring physician (mean height velocity, 2.7 cm/year; −5.8 SDS). Although this time of primary diagnosis was comparable with that in subjects presenting with R183H GH missense mutations (7.2 years median) and much later than in subjects with splice site mutations, examination of their growth charts revealed that subjects with P89L GH were falling off the centiles much earlier (Fig. 1). Their response to GH replacement therapy (15–20 IU/m²/week) would be judged as good as all subjects surpassed their estimated parental target heights. However, we interpret this result with caution as in an autosomal dominant growth disorder one parental height may well be compromised. The most striking difference noted in patients with P89L GH is the longer-term impact on other pituitary hormonal axes. Out of twelve subjects, eight were partially ACTH and/or TSH deficient either at the median age of 16.5 years following GH replacement therapy (n = 3) or in all but one untreated affected adult family members at the median adult age of 47 years (n = 5). This contrasts markedly with data from 17 patients with IGHD II caused by the R183H missense mutated GH form (Table 1). In addition to endocrine deficits, pituitary hypoplasia also developed in subjects with P89L GH. Although at the beginning no differences in pituitary sizes assessed by MRI scans between the various forms of IGHD II were found, at the time of re-evaluation, the pituitary gland sizes of P89L GH affected subjects were significantly smaller when compared with the normative data reported by Tsunoda et al. (29). The combination of severity of phenotype, pituitary hypoplasia and incidence of pituitary endocrine deficits of the most severe splice site mutations is shared by this particular P89L missense mutation.

Analysis of the P89L GH variant at the cellular level

Intracellular co-localization To look for differences in effects of missense mutations, we performed co-localization analysis of wt-GH, R183H GH or P89L GH stably transfected into a suitable endocrine cell line, using organelle markers with or without stimulation of secretion with forskolin. To avoid the complication of interactions with endogenous GH, we used AtT20 cells whose endogenous ACTH production and secretion could be followed as an internal control. Co-staining with antibodies against GH and either anti-Grp94 (ER), anti-βCOP (Golgi) or anti-Rab3a (vesicles) revealed that wt-GH and both R183H GH and P89L GH mutants presented different subcellular co-localization patterns. At 4 h and 8 h after forskolin treatment, an overall reduction of co-localization in all the compartments studied of about 50% was found for both mutants (R183H GH, P89L GH) compared with the wt-GH transfected cells. However, by 12 h, we observed a significant increase of co-localization of P89L GH (but not R183H GH) with Golgi as well as secretory vesicle markers, to reach values similar to those for wt-GH. This suggests that even if misfolded and with some delay, P89L can enter the secretory vesicle pathway.
**GH secreted into the supernatant** We used various methods to measure the variable GH forms since most assays are characterized for the detection of 22-kDa GH and their cross reactivities to mutant GH proteins are uncertain (34). This important fact was analysed and it has to be stressed that P89L GH and R183H GH expressed alone were reproducibly detectable by DSL-ELISA, ICMA and IFA in a clear and dose-dependent manner. In agreement with the co-localization data, R183H GH secretion into the supernatant was reduced by around 50%, compared with wt-GH, whereas endogenous ACTH secretion remained stable, suggesting that this mutation affects GH production but does not compromise the secretory pathway in general. In contrast, no P89L GH was secreted over 12 h, and ACTH release was also drastically reduced, suggesting that the presence of this form of GH had a more general effect on the secretory activity of the cell. With extended time of analysis, a slight increase in P89L GH was observed. This could imply that the secretory block was overcome, but could also reflect leakage or overflow into constitutive secretory pathways when the regulated pathway was compromised. These data emphasize that simply finding P89L GH accumulating in secretory vesicles by colocalization, does not imply that these organelles are competent to release this cargo.

**Possible mechanism and clinical impact**

Taken together, our data suggest that the two missense GH mutants have different impacts on secretion in endocrine cells. Both may be misfolded in different ways and neither is as efficiently processed as wt-GH.

The R183H GH mutant shows reduced synthesis and secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affecting secretion, but does not affect ACTH release, implying a specific impact on vesicles carrying a GH cargo, but not affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins. We have previously shown in an individual affecting packaging and release of other secreted proteins, but not affect...
give new information on the impact of misfolded proteins in secretory pathways in other endocrine cell types and syndromes of autonomous dominant hormone deficiencies.
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