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Abstract

Background: Estrogen is known to have important effects on both reproductive and non-reproductive tissues. Moreover, there is increasing interest in developing compounds that may have selective effects on bone versus reproductive tissues.

Methods: Since mouse models are often used in these studies, we administrated increasing doses of estradiol (E2) (0 to 500 μg/kg/day) by slow release pellets to ovariectomized 6-month-old C57BL/6 mice and assessed skeletal and uterine responses following 2 months of treatment.

Results: The mice lost bone at multiple sites following ovariectomy (OVX); however, while the lowest E2 dose of 5 μg/kg/day completely prevented loss of cancellous bone (at the lumbar spine and tibial metaphysis), it had no stimulatory effects on the uterus. Higher doses of E2 resulted in further increases in bone mineral density, with eventual stimulation of the uterus at a dose of 40 μg/kg/day.

By contrast, when 3-month-old C57BL/6 mice were administered the same doses of E2 and studied after 1 month, the 5 μg/kg/day dose resulted in uterine hypertrophy, but was not able to prevent loss of cancellous bone.

Conclusions: Thus these results (i) provide data on the dose–response for the effects of E2 on mouse bone and (ii) indicate that the relative effects of E2 on bone versus the uterus are highly dependent on the particular experimental conditions used. This issue needs to be considered in evaluating agents with potential ‘selective’ effects on bone versus reproductive tissues.
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Introduction

Estrogen plays an important role in both reproductive and non-reproductive tissues. In addition to its major role in regulating the uterus and other reproductive tissues, estrogen also influences pubertal growth, regulates bone maturation, and maintains bone mass in women and men after puberty. The importance of estrogen for bone metabolism was perhaps best demonstrated by the description of the males with mutations in the estrogen receptor (1) or the P450 aromatase genes (2, 3). These patients all had osteoporosis, unfused epiphyses, and continuing linear growth into adulthood. Treatment of the patients with aromatase mutations with estrogen led to closure of the epiphyses and a dramatic increase in bone mass. It has also long been known that estrogen deficiency is the major cause of postmenopausal bone loss in women (4) and, based on more recent data, perhaps also in aging men (5, 6).

In recent years, the mouse has increasingly been used as a model to study mechanisms of bone loss as well as estrogen action on bone. One reason is the availability of numerous inbred strains with differences in peak bone mass (7, 8) and susceptibility to bone loss following ovariectomy (OVX). In addition, a large number of genetically altered mice have been developed with important skeletal phenotypes, some involving defects in estrogen signaling pathways (9, 10). Of note, most of these mice have been bred into the C57BL/6 background strain.

Despite the importance of mice in general and the C57BL/6 strain in particular as tools to study estrogen action on bone, the precise dose–response of estrogen on bone and reproductive tissues in these mice has not been clearly defined. Moreover, these mice are often used to evaluate agents with potential tissue-specific actions (i.e. favorable effects on bone without effects on reproductive tissues), without clear data at present on the relative dose effects of estrogen on these tissues. In addition, some studies have used very high estrogen replacement doses following OVX, which often result in a sclerotic effect and a decrease
in endocortical circumference in mouse long bones (11, 12). Indeed, this has led some to question the relevance of the mouse model for studying postmenopausal bone loss (13).

The goal of the present study was to systematically define the dose–response effect of estrogen on bone and the uterus in C57BL/6 mice. We also tested whether the estrogen dose–response in these tissues differed, depending on the particular experimental paradigm used.

Materials and methods

Care of mice

Ten-week-old C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA, USA) and housed in our animal unit until they were 3 and 6 months old respectively. The animals were housed in a temperature-controlled room (22±2°C) with a daily light/darkness schedule of 12 h. During the experiment, the animals had free access to water and were pair-fed standard laboratory chow (Laboratory Rodent Diet 5001; PMI Feeds, Richmond, VA, USA) containing 0.95% calcium. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) approved all animal procedures.

Experimental design

**Experiment 1** The first study was conducted in 6-month-old female C57BL/6 mice. The mice were assigned to seven groups (n = 6–12 per group) as follows: sham operated, OVX and implanted with a vehicle pellet, or OVX and implanted with 60-day release pellets delivering 5, 10, 20, 40 or 500 µg/kg/day estradiol (E₂) (Innovative Research of America, Sarasota, FL, USA). Following 56 days of treatment, bone mineral density (BMD) was measured at the spine and femur using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) and at the proximal tibial metaphysis using peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT) (see below). After appropriate anesthesia (see below), blood was drawn by cardiac puncture and the animals were killed by inhalation of CO₂, and the uteri were excised and weighed.
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into paraffin wax. The sections of the uteri were prepared and stained with hematoxylin-eosin.

**Statistical analysis**

All data are presented as means ± S.E.M. The overall dose effect was tested by ANOVA. Pairwise comparisons between the 0 dose versus the other doses were made using the Dunnett method, which adjusts for multiple comparisons. The sham and OVX groups were compared using t-tests. A P-value of < 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

**Effects of increasing doses of E2 on bone and uterus in 6-month-old C57BL/6 female mice treated for 2 months**

We initially compared the effects of increasing doses of E2 on bone and the uterus using OVX mice treated with 0, 5, 10, 20, 40 and 500 μg/kg/day E2 for 2 months. Estrogen deficiency caused by OVX significantly decreased spine and femur BMD (Fig. 1). However, treatment with an E2 dose of as little as 5 μg/kg/day was effective in preventing bone loss at both the spine and the femur in these mice (Fig. 1). Moreover, there was a dose-dependent increase in spine and femur BMD with higher doses (20 and 40 μg/kg/day) and even more so at a pharmacological E2 dose of 500 μg/kg/day.

Table 1 shows the values for volumetric BMD at the proximal tibial metaphysis (total, cancellous, and cortical) and the cortical volumetric BMD at the tibia-fibula junction as measured by pQCT in the various groups. In contrast to the spine (which also contains predominantly cancellous bone), cancellous BMD at the tibial metaphysis was not significantly lower in the OVX mice treated with vehicle as compared with the sham-operated mice. However, similar to the DXA measurements at the spine and femur, the 5 μg/kg/day dose was sufficient to increase (above the level of the sham-operated mice) total BMD at the tibial metaphysis. In fact, the overall dose–response relationship at the tibia using pQCT was similar to that seen at the femur using DXA. The high dose of E2 (500 μg/kg/day) clearly resulted in a sclerotic response at the tibial metaphysis, with a marked increase in bone mass (approximately 200% increase in cancellous BMD). As expected, the high dose stimulated endosteal bone formation and increased cortical thickness (data not shown). Cortical volumetric BMD was maintained at the level of the sham-operated mice by an E2 dose of 5 μg/kg/day at the proximal site and at the tibia-fibula junction of the tibia, with a further increase at higher doses (Table 1).

Figure 2 shows the changes in uterine wet weight in these mice. As expected, uterine weight decreased significantly following OVX. In contrast to the protective effect of very low doses of E2 on cancellous and cortical bone, however, an E2 dose of 40 μg/kg/day was necessary to fully restore uterine weight in the OVX mice to the level of the sham-operated mice (Fig. 2). Figure 3 shows changes in uterine histology with the various doses of E2 in these mice. The uteri of sham-operated mice showed tortuous endometrium with tall columnar epithelium, large endometrial glands, and the stroma had a significant infiltration with polymorphonuclear cells (Fig. 3A). By contrast, the endometrium from the OVX mice had cuboidal epithelium, the endometrial glands were small, and the stroma contained closely packed hyperchromatic cells with no cytoplasm within the stroma (Fig. 3B). At a dose of 10 μg/kg/day E2, there was a shift from cuboidal to columnar epithelium. Endometrial glands again increased in size and contained a large amount of eosinophilic cytoplasm (Fig. 3C). However, a dose of 40 μg/kg/day E2 was necessary to produce tall columnar endometrial epithelium and stromal cells with abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm (Fig. 3D).

Serum estradiol levels decreased significantly after OVX (Table 2). E2 treatment at doses of 5 to 20 μg/kg/day resulted in serum E2 concentrations similar to the levels in the sham-operated mice (with differences between these doses being hard to see due to the variability of even this sensitive assay at these low levels). However, the 40 μg/kg/day dose did result in serum E2 levels that were approximately 4 times

---

**Figure 1** BMD (mg/cm²) of the spine and the femur (measured by DXA) in 6-month-old female C57BL/6 mice following 56 days of either sham surgery or OVX plus pellets delivering 0, 5, 10, 20, 40 or 500 μg/kg/day E2. Data are means ± S.E.M. *P < 0.05 vs 0 μg/kg/day, adjusted for multiple comparisons by the Dunnett method; #P < 0.05; $P < 0.01 vs sham.
above the physiological level and levels were even higher at the pharmacological E₂ dose of 500 μg/kg/day (Table 2).

**Effects of increasing doses of E₂ on bone and uterus of 3-month-old mice treated for one month**

In the second study, a different experimental paradigm was used in which 3-month-old mice were treated for only one month. As in experiment 1, the 5 μg/kg/day dose was effective at preventing bone loss at the femur (which contains predominantly cortical bone) in the younger mice in experiment 2 (Fig. 4). Although the changes in cortical volumetric BMD at the tibia (proximal site and at the tibia-fibula junction) did not achieve statistical significance, the overall pattern was similar to that noted for the femur by DXA (Table 3). In contrast to cortical bone, however, a higher dose (10 μg/kg/day) was necessary to prevent bone loss in these mice at the spine, which contains predominantly cancellous bone (Fig. 4). Similar to the findings at the spine, the 10 μg/kg/day dose was also necessary in the 3-month-old mice in order to prevent loss of cancellous bone at the tibial metaphysis, although again, the individual changes in cancellous bone by pQCT were not significant (Table 3). However, in contrast to the 6-month-old mice treated for 2 months, uterine weight was restored to the level of the sham-operated mice even by the 5 μg/kg/day dose in the 3-month-old mice treated for 1 month (Fig. 5).

**Discussion**

We demonstrate that, in 6-month-old C57BL/6 mice treated for 2 months, as little as 5 μg/kg/day E₂ delivered via constant release pellets completely prevented loss of cortical and cancellous bone without a stimulatory effect on the uterus. Higher doses of E₂ further increased cortical and cancellous bone mass at various skeletal sites. In contrast to these findings, we also found that in 3-month-old mice treated for 1 month the 5 μg/kg/day dose resulted in uterine hypertrophy but was ineffective in preventing loss of cancellous bone, and higher doses of E₂ were required to prevent bone loss. Collectively, these results (i) provide data on the dose–response of estrogen, using E₂ pellets, on bone and the uterus and (ii) demonstrate that the relative effects of estrogen on these tissues depends on the particular experimental paradigm used. Our findings in the younger mice are similar to the recent results of Andersson et al. (14), who also found that, in contrast to our data with the 6-month-old mice, there was a dramatic uterine response to very low doses of estradiol in 2-month-old mice, whereas both cancellous and cortical bone were less sensitive to the effects of estrogen in these mice.

We also found that whereas the 6-month-old mice had significant decreases in bone mass at the spine (which contains predominantly cancellous bone) following OVX, they did not lose cancellous bone (but did lose cortical bone) at the tibial metaphysis following OVX. Again, these findings were in contrast to
the 3-month-old mice, who lost cancellous bone at both the spine and tibial metaphysis following OVX (although the findings at the tibial metaphysis did not achieve statistical significance). Of note, whereas spine BMD was similar in the 6- and 3-month-old mice (57.3 ± 1.0 mg/cm² vs 55.6 ± 2.0 mg/cm², *P* = 0.39), cancellous BMD at the tibial metaphysis was significantly lower in the 6-month-old as compared with the 3-month-old mice (172.8 ± 3.52 mg/cm³ vs 216.2 ± 8.14 mg/cm³, *P* < 0.001). This suggests that cancellous bone at the tibia may be progressively lost with aging in these mice, so that by 6 months of age, OVX does not result in further loss of cancellous bone at this site, whereas cortical bone mass is still reduced following OVX (15, 16).

Consistent with our findings in C57BL/6 mice, Gaumet *et al.* (17) found that the skeletal response to estrogen was similar in young (6 month) versus old (12 month) rats, but the uterus of the old rats was much less responsive to estrogen as compared with the young rats. It is also of interest that recent data indicate that very low doses of estrogen can prevent bone loss in elderly postmenopausal women without significant uterine stimulation (18, 19). Collectively, our findings and these other findings in rats and in humans indicate that whereas the skeleton retains
sensitivity to estrogen throughout life, the uterus may become progressively less sensitive to estrogen with aging. In light of the recent findings from the Women’s Health Initiative demonstrating adverse effects of estrogen plus progesterone on cardiovascular events and the risk of breast cancer (20), these data provide a further impetus to examine the effects of low doses of estrogen in elderly women, which may result in skeletal protection without adverse sequellae in other tissues.

We have found that the subcutaneous implantation of slow release pellets is the most convenient and efficacious way to deliver estrogen to mice. This avoids the handling and trauma associated with daily subcutaneous injections, as well as the possible peaks and troughs in serum E2 levels associated with injections (21, 22). However, it is possible that bone versus the uterus may respond differently to a constant, low dose of estrogen as provided by subcutaneous pellets versus the peaks and troughs associated with daily injections. Indeed, previous studies have found that in mature rats subcutaneous injections of E2 (10 μg/kg/48 h) resulted in a distinct increase in uterine weight (21%) compared with sham-operated rats at doses that were less effective on bone, whereas similar doses of E2 (18 μg/kg/day) given by subcutaneous pellets led to an increase in cancellous bone area with no increase of the uterine weight above the weight of the sham-operated rats (17, 23).

As previously demonstrated (12, 25, 26), we also found that a very high dose of E2 (500 μg/kg/day) resulted in a dramatic increase in cancellous and cortical bone mass at the proximal tibia in the older mice. By contrast, the spine did not demonstrate this sclerotic

Table 3  Volumetric (v) BMD at the proximal tibial metaphysis (total vBMD, cancellous vBMD, and cortical vBMD) and at the tibia-fibula (T/F) junction (cortical vBMD T/F) in 3-month-old female C57BL/6 mice following 30 days of either sham surgery or O VX plus pellets delivering 0, 5, 10, 20, or 40 μg/kg/day of E2, measured by pQCT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total vBMD (mg/cm³)</th>
<th>Cancellous vBMD (mg/cm³)</th>
<th>Cortical vBMD (mg/cm³)</th>
<th>Cortical vBMD T/F (mg/cm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sham</td>
<td>430.23±4.85</td>
<td>216.26±8.14</td>
<td>863.21±8.15</td>
<td>1069.19±8.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVX + 0 μg/kg/day</td>
<td>375.08±13.09*</td>
<td>203.85±5.06</td>
<td>836.65±10.05</td>
<td>1050.10±13.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVX + 5 μg/kg/day</td>
<td>420.36±23.35</td>
<td>201.20±7.30</td>
<td>867.60±15.02</td>
<td>1074.40±13.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVX + 10 μg/kg/day</td>
<td>464.16±21.94</td>
<td>217.58±9.17</td>
<td>868.54±14.83</td>
<td>1077.60±8.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVX + 20 μg/kg/day</td>
<td>479.80±34.46</td>
<td>233.88±14.93</td>
<td>889.62±18.01</td>
<td>1104.00±14.60*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVX + 40 μg/kg/day</td>
<td>529.43±80.68</td>
<td>312.20±61.35*</td>
<td>882.03±19.03</td>
<td>1096.78±19.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P < 0.05 vs 0 μg/kg/day, adjusted for multiple comparisons by the Dunnett method; **P < 0.001 vs sham.
response to high doses of estrogen. Thus, there are important differences between the axial and appendicular skeleton in terms of the response to high doses of estrogen. The possible mechanism(s) for this are unclear, although it is of interest that studies with parathyroid hormone (PTH) have also shown different responses in axial versus appendicular sites. In rats treated with PTH, the relative BMD gain was highest at the distal femur and proximal tibia and lower at the lumbar vertebrae (27). In mice, however, PTH treatment augmented cancellous bone to a greater extent in the vertebral body than in the proximal tibia. In contrast, the same treatment added cortical bone in the tibia, a highly mechanically loaded site, but not in the cortex of the lumbar vertebrae, a less loaded site (16). Moreover, in light of recent evidence that the skeletal response to mechanical loading may, at least in part, be mediated by estrogen receptor-α (28), it is possible that the differential sensitivity of axial versus appendicular sites to the sclerotic effect of high doses of estrogen is related to weight bearing.

In summary, our study provides data on the dose–response of bone versus the uterus to estrogen. In addition, we found that the relative effects of estrogen on these tissues depends on the particular experimental conditions used. The latter finding is of particular relevance in interpreting results of studies on new compounds (e.g. selective estrogen receptor modulators) with putative selective effects on bone versus reproductive tissues.
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