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Abstract
Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) and other chronic diseases in children are well known to adversely affect linear growth and pubertal development. In the years immediately following the introduction of insulin therapy, short stature was consistently reported in children with T1DM. However, over the past 50 years significant improvement in the prognosis for growth and final height in children with diabetes has been achieved. Although pre-pubertal and post-pubertal growth are important phases in growth, puberty and its related hormonal changes represent a critical phase for growth gain and final height particularly in patients with T1DM. Growth impairment reported in diabetic patients is dependent on abnormalities in physiological bone growth and corresponds to abnormalities of the growth hormone–insulin-like growth-I (GH–IGF-I) axis. These alterations seem to be related to appropriate insulin levels and thereby to glycaemic control as judged by haemoglobin levels. Modern diabetes care, particularly intensified insulin regimens, might improve metabolic control in patients with T1DM, therefore preventing abnormalities of the GH–IGF-I axis and leading to normal growth and final height similar to that of their unaffected peers.
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Growth, growth factors and diabetes

Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) and other chronic diseases are well known to adversely affect linear growth and pubertal development. Although Mauriac syndrome (1), the most important expression of growth alteration due to severe insulin deficiency in diabetic patients, is now rare, impaired growth in children with T1DM is still reported. This is particularly true in patients with poor metabolic control (2, 3). However, with the more recent insulin treatment regimens based on multiple daily injections and adjustment of insulin doses according to blood glucose levels, growth has substantially improved (4) and height in children with T1DM today is similar in all ages to the height of their unaffected peers.

The aim of this paper is to review growth and development in children with T1DM and recent advances in linear growth achieved by improvement in metabolic control.

Growth and development

Over the last several years many studies have been performed in order to clarify the mechanism by which insulin deficiency influences growth in patients with T1DM in order to improve final height in these patients.

Longitudinal bone growth is a complex phenomenon involving a multitude of regulatory mechanisms strongly influenced by growth hormone (GH) (5–8). GH has a pulsatile secretion with age-dependent concentrations characterised by low secretion in the pre-pubertal period, a rise at puberty and a decrease in old age. Most of the GH promoting effects on growth are mediated through the actions of peptides, the insulin-like growth factors IGF-I and IGF-II, mainly secreted by the liver. IGFs circulate bound to specific insulin-like growth factor binding proteins (IGFBPs), six of which have been identified. IGFBP-3 is the major circulating IGFBP during post-natal life and is GH-dependent. IGFBP-3 prolongs the half-life of IGFs and carries IGFs to the target tissues making a ternary complex with acid labile subunit (ALS), thereby having a pivotal role in the regulation of skeletal growth (9–11).

Insulin is an important regulator of this complex. In fact, adequate insulin secretion and normal portal insulin concentrations are needed to support normal serum concentrations of IGFs and IGFBPs and indirectly to promote growth. Several studies have clearly demonstrated that insulin modulates the hepatic GH receptor expression and post receptor events (12–14) thereby influencing the serum concentrations of IGFs and IGFBPs. Furthermore, insulin modulates gene expression and secretion of IGF-1, one of the most important negative regulators of IGF-I bioactivity (15–17). Portal insulin deficiency is therefore directly responsible for GH hypersecretion, low circulating levels of IGF-I and IGFBP-3, and high circulating levels of IGFBP-1 seen in children with T1DM independently of puberty, and represents one of the
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most important underlying causes of growth failure in T1DM (18).

Portal insulin concentrations play a critical role in the induction of GH and insulin resistance reported in children with T1DM. In vitro studies indicate that insulin may indirectly regulate the enhancement of GH serum concentration either by direct regulation of the hepatic GH receptor or by a permissive effect on post-receptor events (12–14).

In vivo studies have demonstrated alterations in serum GH binding protein concentrations which are considered a putative index of GH receptor number (19, 20). Studies in newly diagnosed subjects with T1DM demonstrated a decrease in circulating concentrations of GH binding protein, which subsequently increase with the introduction of insulin therapy, although levels remain lower than those reported in normal subjects (21). Low GH receptor expression and anomalies in post-receptorial events induce GH hypersecretion, amply reported in children with T1DM which is the clearest expression of GH resistance in children with T1DM.

Abnormalities in the GH–IGF-I axis and particularly high GH serum concentrations may be considered one of the most relevant factors in insulin resistance detected in children with T1DM. Relevant results to clarify GH-dependent insulin resistance have been reported in hybrid mice, resulting in liver IGF-I-deficient (LID) and GH antagonist (GHa) action (22). Some authors have shown that inactivation of GH action leads to decreased blood glucose levels; it has been reported that both blood glucose levels and serum insulin concentrations in the fasting state in LID + GHa mice are dramatically reduced compared with control and LID mice expressing only the lack of GH action. Furthermore, the insulin tolerance test showed a state of insulin insensitivity in LID mice that is detected in LID + GHa mice, demonstrating that lack of GH action may improve peripheral insulin sensitivity thus underlying the main role of chronic high GH levels in insulin resistance in patients with T1DM (22).

The widely reported increases in baseline GH concentrations, GH pulse frequency and amplitude in patients with diabetes have profound anti-insulin effects (23) similar to healthy subjects, resulting in increased blood glucose concentrations associated with decreased peripheral insulin sensitivity despite higher levels of insulin secretion, increased hepatic glucose production, stimulation of lipolysis and decreased insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in muscle (24). In healthy subjects exogenous GH administered at physiological levels causes insulin resistance, by impairing the ability of insulin to suppress hepatic glucose utilisation (25). This hyperglycaemic effect is characterised by reduced insulin receptor binding affinity, as well as a probable post-receptor defect (25, 26). Thus, the development of insulin resistance in subjects with normal beta-cell function is associated with a compensatory increase in insulin secretion which allows the continued maintenance of normal glucose tolerance (27). In subjects with T1DM, similar effects have been demonstrated by the increased insulin requirement during clamp studies. In fact, using an overnight variable rate insulin infusion euglycaemic clamp protocol, some authors have shown a positive relationship between peripheral insulin sensitivity and overnight GH concentrations attributable to the effect of GH on peripheral glucose metabolism (28). In fact, a positive linear correlation to variations in GH serum concentrations has been described. The increased GH levels result in hyperglycaemia which leads to the increased overnight insulin requirements characteristic of the ‘dawn phenomenon’ (29).

Although many studies have been performed to clarify the links between GH hypersecretion and peripheral insulin sensitivity in T1DM, the molecular mechanism has not yet been clarified. Although structurally different, activation of both GH receptor (GHR) and insulin receptor (IR) seems to converge at postreceptorial levels partly explaining the anti-insulin effect of GH. In fact, GH and insulin can stimulate phosphorylation of the IR substrate-1 (IRS-1) and IRS-2 proteins by activation of JAK2 (34, 35). Another mechanism that may be involved in decreased GH-dependent insulin sensitivity is the increased concentrations of suppressors of cytokine signalling (SOCS). In fact, both insulin-induced IRS-1-p85 interactions and MAPK and AKT activation seem to be affected by expression of SOCS-1, -3 and -6 (36–40). Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that SOCS-1 and -3 may bind IRS-1 and -2 and promote their degradation (41). Recent studies performed in SOCS-1-deficient mice demonstrate a prolonged IRS-1 phosphorylation following insulin treatment that results in a state of enhanced insulin sensitivity (42). In contrast, the dramatic decrease in IRS-1 and -2 levels induced by adenoviral-driven expression of SOCS-1 in the liver of mice results in the insulergence of hyperglycaemia, hyperinsulinaemia and insulin resistance (41).

Evidence now exists that anomalies in GH secretion and tissue-specific alterations in IGF bioavailability may be involved in many of the diabetes-related complications (43). Prevention of short- and long-term GH–IGF-I axis abnormalities in T1DM is important in the development of therapeutic modalities aimed not only at improving metabolic control but also in preventing the vascular complications associated with T1DM. Therefore, several studies with exogenous recombinant human (rh) IGF-I in association with insulin therapy have been performed. Exogenous rhIGF-I
administration has clearly been shown to restore the GH–IGF-I axis, to improve insulin sensitivity and to reduce insulin requirements for euglycaemia (44–47). However, new studies seem to point to the deleterious effect of high IGF-I levels in the development of microvascular complications. In fact, IGF-I plays a critical role in the development of diabetic retinopathy (48) and nephropathy (43) mainly through stimulation of epithelial and retinal growth factors (vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), endothelial nitric oxide (eNOS), endothelin-1 (ET-1)). Kondo et al. (49) have clearly shown that IGF-I receptors in the endothelial cells are involved in the proliferative response to relative hypoxia. Reproducing an experimental condition that can simulate in animal models the neovascularisation seen in the diabetic retina, they found that under normoxic conditions the retinas of mice develop normally in the absence of endothelial IR/IGF-I receptor (IGF-1R). In conditions of relative hypoxia in the presence of IR/IGF-1R endothelial expression, through increased levels of VEGF, eNOS and ET-1 develop neovascularization which are not reported in neonatal knockout mice of IR/IGF-1R on vascular endothelial cells (49).

We have demonstrated that microalbuminuric patients have higher levels of both urinary and plasma IGF-I than normoalbuminuric diabetic subjects (50). Furthermore, IGF-I seems to enhance VEGF production, a cytokine with angiogenic effects implicated in the pathogenesis of vascular-related disease. In previous studies we have reported increased serum VEGF levels in pre-school, pre-pubertal, and particularly pubertal patients with diabetes compared with controls. These increased levels are reported to be related to the severity of nephropathy and retinopathy in adolescents and young adults with onset of diabetes during childhood (51). Furthermore, elevated serum VEGF levels seem to be related to the development of persistent microalbuminuria, making this a possible predictor and risk factor for microalbuminuria and incipient diabetic nephropathy in adolescents and young adults with onset of diabetes during childhood (52).

These data led us to propose a dual insulin-GH antagonist therapy association in order to prevent and restore GH–IGF-I axis abnormalities. In fact, the reduction of an anti-insulin GH-dependent effect and intensified therapy may prevent insulin insensitivity and GH–IGF-I axis abnormalities in children, resulting in both a better metabolic control and the prevention of diabetes-related complications.

**Height at onset, pre-pubertal growth and growth factors**

Despite the fact that height at diagnosis has been studied extensively, many controversies remain. While some studies observe that children with diabetes are taller than controls at diagnosis (2, 53–58) others report normal or lower height in children with newly diagnosed diabetes (3, 59, 60). The most important factor influencing this evaluation is the reference data that may be severely influenced by age trend variations. Brown et al. (61) showed that height standard deviation score (Ht SDS) at diagnosis in a group of 140 children with T1DM diagnosed between 1969 and 1990 was +0.29 consistent with the mean height SDS of control children in the same area. Detailed analysis revealed, however, that this was true only for children aged 5–10 years at diagnosis who were taller (Ht SDS ±s.d. 0.58±1.14 versus 0.31±0.90; P < 0.05). In contrast, those diagnosed under the age of 5 were shorter (Ht SDS 0.12±0.93) and those aged more than 10 years at diagnosis were similar in size (Ht SDS 0.22±0.98) to controls (61). Similar data have been reported by other authors (62). It has also been shown that height at diagnosis in a group of 89 children with duration of diabetes of more than 3 years and a mean age of 8.9±2.2 years was not different compared with 102 healthy control children (63). In a longitudinal study, it has been shown that height at diagnosis in a total of 436 children with diabetes was significantly above the reference population. Furthermore, it has been shown that when newly diagnosed patients were stratified into quartiles according to age-at-onset, excess height tended to be more pronounced in those patients who developed diabetes at an older age (58).

Unlike this controversy about height at diagnosis, a greater consensus has been found regarding growth gain after diagnosis. In fact, a reduction in height SDS between diagnosis and the onset of puberty has consistently been reported. A reduction in height SDS over the first 3 or 4 years after diagnosis is common. Brown et al. demonstrated that pre-pubertal growth is compromised by a change in height SDS between diagnosis and the onset of the pubertal spurt of 0.20 (range 0.48 to −1.05, paired r = 2.38) and a 0.06 SDS mean loss of height per year between diagnosis and the onset of puberty (61). Loss in height SDS seems to be strongly affected by metabolic control. Gunczler et al. showed that children with poor control have a significantly lower growth velocity compared with well controlled subjects (64). Although there is a greater agreement on height loss after diagnosis of diabetes, Du Caju et al. showed a normal pre-pubertal growth, as a similar height SDS at the age of 7 and 10 has been reported (60).

The alterations in height SDS in the pre-pubertal age seem to be strongly linked to abnormalities in the hypothalamo–pituitary–IGF-I axis (65, 66). Munoz et al. showed that during the pre-pubertal stage, serum IGF-I levels in 41 patients with T1DM were significantly lower than those in control subjects (67). Similar data were reported by Taylor et al. and Rogers et al. confirming abnormalities in the GH–IGF-I axis in pre-pubertal children with diabetes (68, 69).
Furthermore, IGF-I serum levels seem to be strongly linked to the degree of metabolic control. In fact, several studies have reported that diabetic children with inadequate metabolic control show a tendency towards lower IGF-I levels than children with adequate metabolic control (65–70) with a negative correlation with HbA1c plasma levels (66, 67). Serum insulin concentrations achieved by exogenous insulin therapy play an important role in the reduction of IGF-I in serum (71). In fact, it is clearly demonstrated that low IGF-I levels are closely related to insulin deficiency, and improved insulin delivery during intensified treatment invariably leads to improved circulating levels of IGF-I (72, 73). These alterations in the GH–IGF axis are associated with abnormalities in IGFBP serum concentrations. Several studies have demonstrated that median IGFBP-3 serum concentrations are significantly lower in children with diabetes when compared with controls (74–76).

Growth at puberty

Similar to healthy adolescents, the pubertal growth spurt represents the most critical phase for linear growth and final height in children with T1DM. The pubertal phase is characteristically associated with a reduction in insulin sensitivity, which is known to be more severe in patients with T1DM, and might negatively influence growth and height gain (77, 78). Poor compliance with diet and insulin therapy may be considered to be important factors influencing the deterioration in metabolic control. However, the endocrine changes at puberty play a significant role in glycemic control during pubertal development (79). In fact, puberty is characteristically associated with a rise in GH pulse amplitude, and mean overnight GH concentrations have been shown to be much higher in adolescents with T1DM than in control subjects (80–82). The elevated serum GH levels have been demonstrated to be the most important mechanism involved in high insulin requirement and poor metabolic control. Furthermore, it is clearly demonstrated that increased serum GH concentrations, characteristically associated with the onset of puberty in healthy subjects (83), increase insulin resistance in adolescent patients with diabetes, being more insulin resistant at all pubertal stages (84). Relative insulin resistance associated with increased serum GH concentrations and low serum IGF-I levels and bioactivity have a significant negative impact on glycemic control during this period and poor glycemic control appears to be of importance for growth deficit. In fact, Danne et al. showed a direct correlation between increased glycosylated haemoglobin levels and standing height SDS reduction (85).

In contrast to previous studies (86) which reported delayed puberty in children with diabetes, several recent studies have shown that the timing and duration of the pubertal growth spurt is normal in adolescents with diabetes (61–87). Although the chronological age at onset of puberty and the duration of the pubertal growth spurt is not significantly different between subjects with T1DM and healthy adolescents, several studies have shown a blunted pubertal growth spurt which seems to be associated with a reduced peak of height velocity SDS (2, 60, 61, 86, 88, 89).

Sex and age at diagnosis seem to be the most important factors for an impaired growth spurt in adolescents with diabetes. Brown et al. showed that the mean peak height velocity SDS (±SDS) in the Oxford study was $-1.09±1.02$ in girls and $-0.50±1.14$ in boys (61). Similar data were reported by Salardi et al. who showed a mean total pubertal height gain of $14.9\,\text{cm}$ in diabetic girls and a mean of $18.7\,\text{cm}$ in boys (2). These data suggest that the mean peak height velocity SDS is more impaired in adolescent girls with diabetes than in boys.

Furthermore, patients with onset of type 1 diabetes under the age of five showed the greatest loss of height during puberty (61) and an association between poor relative growth and younger age at onset was reported (85). These findings are in contrast with data reported by Salardi et al. who showed an impaired growth spurt only in those girls diagnosed at or around puberty, but normal growth in younger children (2).

Impaired pubertal growth is supported by abnormal serum concentration of IGF-I and IGFBPs. IGF-I levels were reported to be reduced in both girls and boys with T1DM (67–90). Ahmed et al. showed that at peak height velocity IGF-I was significantly reduced in boys and girls with T1DM (87). Taylor et al. reported that, similar to healthy subjects, IGF-I levels increase during puberty both in boys and girls with diabetes (68). However, in both sexes patients with T1DM have significantly lower serum IGF-I levels compared with controls. In a large cross-sectional study Clayton et al. demonstrated that the rise in IGF-I levels during puberty was blunted only in girls with diabetes. However, it has been shown that serum IGF-I levels were lower in children with diabetes than the levels detected in control subjects in both boys and girls at each pubertal stage (91).

These alterations in the GH–IGF axis are associated with abnormalities of the IGFBPs. In fact, IGFBP levels are altered in children with T1DM and, specifically, levels of the large molecular weight IGFBP-3 have been demonstrated to be significantly lower in the serum of adolescents with T1DM compared with controls (75, 76).

Alterations in the GH–IGF-I axis represented by low IGF-I and IGFBP-3 levels play an important role in the pathogenesis of pubertal growth failure in diabetes and have been demonstrated to be related to insulin deficiency. Several studies have clearly shown that glycemic control strongly influences IGF-I levels after the onset of puberty (69). Furthermore, data reported in
several studies showed that in both sexes, IGF-I levels are closely related to insulin dose (91–93); nonetheless, improved insulin therapy invariably leads to improved circulating levels of IGF-I (72, 73) showing the great importance of serum insulin concentrations on the GH–IGFs axis regulation and the effectiveness of intensive insulin therapy on restoration of GH-IGF-I axis alterations especially in the pubertal phase.

Final height

Although loss of height from the onset of diabetes has been widely reported, an impaired final height has not been reported in children with type 1 diabetes. In fact, while some studies, especially those performed in the pre-intensive insulin therapy era, showed an impaired final height in children with diabetes (3), more recent studies show a normal or only slightly reduced final height (2, 58, 60, 61–94). Holl et al. reported a normal final height in a group of 76 patients with T1DM (58). Similar data were reported by Du Caju et al. who showed normal final height in boys with diabetes while only a slightly reduced final height was reported in girls (60). Furthermore, the final height of 80 children studied in Oxford was −0.06 SDS, which did not differ significantly from their mid parental height SDS (61).

The age at onset of T1DM and metabolic control are widely reported to be the most important factors affecting final height and for predicting adult height in children with diabetes (85). In fact, it has been shown in twins that when diabetes is diagnosed before puberty, final height of the twin with T1DM was lower compared with the healthy co-twin. Furthermore, Brown et al. reported that early onset of diabetes in the preschool years seems to be related with a slightly reduced final height (61). Holl et al. confirmed these data by showing that longitudinal growth reduction was more pronounced in patients diagnosed before puberty and that final height in patients with pre-pubertal diabetes onset was significantly lower compared with patients with pubertal or post-pubertal onset of diabetes (58).

Metabolic control has been shown to be a significant factor influencing final height. Holl et al. (95) clearly demonstrated that in paediatric patients with pre-pubertal diabetes onset, especially those with poorly controlled diabetes mellitus, a significant reduction in height is seen compared with subjects with good control. The importance of metabolic control in predicting height loss in children with T1DM is clearly demonstrated by data reported by Donaghue et al. (4) in a recent retrospective analysis study of growth in a total of 451 children and adolescents with diabetes diagnosed between 1974 and 1990. They showed that after 5 years of diabetes duration, height SDS loss correlated with higher glycosylated haemoglobin and fewer insulin injections. Furthermore, an improvement in height gain was found in children diagnosed after 1995 compared with those diagnosed between 1974 and 1995. Although height SDS and age at diagnosis were not different, children with T1DM diagnosed between 1974 and 1990 were significantly shorter than children diagnosed between 1991 to 1995 after five years of diabetes duration (4).

GH–IGF-I axis in children with intensive insulin therapy

Improvements in diabetes care and management and especially newer insulin schedules based on multiple daily injections have led to a reduction in diabetic complications and seem to ameliorate growth in children with T1DM. This might be due to normalisation of the GH–IGF-I axis.

We have therefore studied the GH–IGF-I axis in children and adolescents with T1DM treated with intensive therapy (using four daily insulin injections) from the onset of diabetes. Thirty male children and adolescents with T1DM were enrolled and were compared with a group of 30 healthy subjects matched for age, sex and pubertal age; they were divided into three groups: pre-pubertal (group 1), pubertal (group 2) and post-pubertal (group 3) subjects (Tables 1 and 2). In both pre-pubertal and pubertal children mean HbA1c values were compatible with optimal metabolic control achieved by intensive therapy. Moreover, it is important to stress that an effective insulin therapy regime may anticipate and control HbA1c alterations which usually increase at the onset of puberty. In fact, in pubertal and post-pubertal children, HbA1c, although statistically significantly higher than in control groups, was lower than the optimal control values recommended by the Diabetes Control and Complication Trial (DCCT). Optimal metabolic

Table 1 Age, diabetes duration and insulin requirement in pre-pubertal (group 1), pubertal (group 2) and post-pubertal (group 3) children with T1DM and in age-matched controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1DM</td>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>T1DM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>10.3±2.0</td>
<td>9.5±2.72</td>
<td>13.8±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes duration (years)</td>
<td>6.5±3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.1±4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin requirement (U/kg)</td>
<td>0.9±0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.01±0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
control, achieved in different pubertal and growth phases in diabetic patients, seems to positively influence the GH–IGF-I axis. No statistically significant differences in IGF-I and IGFBP-3 serum concentrations were detected in the three diabetic groups compared with control subjects, suggesting normal function of the GH–IGF-I axis in children with T1DM. Good metabolic control and a physiological GH–IGF-I axis induced a completely normal growth in all three groups. Height SDS was not different in the three groups compared with control subjects, showing a normal growth in children with intensive insulin therapy and normal final height in all subjects with diabetes when compared with controls.

These results suggest that intensive insulin therapy starting from the onset of diabetes might prevent the induction of abnormalities of the GH–IGF-I–IGFBP-3 axis potentially achieving near-normal portal insulin concentrations and thereby leading to normal IGF-I and IGFBP-3 levels and physiological growth in children and adolescents with T1DM.

Conclusions
Normal growth is one of the major goals in the treatment of children with T1DM. Progress in knowledge of the physiopathology of diabetes related to growth impairment, and improvement in diabetes management and particularly the use of new insulin regimens in newly diagnosed patients with T1DM, has substantially improved the prognosis for growth. Modern insulin treatment may prevent abnormalities of the mechanisms by which GH brings about growth and allow normal growth in children with diabetes.
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