INTERACTIONS BETWEEN THE EXTRACELLULAR DOMAIN AND THE EXTRACELLULAR LOOPS AS WELL AS THE 6TH TRANSMEMBRANE DOMAIN ARE NEEDED FOR TSH RECEPTOR ACTIVATION
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Abstract

Objective: The molecular mechanisms of TSH receptor (TSHR) activation and intramolecular signal transduction are largely unknown. Deletion of the extracellular domain (ECD) of the TSHR results in increased constitutive activity, which suggests a self-inhibitory interaction between the ECD and the extracellular loops (ECLs) or the transmembrane domains (TMDs). To investigate these potential interactions and to pursue the idea that mutations in the ECD affect the constitutive activity of mutants in the ECLs or TMDs we generated double mutants between position 281 in the ECD and mutants in all three ECLs as well as the 6th TMD.

Design: We combined mutation S281D, characterized by an impaired TSH-stimulated cAMP response, with the constitutively activating in vivo mutations I486F (1st ECL), I568T (2nd ECL), V656F (3rd ECL) and D633F (6th TMD). Further, we constructed double mutants containing the constitutively activating mutation S281N and one of the inactivating mutations D474E, T477I (1st ECL) and D633K (6th TMD).

Results: The cAMP level of the double mutants with S281N and the inactive mutants in the 1st ECL was decreased below the level of the inactive single mutants, demonstrating that a constitutively activating mutation in the ECD cannot bypass disruption of signal transduction in the serpentine domain. In double mutants with S281D, basal and TSH-induced cAMP and inositol phosphate production of constitutively active mutants was reduced to the level of S281D.

Conclusion: The dominance of S281D and the dependence of constitutively activating mutations in the ECLs on the functionally intact ECD strongly suggest that interactions between these receptor domains are required for TSHR activation and intramolecular signal transduction.
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Introduction

The thyrotropin (TSH) receptor (TSHR) together with the luteinizing hormone receptor (LHR) and the follicle-stimulating hormone receptor (FSHR) is a member of the seven transmembrane-spanning receptors (7TMRS) (1, 2) and belongs to the subfamily of glycoprotein hormone receptors (GPHR) (3, 4). GPHRs are unique among 7TMRS because of their extraordinarily long extracellular ligand-binding domain (350 amino acids) (5, 6) consisting of leucine-rich repeats (LRR) (7–13). This receptor domain is known for its high-affinity hormone binding (14, 15). It is assumed that hormone binding induces a more open conformation of the receptor, in which the ectodomain is released from the helical bundles (16, 17). After hormone binding, the signal is predicted to pass on to the extracellular loops (ECLs), transmembrane domains (TMDs) and intracellular loops (ICLs) of the TSHR to induce an activated conformation of the receptor, which allows activation of G proteins. Therefore, interactions between these receptor domains are very likely involved in intramolecular signal transduction.

In contrast to the LHR and FSHR, the wild-type (wt) TSHR shows basal cAMP activity in the absence of the ligand (18). Proteolytic degradation (19), deletions in the ectodomain region 339–367 (20) or the deletion of the entire extracellular domain (ECD) (17) also lead to constitutive TSHR activation. Zhang et al. (17) postulated that the functionally intact ectodomain plays a key role in stabilizing the inactive conformation of the TSHR as an internal antagonist. Electrostatic self-inhibitory interactions between the ECD and the ECLs have been suggested.

A TSHR construct containing a truncated ECD can be fully activated by the activating mutants D633A in the 6th TMD and A623F in the 3rd ICL (16). In contrast, the constitutively activating mutants I486F
and I568T in the 1st and 2nd ECLs or the deletion del658-661 lose constitutive activity after deletion of the ECD (16). These findings support a model in which activation of the cAMP pathway of the TSHR involves switching of the ectodomain from a tethered inverse agonist to an agonist (16, 17). Moreover, this suggests that full stimulation of cAMP production by the TSHR involves more than the release of the ectodomain silencing effect on the serpentine domain. In fact, the ectodomain is proposed to have a positive effect on the activity of the serpentine domain (16, 17).

Naturally occurring TSHR mutations, which have been identified as one of the molecular causes of hyperfunctioning thyroid adenomas, are mainly localized in the transmembrane domains as well as in the ECLs and ICLs (TSH Receptor mutation Database II, http://www.uni-leipzig.de/~innere/) (21, 22). These in vivo mutations give valuable indications for specific functional features, which are important for the analysis of intra- and intermolecular structure-function relationships. S281 is the only position in the extracellular domain which is affected by constitutively activating in vivo TSHR mutations (S281T, I and N) (23–26). The receptor region around S281 is highly conserved among the glycoprotein hormone receptors (24). In a recent study, we replaced S281 by all other 19 amino acids. Constitutive TSHR activation was observed by 15 amino acid substitutions (H Jaeschke, S Neumann, G Kleinau, M Claus, G Krause and R Paschke, unpublished observations). In contrast, the charged residues K, R and D were hardly expressed on the cell surface resulting in loss of or a strong impairment of TSH binding. As a consequence, Gs- and Gq-protein coupling was completely abolished in the case of S281K and S281R. Surprisingly, S281D led to constitutive activation of the TSHR; however, further response to TSH was strongly reduced in this mutant (H Jaeschke, S Neumann, G Kleinau, M Claus, G Krause and R Paschke, unpublished observations). This decreased responsiveness to TSH stimulation by S281D is most probably caused by conformational changes, which prevent efficient receptor expression and function on the cell surface. Mutagenesis of the LHR at the corresponding position 277 has also shown that except for R, K and D all other amino acid substitutions lead to constitutive activity at varying levels (27). S281 seems to play a pivotal role for the intramolecular signal transduction of the TSHR and, moreover, the vicinity of S281 is suggested to stabilize the structure of the ECD (24).

In order to investigate in more detail the structural influence of S281 on TSHR activation and signal transduction and to investigate potential interactions between the ECD and the ECLs and the 6th TMD as well as interdependence between these domains, we constructed double mutants between position S281 in the ECD and mutations in the ECLs and the 6th TMD (Fig. 1) guided by naturally occurring TSHR mutations.

Materials and methods

Site-directed mutagenesis

The TSHR double mutants were constructed by standard PCR mutagenesis techniques (28) using the human TSHR plasmid TSHR-pSVL as template (29). Except for the mutants S281D/I486F, S281N/D474E and S281N/T477I, the PCR fragments were digested with Eco81I and Eco91I (MBI Fermentas, Vilnius, Lithuania). For the S281D/I486F double mutant, the PCR fragment was incompletely digested with ScaI (MBI Fermentas), because of an additional ScaI site within the pSVL vector, and subsequently digested with Eco91I. The double mutant S281N/D474E was generated by digestion of the PCR fragment with AflII and Eco81I (MBI Fermentas). The PCR fragment with the mutation T477I was digested with AflII and Eco91I. The fragments obtained were used to replace the corresponding fragments in the TSHR-pSVL constructs containing the S281D and S281N mutations respectively. Mutated TSHR sequences were verified by dideoxy sequencing with dRhodamine Terminator Cycle Sequencing chemistry (ABI Advanced Biotechnologies, Inc., Columbia, MD, USA). Sequencing reactions were analyzed on a Genetic analyzer ABI 310 (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany).

Cell culture and transient expression of mutant TSHRs

COS-7 cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Gibco Life Technologies, Paisley, Strathclyde, UK) at 37 °C in a humidified 5% CO₂ incubator. Cells were
transiently transfected in 12-well plates (1 × 10⁵ cells per well) or 24-well plates (0.5 × 10⁵ cells per well) with 1 μg or 0.5 μg DNA per well respectively, using the FuGene 6 reagent (Roche, Basel, Switzerland).

**FACS analyses**

Transfected cells were detached from the dishes with 1 mM EDTA and 1 mM EGTA in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and transferred in Falcon 2052 tubes. Cells were washed once with PBS containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 0.1% NaN₃ and then incubated at 4°C for 1 h with a 1:200 dilution of a mouse anti-human TSHR antibody (2C11; 10 mg/l; Serotec Ltd, Oxford, Oxon, UK) in the same buffer. For permeabilized cell assays, cells were first fixed with 1% paraformaldehyde for 10 min on ice followed by permeabilization with PBS containing 0.1% BSA, 0.1% NaN₃ and 0.2% saponin for 30 min. Thereafter, cells were incubated with the primary antibody in the same buffer at 4°C for 1 h. All subsequent buffers were supplemented with saponin. Tubes were washed twice and incubated at 4°C for 1 h with a 1:200 dilution of fluorescein-conjugated F(ab′)2 rabbit anti-mouse IgG (Serotec). Before FACS analysis (FACScan; Becton Dickinson and Co., Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) cells were washed twice and then fixed with 1% paraformaldehyde. Receptor expression was determined by the fluorescence intensity, whereas the percentage of signal-positive cells corresponds to the transfection efficiency.

**cAMP accumulation assay**

Forty-eight hours after transfection, determination of cAMP accumulation was performed as previously described (30).

**Stimulation of inositol phosphate (IP) formation**

Forty hours after transfection, cells were incubated with 2 μCi/ml [myo-³H]inositol (18.6 Ci/mmol; Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Braunschweig, Germany) for 8 h. Thereafter, cells were preincubated with serum-free DMEM without antibiotics containing 10 mM LiCl for 30 min. Stimulation by TSH was performed in the same medium containing 100 μU/ml bovine (b) TSH (Sigma Chemical Co.) for 1 h. Intracellular IP levels were determined by anion exchange chromatography as described (31). IP values are expressed as the percentage of radioactivity incorporated from [³H]inositol phosphates (IP1-3) over the sum of radioactivity incorporated in IPs and phosphatidylinositoles (PI).

**Radioligand binding assay**

Competitive binding studies were performed as previously described (32). [¹²⁵I]bTSH was obtained from BRAHMS Diagnostica, Hennigsdorf, Germany. Data were analyzed assuming a one-site binding model using GraphPad Prism 2.01 for Windows (33).

**Results**

The functional characteristics of mutant TSHRs were studied by transient expression in COS-7 cells. Cells transfected with a DNA construct encoding the wt TSHR or the empty pSVL vector were used as controls. Except for S281D, all constitutively activating or inactivating single TSHR mutations have already been functionally characterized (23, 32, 34–39). The effects of all mutations on TSH binding, cell surface expression, basal and TSH-stimulated cAMP and IP accumulation are summarized in Table 1.

**Double mutants with S281D and constitutively activating mutations in all ECLs and the 6th TMD**

In order to characterize the effect of a mutation with a reduced responsiveness to TSH in the ECD on constitutively active mutants in the serpentine domain, we constructed double mutants with S281D and the constitutively activating mutations 1486F in the 1st ECL, 1568T in the 2nd ECL, V656F in the 3rd ECL and D633F located in the 6th TMD respectively (Fig. 1).

The S281D mutant was characterized by a low cell surface expression (Table 1 and Fig. 2A and C). To exclude strongly diminished receptor synthesis, FACS analysis was performed on permeabilized cells. The S281D mutant was clearly detectable within the cells (Fig. 2A and C) indicating that mutated receptors were expressed; however, folding and/or trafficking were affected. Cells transfected with S281D construct showed constitutive cAMP production, but further cAMP formation after stimulation with TSH was markedly reduced (Table 1 and Fig. 3A). No IP production was detected in the absence or presence of TSH, which is most likely associated with the diminished cell surface expression and response to TSH (Fig. 4B).

All double mutants with S281D showed a significant decrease in cell surface expression and reached only the expression level of D281 (Table 1 and Fig. 2). However, these mutants showed also an intracellular receptor accumulation as observed with S281D (Fig. 2). Cells transfected with these constructs were still constitutively active with regard to cAMP production; however, their basal activity was reduced to the level of S281D or even lower (Table 1 and Fig. 3A). The stimulated cAMP production of all constitutively activating single mutants in the 1st, 2nd and 3rd ECLs was decreased...
Table 1 Functional characterization of TSHR single and double mutants. COS-7 cells were transfected with the wt TSHR or the described mutant TSHRs. Functional assays were carried out as described in Materials and methods. Because of the basal activity of the wt TSHR, cAMP levels are expressed as relative to wt TSHR basal (set at 1). Maximum increase in cAMP and IP levels were determined after stimulation with 100 mU TSH/ml. $B_{\text{max}}$ values were determined by homologous competitive binding experiments. The TSHR cell surface expression was quantified on a FACS flow cytometer. Data are given as means±S.E.M. of two independent experiments, each carried out in triplicate. The pSVL vector was used as a control.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transfected construct</th>
<th>[125I]-bTSH binding $B_{\text{max}}$ (% of wt TSHR)</th>
<th>Cell surface expression FACS (% of wt TSHR)</th>
<th>cAMP accumulation Basal Stimulated (Relative to wt basal)</th>
<th>IP accumulation Basal (IPs (% (IPs + PI)))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TSHR wt</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.9±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S281D</td>
<td>13.3±0.5</td>
<td>16.7±1.4</td>
<td>5.3±0.3</td>
<td>32.8±3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I486F</td>
<td>41.5±3.1</td>
<td>35.0±2.2</td>
<td>8.2±0.7</td>
<td>2.0±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S281D/I486F</td>
<td>8.1±0.4</td>
<td>16.2±0.4</td>
<td>4.8±0.5</td>
<td>2.8±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I568T</td>
<td>67.2±3.6</td>
<td>64.8±2.4</td>
<td>5.2±0.0</td>
<td>1.9±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S281D/I568T</td>
<td>5.3±0.3</td>
<td>15.9±3.5</td>
<td>5.6±0.02</td>
<td>2.2±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D633F</td>
<td>45.3±1.1</td>
<td>39.7±2.5</td>
<td>6.1±0.4</td>
<td>2.4±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S281D/D633F</td>
<td>88.3±0.2</td>
<td>22.6±0.6</td>
<td>7.3±0.3</td>
<td>2.2±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V656F</td>
<td>97.9±0.0</td>
<td>88.6±3.8</td>
<td>11.3±0.7</td>
<td>2.3±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S281D/V656F</td>
<td>13.2±1.0</td>
<td>23.3±0.3</td>
<td>5.3±0.3</td>
<td>3.0±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S281N</td>
<td>66.8±2.3</td>
<td>60.6±0.9</td>
<td>14.4±0.2</td>
<td>1.3±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D474E</td>
<td>44.2±1.9</td>
<td>36.5±5.7</td>
<td>4.3±0.04</td>
<td>1.9±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S281N/D474E</td>
<td>4.5±0.5</td>
<td>14.9±0.1</td>
<td>1.4±0.01</td>
<td>2.7±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T477I</td>
<td>27.2±0.2</td>
<td>24.4±1.4</td>
<td>4.1±0.1</td>
<td>2.0±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S281N/T477I</td>
<td>2.9±0.2</td>
<td>10.5±0.4</td>
<td>1.3±0.1</td>
<td>2.2±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D633K</td>
<td>59.8±0.1</td>
<td>82.2±0.8</td>
<td>5.0±0.6</td>
<td>1.8±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S281N/D633K</td>
<td>27.6±1.1</td>
<td>44.8±1.1</td>
<td>1.4±0.03</td>
<td>2.5±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSVL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2±0.2</td>
<td>2.1±0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Double mutants with S281N and inactivating mutants in the 1st ECL and the 6th TMD

In an opposite approach, the effects of a constitutively activating mutation with a TSH-induced cAMP response comparable with the wt TSHR at position 281 on inactive mutants in the 1st ECL and the 6th TMD respectively were tested. We combined the constitutively activating mutation S281N in the ECD with one of the inactive mutants D474E and T477I in the 1st ECL or D633K in the 6th TMD (Fig. 1).

No rescue of TSHR activation could be achieved by the double mutants S281N/D474E and S281N/T477I. In fact, cells transfected with these constructs were completely inactive for cAMP production (Table 1 and Fig. 3B). Both double mutants showed a reduced cell surface expression compared with the corresponding single mutants (Table 1). However, the mutated receptors were detectable within the cells measured by FACS analysis on permeabilized cells (Fig. 2B and D). This finding suggests that these receptors are expressed; however, these double mutations interfere with accurate folding and trafficking of the receptor to the plasma membrane (Fig. 2).

For the S281N/D633K mutant, cAMP production was decreased to about 30% of the level of the D633K single mutant, even though the D633K single mutant showed a slight increase of cAMP accumulation after stimulation with TSH (Table 1 and Fig. 4B). In addition, no IP accumulation was detectable in all double mutants (Table 1 and Fig. 4B), underlining the complete inability of these mutants to activate Gs- and Gq-mediated signaling.

Discussion

Recent studies have proposed several activation models for glycoprotein hormone receptors with regard to the interaction between the ectodomain and the ECL/TMD region. The extracellular domain of the TSHR has been suggested to act as an internal antagonist (17) or to function as a molecular switch, displaying agonist or inverse agonist properties, depending on whether it is bound to its ligand or not (16). Deletion of the ectodomain of the LHR does not lead to constitutive receptor activation as has been shown for the TSHR. For the LHR, the intact leucine-rich repeat-containing domain is essential for constitutive activation by mutations in the hinge region, which is situated between the LRR motif and the seven transmembrane-spanning domain. This finding suggests that the ectodomain of the LHR functions as an intramolecular agonistic motif...
Figure 2 Continued.
Figure 2 Cell surface expression determined by (A) flow immunocytometry. (B) Non-permeabilized cells and saponin-permeabilized cells were assayed after transfection with pSVL-vector (as control), wt TSHR and mutants. Fluorescence intensity is expressed in arbitrary units as a function of cell number plotted on a logarithmic scale. Representative mutants are shown. (C) Expression level of all double mutants with S281D and (D) expression level of all double mutants with S281N and the corresponding single mutants. FACS analyses were performed on intact (upper graphs) and permeabilized (lower graphs) COS-7 cells transfected identically. Data are expressed as percentage expression of wt TSHR (set at 100%) and are presented as means ± S.E.M. of two independent experiments, each carried out in duplicate.

Figure 3 Basal and TSH-stimulated cAMP accumulation. (A) wt and TSHR double mutants with S281D and corresponding single mutants and (B) wt and TSHR double mutants containing S281N and corresponding single mutants. cAMP accumulation assays were performed with transiently transfected COS-7 cells. Forty-eight hours after transfection, COS-7 cells were incubated in the absence (solid bars) or presence (open bars) of 100 mU/ml bTSH. cAMP levels were determined as described in Materials and methods. Data are expressed as relative to wt basal and given as means ± S.E.M. of two independent experiments, each carried out in triplicate.
structure (10). However, the common conclusion of all models is that interactions between the hormone binding ECD and the ECLs or the TMDs during receptor activation are very likely.

S281 is the only position in the ECD which is affected by constitutively activating in vivo mutations and several studies have identified S281 as an important key position for intramolecular signal transduction (23–26). We therefore decided to use these features of S281 to investigate the hypothesis of potential self-inhibitory interactions between the ECD and the ECLs as well as the 6th TMD by characterization of double mutants in these receptor domains.

Besides the reported importance of the extracellular loops for hormone binding (40, 41), these receptor domains are likely to be involved in signal transduction. This is indicated by inactivating mutations in the 1st ECL and constitutively activating mutations which were identified in all three ECLs (42). Inactivating mutations in the 1st ECL and the 6th TMD were dominant in all double mutants with the constitutively activating S281N in these receptor domains.

In previous studies, we (36) and others (45) have shown that D633 in the 6th TMD is involved in the H-bond network between the 6th TMD and the 7th TMD and therefore is important for TSHR activation. The substitution of D633 with a positively charged arginine locked the TSHR in a completely inactive state (36). The introduction of the D633K mutation also strongly reduced TSHR activity (Table 1), most likely also by disturbing the intramolecular H-bond network and lowering the mobility of the 6th and 7th TMD. In addition, an influence of the 6th TMD on TSHR dimerization is discussed (46, 47), which might be another explanation for the impaired G-protein activation caused by D633K. In our published TSHR model, however, D633 points into a space between the helices of the 6th, 7th and 3rd TMD, right in the center of the seven-helix bundle (36). Therefore mutations at this position could most likely only indirectly affect TSHR dimerization, e.g. via induced conformational changes. Our finding that S281N/D633K remains inactive like D633K confirms the pivotal role of helices 6 and 7 for receptor activation and supports our conclusion that disruption of the intramolecular signal transduction in the serpentine domain cannot be compensated or bypassed by the introduction of activating mutations into the ECD.

In the 1st and 2nd ECL, one Ile residue seems to be important for constitutive activity (16, 48, 49) (TSHR: D474) and D422A and K426A (FSHR: D474 and H478) into the 1st ECL of the FSHR (44) also affected signaling of the receptors. In summary, the dominance of the inactivating mutations in the 1st ECL of the TSHR indicates that a constitutively activating mutation in the ECD cannot bypass inactivating mutations within the 1st ECL.
Receptor mutation Database II, http://www.uni-leipzig.de/~inneres/ (21). Three different constitutively activating mutations have been described in the 3rd ECL (32, 34, 48–50) (TSH Receptor mutation Database II, http://www.uni-leipzig.de/~inneres/). The higher incidence of constitutively activating mutations within the 3rd ECL compared with the 1st and 2nd ECLs could be due to its vicinity to the 6th and 7th transmembrane segments and the resulting influence on the conformation of these receptor domains, which have been identified as one of the major determinants for the maintenance of the inactive receptor conformation (36, 45, 51).

Substitution of the wt S281 by most amino acid residues causes the destabilization of the inactive receptor conformation and, therefore, induces constitutive activation of TSHR signaling. In addition, introduction of aspartate at this position seems to interfere with full responsiveness to TSH. The mutation S281D was chosen for our investigations because it is characterized by constitutive cAMP accumulation, but clearly reduced increase in TSH-stimulated cAMP production, which is most likely due to a disturbed ECD conformation as proposed by the low cell surface expression of S281D.

All double mutants with S281D showed a phenotype comparable with the S281D single mutant. Introduction of S281D caused a reduction of basal and TSH-stimulated cAMP accumulation to the level of S281D and the loss of IP production of all constitutively activating in vivo mutants in the three ECLs. The dominance of the S281D mutation in the ECD in all double mutants suggested that an intact ECD conformation is necessary for proper intramolecular signaling transmitted by the ECLs and the TMD. Recent studies have shown that the deletion of the ECD of the TSHR led to the loss of constitutive receptor activation of the mutants I486F and I568T in the 1st and 2nd ECL respectively, while the mutant D633A in the 6th TMD was able to fully activate the truncated receptor also (16). In our study, the double mutant S281D/D633F showed reduced receptor activity which might be related to receptor misfolding caused by S281D. Taken together, our data demonstrate that the decrease of TSH-stimulated cAMP production caused by a mutant in the ECD cannot be restored by constitutively activating mutations in the ECLs and the 6th TMD, which are located downstream in the intramolecular receptor signaling cascade. This confirms – except for the 6th TMD – the conclusion made by Vlaeminck-Guillem et al. (16) that the ectodomain is proposed to have a positive effect on the activity of the serpentine domain as full TSHR activation and intramolecular signal transduction obviously require the undisturbed interplay between the ECD and the serpentine domain. Our findings concerning the impact of a mutant in the ECD on the TMD are supported by the work of Gaudin et al. (52), who showed that introduction of an inactivating mutation into the ECD of the vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP)-1 receptor abolished the effect of a constitutively active mutation in the transmembrane domain. Gaudin et al. (52) assumed that constitutive activity of the VIP-1 receptor requires the functional integrity of the N-terminal extracellular VIP-binding domain.

Taken together, the results in our study provide evidence for the importance of intramolecular interactions between the ECD and the ECLs as well as the 6th TMD and the interdependence of these receptor domains in the process of TSHR activation and intramolecular signal transduction.
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