History and perspectives of pituitary folliculo-stellate cell research
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Abstract

Historically, the study of folliculo-stellate (FS) cells of the anterior pituitary dates back to the onset of electron microscopical observation of the pituitary gland. The morphological and electrophysiological characteristics, topographical distribution and contribution to intercellular junctions of these FS cells have been instrumental to the understanding of their putative function. Moreover, many studies have documented the role of FS cells as a source of newly discovered peptides, growth factors and cytokines. Quantitative immunohistochemical observation of FS cells in situ and functional in vitro studies, using either cultured FS cells or cells from an immortalized FS cell line, forwarded the notion of immunophenotypical and functional heterogeneity of the FS cell group. Double immunolabeling with a classical FS cell marker (S-100 protein) and with major histocompatibility complex class II markers characteristic for dendritic cells (DC) have shown a considerable overlap of FS cells with DC. The latter cells are immunocompetent cells belonging to the mononuclear phagocyte system. In this review, the FS cell heterogeneity is discussed with respect to the question of their embryological origin and developmental fate and with respect to the physiological relevance of functionally heterogeneous subpopulations. Recent findings of a myeloid origin of part of the interstitial cells of the anterior pituitary are confronted by other developmental paradigms of pituitary cell differentiation. The possibility that FS cells represent an adult stem cell population of the pituitary is critically examined. Also the physiological role of FS cells in the interferon-γ and nitric oxide-mediated effects on pituitary hormone secretion is discussed. New approaches for the study of this enigmatic cell group using immortalized cell lines and new markers for an hitherto unrecognized pituitary cell population, the so-called 'side population', are evaluated.
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Introduction

The history of the study of folliculo-stellate (FS) cells in the anterior pituitary dates back more than half a century. Already in the early days of electron microscopy, the anterior pituitary was considered an interesting organ for electron microscopic studies (1, 2), and the peculiar nature of FS cells was soon discovered. The name 'folliculo-stellate' cells, coined by Vila-Porcile (3), was given to cover the diverse aspects of their morphology and putative function. On the one hand, FS cells were described as non-endocrine stellate-shaped cells and were considered to act as supporting cells for the endocrine cells. On the other hand, FS cells were lining follicle-like cavities and therefore were also named 'follicular cells'. Many authors continued to call them follicular cells, these being identical to FS cells (3). Moreover, they were found to be involved in trophic and catabolic processes and in macromolecular transport (4, 5). In addition, FS cells shared properties with dendritic cells (DC) and also with macrophages, which related them to the mononuclear phagocyte system (6–8).

FS cells were found to be a source of newly discovered growth factors and peptides such as basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) (9), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) (10, 11) and follistatin (11), as well as of cytokines such as leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) (12), interleukin (IL)-6 (13) and macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) (14). FS cells were also shown to respond to β-adrenergic stimuli and displayed enhanced cAMP-accumulation upon β-adrenergic stimulation (15). Some groups have studied in more detail the involvement of FS cells in dipeptide uptake mechanisms (16), or their role in a local pituitary cytokine network (13, 14, 17). Finally, their role in the production of the radical nitric oxide (NO) and in NO signaling (18–20) brought them into the limelight.
again when the role of NO in the regulation of pituitary hormone secretion was discovered (18, 21). The electrophysiological properties of presumed FS cells have been studied in detail in the amphibian *Xenopus laevis* (22). Older studies have especially focussed on the transport epithelial characteristics of FS cells (23) and the contribution of FS cells to the regulation of the pituitary interstitial environment (23), and to paracrine communication with pituitary hormone-secreting cells (24, 25).

Already in 1975, gap junctions were described between follicular cells of the rat anterior pituitary by Orci and co-workers (26). It was also suggested that homologous pituitary cells could presumably form an electrically coupled functional syncytium (27). However, more than 20 years later Fauquier et al. (28) provided evidence for electrical coupling of FS cells via calcium waves, propagating through gap junctions within the FS cell network. This electrically coupled FS cell network was suggested to provide a mechanism for long-distance communication in the anterior pituitary in response to physiological stimuli (28). The finding of thyrotropin (TSH) receptors expressed in a subpopulation of FS cells *in situ* and in cell lines also opened a new perspective on ultra-short feedback loop regulation by FS cells of TSH secretion (29, 30).

In the Japanese school of F Yoshimura and co-workers, the idea that FS cells form a renewal cell system for endocrine cells, similar to the adult stem cell system of the brain (31, 32), persisted from the late 60s (33) until the present day (34, 35). Both the establishment of an immortalized FS cell-derived cell line (36), as well as the growing interest in transdifferentiation processes in the pituitary (35, 37–39), have shed new light on these ‘old’ concepts. Although fundamental insight has been gathered on cell lineage relationships and development during embryogenesis of the pituitary, little is known about cell development and homeostatic cell turnover in the adult gland. Formation of new hormone-producing cells involves mitosis of existing differentiated cells, but also, and apparently to a higher extent, maturation of undifferentiated cells (40, 41). It has repeatedly been suggested that stem/progenitor cells are implied in this process of pituitary cell turnover (40–42). At present, stem/progenitor cells are being found in a growing number of adult tissues, but have not been identified yet in the pituitary. FS cells have more than once been suggested to play a role as stem cells (34, 37). Convincing evidence for a phenotypical change of FS cells into other pituitary cell types, however, is still to be provided. A contemporary concept related to stem cells is that of ‘transdifferentiation’ of cells, which can even occur across germ cell layers, such as neural stem cells giving rise to hematopoietic cells (32). As mentioned, transdifferentiation processes such as the conversion between acidophilic cell types (lactotropes and somatotropes) also take place in the pituitary (38, 39).

Multiple basic questions, however, remain, and these may be redefined and re-investigated by implementing new technologies. The question, formulated by Inoue as ‘Are FS-cells in the anterior pituitary gland supportive cells or organ-specific stem cells?’ has not been unequivocally answered at present, and possibly the answer is dual. Questions like these may be formulated in terms of a few basic questions: (a) what is the relation between structure and function of FS cells? (see ‘Morphology and function of FS cells’ below) and (b) what is the embryological origin and fate of these cells? (see ‘Embryological origin of FS cells and functional implications of FS cell heterogeneity’ below). From the observation of multiple morphologies and functions, the following question directly results: (c) is the FS cell group heterogeneous and does the morphological heterogeneity also reflect a functional heterogeneity, or does it mark different developmental stages? (see ‘Embryological origin of FS cells and functional implications of FS cell heterogeneity’ and ‘New approaches for old questions’ below). Moreover, the latter question (c) implies that caution is needed because of the following: (d) what is the *in vivo* relevance of some of the *in vitro* findings? and (e) what is the influence of culture conditions upon FS cell physiology? (see ‘New perspectives in FS cell physiology’ below).

**Morphology and function of FS cells**

*Morphology of FS cells and compartmentalization of the anterior pituitary*

The concept of a stellate-shaped, supporting cell type in the anterior pituitary originates from the early electron microscopic studies of pituitary cells (1). Originally, these stellate-shaped cells were believed to be corticotropes (2). The name folliculo-stellate cell (FS cell) was coined by Vila-Porcile (3), to unite the concepts of a stellate-like cell type with long extensions spreading between the epithelial cell chords (1, 43), and of an epithelial folliculo-stellate cell type (44) lining an anastomosing network of follicles and lacunae in the adenohypophysis.

In our first review (4), we discussed some conflicting viewpoints in the literature on the compartmentalization of the pituitary interstitial environment. This compartmentalization was due to the formation *in situ* (26, 45) and *in vitro* (23, 46) of tight junctional barriers between FS cells. The apparent discrepancy of a ‘tight’ barrier (corresponding to completely ramified tight junctions) (23, 46) and our observations of good permeability of cell aggregates *in vitro* (25) could be traced to the type of cell culture used. Observations *in situ* revealed a ‘leaky’ barrier corresponding to incompletely ramifying tight junctions (26, 45). *In vitro* studies using static monolayer cultures, with pituitary cells (46) or enriched FS cells (23) plated at high density, resulted in the formation of confluent monolayers and also ‘tight’ diffusion barriers and dome formation (23). In our studies, however, we
used three-dimensional (3D) re-aggregated cell cultures, obtained by gyratory shaking of isolated pituitary cells in suspension, yielding a more histotypic cell culture system of the rat anterior pituitary (24, 25). Permeability studies of the interstitial environment in FS cell-enriched cell aggregates (47) confirmed the leakiness of intercellular junctions in vitro, similar to intercellular junctions in the rat anterior pituitary in situ (3, 26, 45).

**FS cells in paracrine communication in the anterior pituitary**

In the 30 years following their description, a variety of roles in pituitary support, metabolism and function have been ascribed to FS cells (for review see 4). Insight into FS cell function had a new launch when, about two decades ago, Denef and co-workers identified FS cells as cells endowed with paracrine regulatory activities. During the search for a paracrine factor involved in lactotrope–gonadotrope communication (48, 49), a factor was unexpectedly detected that caused the attenuation of secretion responses to either stimulatory (24) or inhibitory hormones (25) (see Table 1). This attenuating effect was more pronounced in cell aggregates obtained from low-density gradient fractions, in which FS cells (i.e. cells immunopositive to S-100 protein) (50, 51) were the most prominent cell type (24, 52), and correlated with the proportion of FS cells present in recombined gradient fractions. It was suggested that this inhibitory effect represents a mechanism of homeostasis with important regulatory function in the anterior pituitary in vivo (4). Evidence was provided that this inhibitory activity was mediated by a paracrine factor secreted by FS cells (24). Almost simultaneously, a number of candidate paracrine substances were discovered and reported to be secreted by FS cells (bFGF (9), VEGF (10, 11), follistatin (11) and IL-6 (13, 53)) (for review see 4). However, several of the candidate factors identified in FS cells (e.g. S-100, bFGF and IL-6) were found to exert a stimulatory effect on the secretion of various pituitary hormones in cultured pituitary cells or cell lines (see Table 1). On the other hand, IL-6 was found to have a small but significant inhibitory effect on corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF)-stimulated adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) secretion (in monolayer cultures) (H Vankelecom, unpublished observations). The next methodological question raised was whether, in the absence of normal physiological regulation and feedback mechanisms, an inhibitory effect of FS cells on hormone secretion in culture could be provoked by particular in vitro conditions. IFN-γ was found to inhibit the stimulated secretion of ACTH, PRL and GH in rat anterior pituitary monolayer and aggregate cell cultures (57). This effect was mediated through FS cells present in recombined cell cultures (17). This finding was instrumental in the discovery of NO as one of the mediators of the FS cell inhibitory action. Similar to its activity in macrophages, IFN-γ stimulated the expression of inducible NO synthase (iNOS) in FS cells, at least part of them, and the NO produced was shown to mediate the inhibitory effect of IFN-γ (19, 20). IL-6, on the other hand, was not involved since antibodies to IL-6 were unable to block the effect of IFN-γ on stimulated hormone secretion (H Vankelecom, unpublished observations). Expression of the constitutive isoform of NO synthase (cNOS) was previously demonstrated in gonadotrophs and FS cells of rat anterior pituitaries (18). Also in the amphibian Xenopus laevis, FS cells as well as endocrine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System of FS cells or FS cell-derived factors</th>
<th>Type of culture</th>
<th>Effect on AP hormone secretion</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FS cell-enriched population</td>
<td>Coculture in 3D cell aggregates</td>
<td>GRF-stimulated GH</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditioned medium from FS cell-enriched population</td>
<td>3D cell aggregates of lactotropes</td>
<td>Angiotensin II-stimulated PRL</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS cell-enriched population</td>
<td>Coculture in 3D cell aggregates</td>
<td>LHRH-stimulated LH</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TtT/GF cells</td>
<td>Mixed monolayer cultures with GH3 cells</td>
<td>Dopamine-inhibited PRL</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-100</td>
<td>Pituitary cell line</td>
<td>SRIF-inhibited GH</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bFGF</td>
<td>Long-term pituitary cell culture</td>
<td>LHRH-priming of LH in subsequent pulses</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>Perifused AP cells</td>
<td>Basal PRL</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>Monolayer cultures of AP cells</td>
<td>TRH-induced PRL</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ activated FS cells</td>
<td>Monolayer cultures of AP cells</td>
<td>PRL, TSH</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIF</td>
<td>TtT/GF cells</td>
<td>PRL, GH, LH</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CRF-stimulated ACTH</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stimulated ACTH, PRL, GH and basal PRL</td>
<td>17, 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dex-inhibited endotoxin-induced IL 6</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) | means ‘inhibits’; † means ‘attenuates’ inhibition; ‖ means ‘stimulates’. IFN-γ, interferon γ; GRF, growth hormone releasing factor; GH, growth hormone; PRL, prolactin; LH, luteinizing hormone; LHRH, LH-releasing hormone; MIF, macrophage migration inhibitory factor; SRIF, somatostatin; TRH, thyrotropin-releasing hormone; ACTH, adrenocorticotropic hormone; dex, dexamethasone.
cells of the pituitary pars intermedia were capable of NO production in vitro (58). However, because of its very short half-life, NO is in itself unlikely to represent the inhibitory factor present in medium conditioned by FS cell-enriched cell populations. The search for a FS cell-derived factor that causes the attenuation of pituitary hormone secretion responses (18–20, 24, 25, 57) thus raised new questions on the physiological significance of NO in the pituitary (58).

It was recently shown that annexin-1 (ANXA1) in the pituitary is principally expressed in FS cells (59). Annexins are a group of proteins that share the property of interacting with membranes in a Ca^{2+}-dependent manner. Tierney et al. (59) demonstrated that glucocorticoids cause the exportation of ANXA1 from FS cells and that corticotrophs express ANXA1-binding sites. These observations led to the conclusion that ANXA1 acts as a paracrine or juxtacrine mediator of the early inhibitory effects of glucocorticoids on ACTH release (59).

The general inhibitory effect of FS cells on pituitary hormone secretion initially raised the question as to whether a reduced permeability or stereological impediment of secretagogues to reach their target cells might be at stake (47). The concern about steric effects was a genuine one in view of the tight compartmentalization of the interstitial environment suggested by some in vitro studies (23, 46) (see 'Morphology of FS cells and compartmentalization of the anterior pituitary' above). Diffusion barriers, however, were not present, as shown by real-time permeability studies in pituitary cell aggregates together with deconvolution techniques for the calculation of hormone secretion patterns (47) and, most particularly, by the observation of long-term effects of FS cells in a biphasic hormone secretion model (52). The latter secretion model was the biphasic LH secretion response to repeated pulses of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) (60, 61). FS cells were found to diminish the self-potentiating effect of GnRH in gonadotropes, by attenuating the differences in secretion rate between early and late LH responses to GnRH in the same cell aggregates (52). The mechanisms involved in the latter aspect of homeostatic control, however, are still unsolved. Masumoto et al. (62) suggested a role for IL-6 and intracellular free calcium ([Ca^{2+}]) in the paracrine regulation of GnRH-induced LH secretion from purified gonadotropes. FS cells have been shown to be producers of IL-6 in the anterior pituitary (13, 53), and [Ca^{2+}] waves were demonstrated in FS cell networks that are intimately associated with endocrine cells (28). The hypothesis, which remains to be tested, is that electrical coupling of FS cells (28), in separate or in mixed electrically coupled systems with gonadotropes, may cause the long-term effects on GnRH-induced LH secretion (52). Alternatively, NO released from gonadotropes or FS cells (18, 19), or from as yet unidentified cells (19), may form a distinct pathway of autocrine or paracrine regulation respectively of gonadotropin secretion (see also legend to Fig. 2).

To conclude, the permeability studies, as well as recent electrophysiological studies, have corroborated the role of FS cells in the local homeostatic control of pituitary hormone secretion. Clearly, further research into these processes is needed to bring together the different pieces of the puzzle.

Embryological origin of FS cells and functional implications of FS cell heterogeneity

Immunophenotype and FS cell heterogeneity

The issue of FS cell heterogeneity was launched by the observation of different markers in subpopulations of FS cells: a proportion of FS cells was found to co-express S-100 and glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), a proportion to contain both S-100 and keratin (63), and some FS cells to co-express S-100 or GFAP and vimentin (64) (Fig. 1, right column). This heterogeneous character was reinforced by the detection of immunohistochemical, morphological and ultrastructural resemblance between DC and FS cells (6). The relationship between DC and other cell types of the mononuclear phagocyte system such as monocytes and macrophages and their occurrence in the pituitary has been reviewed in (7). Co-localization studies of markers for DC, such as the major histocompatibility complex (MHC)-class II antigen, and the marker for FS cells (S-100) yielded some important findings: (a) using double immunolabeling at the electron- and light-microscopic level, cells expressing both S-100 and MHC-class II antigen were found, but co-expression occurred only in 10–20% of the S-100^- cells (6) (a proportion remarkably similar to the one expressing iNOS after activation by IFN-γ (20)) and (b) the expression of both S-100 (65, 66) and MHC-class II antigen did not occur before the postnatal age of 2 weeks in rats (67) or in the chick at hatching (68).

FS cells have also been indicated as the source of several cytokines that are normally produced by peripheral immune cells (see 'New perspectives in FS cell physiology' below). Very recently, Tierney et al. (14) found immunoreactivity to the proinflammatory cytokine MIF in part of the S-100^- FS cells and in some endocrine cell types.

Clearly the anterior pituitary FS cell population does not consist of a uniform cell type, but embraces subpopulations of different immunophenotype, some of which may not even be identified yet. Whether these subsets represent different cell types, or different stages of development/activation, remains an intriguing question.

Immunophenotype and embryological origin

The immunohistochemical resemblance between DC and FS cells, at least part of them, places the question of the embryological origin of FS cells in the forefront
again. A variety of origins have been proposed (4) since the discovery of S-100 immunoreactivity in FS cells (50, 51). In view of the fact that DC in lymphoid and non-lymphoid tissues also express S-100 immunoreactivity (69), the possibility of a myeloid origin of S-100⁺ cells in the pituitary has been considered in some studies. To examine the presumed bone marrow (BM) origin of S-100⁺ cells in the pituitary has been considered in some studies. To examine the presumed bone marrow (BM) origin of a (subpopulation of) FS cells (7), BM-irradiated mice were transplanted with BM of transgenic mice whose DC can be specifically killed using ganciclovir (GCV) and the thymidine kinase obliteration system (70). S-100- as well as MHC-class II-expressing cells in the pituitary from mice transplanted with transgenic BM were relatively unaffected by GCV-induced ablation of DC (67). However, some of the interstitial pituitary cell populations did show sensitivity to GCV and hence pointed to a BM origin (67): pituitaries were depleted from cells expressing the early macrophage differentiation antigen mac-1 (CD11b) (71, 72) or the macrophage antigen MOMA1 (73). In contrast, administration of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor, known to stimulate transition from monocytes into DC (74), to the BM-recipient mice in the absence of GCV treatment increased the number of mac-1⁺ and MOMA1⁺ cells in the mouse pituitaries compared with control mice (67). In embryonic chicken pituitaries, another early macrophage marker (CVI-ChNl-68.1) (75) was found with a similar tissue distribution and developmental pattern as observed for mac-1 in the mouse (68). CVI-ChNl-68.1⁺ macrophages were infiltrating the anterior pituitary of the chick well before full maturation of the pituitary, and also before mature DC (MHC-class II⁺) and FS cells (S-100⁺) were observed in the pituitary (68) (Fig. 1, middle column). To conclude, although no final proof for a BM origin of DC or FS cells in the anterior pituitary of adult rats and mice was found, the search for a possible hematopoietic precursor led to the discovery of BM-derived, early macrophage differentiation antigen-expressing cells, both in the adult mouse (67) and in the embryonic chicken adenohypophysis (68).

In order to understand FS cell origin and also lineage relationships both from a developmental and evolutionary viewpoint, a characteristic marker would be useful that allows for comparison in a variety of model species in different vertebrate taxa (e.g. mammals, birds, amphibians, teleosts). S-100 was found to be a marker for FS cells in the rat (50, 51) and in various mammalian species as well as in birds (68). However, in the pituitary of the amphibian Xenopus laevis, S-100-like immunoreactivity was present in pituicytes and fibers in the pars nervosa, and also in endocrine cells of the pars distalis, but not in the FS cells of the pars intermedia (W Allaerts, unpublished observations). This finding questions the
usefulness of S-100 as a universal marker for FS cells, despite its expression in several species, from an early time-point in evolution (e.g. in Schwann cells of the bicolored damselfish Pomacentrus partitus, a tropical marine teleost) (76), and despite its presumed sequence conservatism (66). Furthermore, since species barrier problems with non-conserved epitopes in peptide markers cannot be excluded, other markers should also be considered.

FS cells may be characterized using lectin histochemistry, which may be a useful tool especially in non-mammalian vertebrates. Specific lectin markers for FS cells are the Griffonia simplicifolia (GSA) II as shown in the rat (77) or the lectin from the tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) (78). Alternatively, FS cells may be visualized by their capacity for eost (76), and despite its presumed sequence conservation (66). Furthermore, since species barrier problems with non-conserved epitopes in peptide markers cannot be excluded, other markers should also be considered.

New perspectives in FS cell physiology

In 1996 it was found that presumed FS cells in the pituitary pars intermedia of Xenopus laevis displayed quite distinct electrophysiological characteristics as compared with endocrine cells of the gland (22). For instance, FS cells did not fire action potentials and did not receive spontaneous inputs (22). Also, the membrane resistance was much less than that of melanotropes of the pars intermedia. Despite the fact that FS cells were suggested to be non-excitable cells (22), and that for a long time they were considered as non-hormone producing cells in view of the absence of hormone secretion granules (3–5), they do respond to a number of secretagogues (see e.g. 15).

During recent years, an increasing number of growth factors and cytokines have been detected in the anterior pituitary. A still growing list includes S-100 (54), bFGF (9), VEGF and follistatin (10, 11), IL-6 (13, 53, 81), LIF (12), MIF (14) and IL-10 (86). FS cells were indicated as the most plausible source of IL-6 (87). Several studies, however, have documented the phenotypical changes in the FS cell population in pathophysiological conditions such as in pituitary adenomas (88, 89). In addition, the altered cytokine production profiles in pituitary adenomas (90, 91) also caution against conclusions based on findings obtained from neoplasms only. Another reason for caution relates to the culture conditions as illustrated for LIF production by human astrocytes, only detected in astrocytes after being subcultured and not in primary cultures of astrocytes in close contact with neurons (92). In human leptomeningeal fibroblasts, LIF gene expression and protein synthesis have also been found (92). Since fibroblasts may grow

Finally, recent approaches based on transgene technology (79) may yield promising tools to study FS cell lineage relationships (see ‘New approaches for old questions’ below).

Functional heterogeneity

The heterogeneity of FS cells also has implications for their functional characterization. Separation of FS cell subtypes, by using distinct cell separation techniques or combinations thereof, particularly affects their accessory function (which is absent in the purified S-100+ MHC-class II- subpopulation. Moreover, it also largely reduces the IL-6 production in S-100+ MHC-class II+ and S-100+ MHC-class II populations (80). Thus, paracrine communication between FS cell subpopulations (80) might be an important condition for achieving the high IL-6 production rates as observed in pituitary cells in vitro (13, 81).

Cell culture conditions may also have a major impact on the (heterogeneous) phenotype of the FS cell population adopted in culture. Cell differentiation studies have revealed an important role of culture conditions in establishing a certain immunophenotype of cells of the monocyte-macrophage lineage including DC (7). Also the morphology of FS cells in culture appears to be dependent on culture conditions, like seeding condition, coating characteristics of the substratum (58) and method of culturing, either stationary (as monolayers) or on a gyroratory shaker in free floating conditions (as aggregates) (4) (see ‘Morphology of FS cells and compartmentalization of the anterior pituitary’ above).

Finally, functional studies on FS cell subpopulations may be facilitated by the recent availability of immortalized cell lines. Inoue et al. (36) established a folliculostellate-like cell line (TtT/GF) from a murine thyrotropic pituitary tumor. The cell line showed several characteristics of FS cells in situ, and displayed stable expression of GFAP and S-100 after repeated passages (36). Considering that S-100 and GFAP are co-expressed in only 30% of FS cells (63), Inoue et al. (82) have most probably stabilized an immunophenotype that corresponds to a subset of the FS cells in the anterior pituitary. These immunocytochemical data and also the lack of neuronal NOS expression in TtT/GF cells confirm the functional and ontogenetical heterogeneity of the FS cell group (82). Functional studies on these TtT/GF cells revealed that the cells secreted a tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase (TIMP-II) (83), and that they responded to the novel hypophysiotropic peptide, pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating peptide (PACAP), which appeared to enhance the release of IL-6 in TtT/GF (84) as well as in normal pituitary cells (85). It remains to be shown whether PACAP is involved in the paracrine communication of FS cell subpopulations (80), and whether PACAP expression is required for achieving the high IL-6 production rates as observed in pituitary cells in vitro (13, 81).
in many cell culture systems, one has to be careful in pinpointing the cytokine production source in cultures.

It is noteworthy that production of growth factors and cytokines seems to be inducible in FS cells (e.g. see 85), and FS cells at least seem to be ‘excitable’ in this respect. Moreover, the detection in vitro of numerous receptors in FS cells (15) or TT/GF cells (93–95), as well as of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_i\), rises in response to certain stimuli (94), further point to the ‘excitable’ nature of these cells. On the other hand, the occurrence of TSH receptors in a subpopulation of FS cells also expressing MHC-class II receptors (29) points to a possible role of these receptors in the immune accessory function of this FS cell subpopulation. Functional TSH receptors are also found in TT/GF cells; these, however, are not coupled to cAMP or inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate pathways, but probably signal through the Janus kinase/signal transducer and activator of transcription 5a pathway (30). Interestingly, Horvath & Kovacs (37) observed a marked activation and increase in number and size of FS cells in areas of ongoing immune destruction in lymphocytic hypophysitis, supporting their immune role.

An important question that remains largely unsolved is how the FS cells contribute to a locally active pituitary cytokine network, and how this system is altered in pathological conditions. The latter question is also related to the possible role of NO as a mediator of this cytokine network (Fig. 2). Indirect evidence was found for NOS activity in rat somatotropes in vitro, resulting in the inhibition of GH-releasing hormone-induced GH secretion (21). Based on immunohistochemical data, Ceccatelli et al. (18) suggested that endogenous NO released from FS cells (constitutively produced by cNOS) would preferentially act on somatotropes or possibly in an autocrine manner when produced by gonadotropes. Gonadectomy resulted in the upregulation of cNOS mRNA and protein in the pituitary. Ceccatelli et al. (18) further suggested that NO participates in the pulsatile secretion patterns of LH and GH (18). Apart from being a player in the intra-pituitary cytokine network, NO production – in particular by FS cells – may also represent a point of contact between the endocrine and the immune system in view of its activation by IFN-γ (19).

The relationship between cytokine transduction pathways and NO production, respectively NO spillover into the cerebrospinal fluid, has been demonstrated in the brain (86). In the anterior pituitary, FS cells are an important link in this signaling pathway (19, 20), and moreover they may function as a model system to study the role of NO and cytokines in the communication between neurons and glial cells (see e.g. 92).

Relatively few studies have addressed the issue of which transduction pathways are activated in pituitary cells that are involved in the above-mentioned pituitary cytokine network. As mentioned above, FS cells were shown to be involved in the IFN-γ-induced inhibition of pituitary hormone secretion (17), but little is known about the IFN-γ-activated signaling pathway in FS cells at the transcription or protein synthesis level. Various cytokines use different signal transduction pathways, thus increasing the complexity of the FS cell signal transduction machinery. However, it is also known that many cytokines, e.g. IL-6, IL-11, oncostatin M and LIF (96, 97), exert pleiotropic biological effects with significant overlap. The reason for this redundancy has been ascribed to the nature of the receptor complexes (cytokine class I receptor type), which share the gp130 molecule as a common receptor subunit (97, 98). Although, in the TT/GF cell line, specific IL-11 (93), ciliary neurotrophic factor (93) and tumor necrosis factor receptors (94) have been detected, so far no cytokine receptors have been demonstrated in FS cells in situ. IFN-γ, on the other hand, uses the distinct class II receptor signaling pathway (98). The heterogeneity of observed effects (activation by IFN-γ, immune accessory function implicating responsiveness to various cytokines) suggests that in FS cell populations both class I and class II signaling pathways may become activated. Activation of one of the two pathways may depend on the physiological condition or, alternatively, distinct pathways may be used in different FS cell subpopulations (80, 99).

Figure 2 Schematic representation of the role of NO as a hypothetical link between pro-inflammatory cytokines (like IFN-γ) and pituitary hormone secretion. IFN-γ released by infiltrating hematopoietic cells triggers iNOS expression in FS cells and possibly other interstitial cells (unidentified, chromophobe cells), and stimulates production of NO. Alternatively, NO produced by gonadotropes regulates LH secretion in an autocrine manner (not shown). NO in turn stimulates or inhibits the secretion of several pituitary hormones. The cascade of molecular events downstream of the activation of NO – the soluble guanylate cyclase pathway – is rather complex and therefore was not included.
A similar complexity is reflected in the expression of tissue-inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs) in pituitary cells: constitutive TIMP-II expression was observed in the FS cell line TtT/GF (83), whereas several of the cytokines produced by pituitary cells (IL-6, IL-10, LIF) – which are probably also produced within the FS cell compartment – were found to induce TIMP-I expression but not TIMP-II expression in various cell types including mononuclear phagocytes (100, 101). The expression of TIMPs has generally been related to the preservation of extracellular matrix (ECM) components in various tissues (101). On the other hand, pituitary FS cells were shown to be involved in degradation of the parenchymal basal lamina (89). Therefore, their role in regulating ECM integrity is probably a dual one, inclining towards ECM degradation in one physiological condition, and towards ECM preservation (through TIMPs) in other defined physiological conditions (89).

**New approaches for old questions**

One of the earliest ideas concerning the function of pituitary FS cells is that they represent a renewal or stem cell system in the anterior pituitary (33, 102). This idea regained interest in view of the revised definition of organ typic stem cells, advanced by the experimental findings of a neural stem cell system in the adult mammalian brain, an organ long considered to be entirely postmitotic (31). In the mammalian brain, pluripotent neural stem cells have been discovered that not only have a potentiality extending beyond the region of the brain from which they are derived, but also may not be restricted to the brain at all (31, 32). This innovative concept of a putative common neuro/hematopoietic stem cell in the adult mammalian forebrain (32), together with our own observations of a population of BM-related cells in the pituitary interstitial cell compartment (6, 67, 68), prompts a revitalization of Yoshimura’s concept of a pituitary cell renewal system (33, 102).

A number of papers have recently provided evidence, although only circumstantially, for a role of FS cells as adult stem cells in the anterior pituitary (34, 37). Horvath & Kovacs (37) demonstrated that in a large series of adenomatous and non-tumorous human pituitaries, immunoreactivities to S-100 and GFAP were strongest of adenomatous and non-tumorous human pituitaries, although only circumstantially, for a role of FS cells as adult stem cells in the anterior pituitary (34, 37). Horvath & Kovacs (37) demonstrated that in a large series of adenomatous and non-tumorous human pituitaries, immunoreactivities to S-100 and GFAP were strongest in various cell types including mononuclear phagocytes (100, 101). The expression of TIMPs has generally been related to the preservation of extracellular matrix (ECM) components in various tissues (101). On the other hand, pituitary FS cells were shown to be involved in degradation of the parenchymal basal lamina (89). Therefore, their role in regulating ECM integrity is probably a dual one, inclining towards ECM degradation in one physiological condition, and towards ECM preservation (through TIMPs) in other defined physiological conditions (89).

A stem cell function of FS cells and lineal relationships may be studied using novel transgenic technologies such as the Cre/loxP recombination system (79). In this system, transgenic expression of the P1 phage recombinase Cre under control of the promoter of an FS cell-specific product triggers permanent activation of a reporter gene which not only marks the FS cells, but remains detectable in cells derived from FS cells. The feasibility of this approach awaits the identification of an FS cell-specific product which is expressed in most if not all FS cells. Characterization of such a factor may occur in the course of the search of a universal evolutionary marker as mentioned above (see ‘Immunophenotype and embryological origin’). Use of the S-100 promoter would only target a subset of FS cells, and may also mark pituitary cells derived from other (neural) S-100-expressing cells. An equally important caveat is about the reliability of the promoter used to target Cre: expression of Cre should be highly accurate, and only occur in the FS cell population. Leaky expression in some hormone-secreting cells, for instance, could be misinterpreted as a lineage relationship between FS cells and those hormone-secreting cells. This caution also applies to an alternative transgenic approach in which FS cells are destroyed using diphtheria toxin A, or the regulatable ‘thymidine-kinase obliteration’ system. In this model, renewal of hormone-secreting cells would be compromised if FS cells represent the stem cell population.

As a complementary approach, FS cell fate and differentiation can also be studied in available transgenic mouse models in which pituitary development is affected. To date, the pituitary is a very much solicited ‘developmental paradigm’. The output of transgenic models generated a comprehensive view on the development and differentiation of several pituitary cell lineages (for review see 105). In these models, however, only hormone-secreting cell types have been analyzed, while FS cells were ignored. Looking in detail at S-100 or other FS cell markers should reveal aspects
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