Abstract

Objective: This open label, multicentre study was designed to evaluate the efficacy and tolerability of lanreotide Autogel (L-Autogel) in acromegalic patients over a 24-week period. The outcome of treatment with this new, long-acting, aqueous formulation of lanreotide was also compared with the patients’ previous treatment with octreotide long acting repeatable (LAR).

Design and methods: Twenty-five acromegalic patients (13 males, mean age 51 ± 12 years) were switched from octreotide LAR (20–40 mg/4 weeks for at least 6 months) to L-Autogel, given deep subcutaneously at a fixed dose of 90 mg/4 weeks. After 12 weeks, the dose of L-Autogel was titrated according to patients’ mean GH and IGF-I levels at week 8. It was increased to 120 mg/4 weeks if GH > 2.5 µg/l or if IGF-I was above the age-adjusted normal range. It was reduced to 60 mg/4 weeks if mean GH < 1 µg/l and IGF-I was within the normal range. If the values did not fall within these ranges, the dose remained unchanged at 90 mg.

Results: After 24 weeks of treatment with L-Autogel (final doses 60 mg in 3 patients, 90 mg in 4 patients and 120 mg in 18 patients), mean serum GH (2.9 ± 2.4 µg/l) and IGF-I concentrations (332 ± 193 µg/l) remained statistically unchanged when compared with baseline values under octreotide LAR (GH 2.4 ± 1.8 µg/l and IGF-I 337 ± 201 µg/l, non significant (NS)). There was a significant improvement of the acromegalic symptom score over the study period, from 4.8 ± 3.4 to 2.8 ± 2.5 (P = 0.001) and a small but significant reduction in the residual pituitary tumour volume (P < 0.05). Local side-effects were observed less frequently and no technical problems were encountered with the L-Autogel injections, as opposed to treatment with octreotide LAR (60 difficult injections/150 (P < 0.001)).

Conclusions: L-Autogel appears to be as effective as octreotide LAR in lowering GH and IGF-I concentrations in acromegalic patients. This treatment was also well tolerated by the patients, giving fewer local side-effects and technical problems with injections. These advantages may improve the long-term acceptability of medical treatment in acromegaly.

Introduction

Acromegaly is a rare but serious disease usually resulting from growth hormone (GH) hypersecretion by a pituitary adenoma (1). Transsphenoidal surgery remains the first choice therapy in most patients, resulting in ‘disease cure’ in 80–90% of microadenomas, but in only 30–50% of macroadenomas (1, 2). Pituitary radiotherapy can be used as an adjuvant treatment, but it may take 5–10 years to lower GH to acceptable levels and insulin-like growth factor (IGF-I) is normalized only in a minority of patients (3, 4). Several medical therapies are also available, including dopamine agonists, somatostatin analogues and a recently developed GH receptor antagonist (5). Among dopamine agonists, cabergoline is well tolerated, but has limited efficacy, being mostly effective in mixed GH/prolactin secreting tumours and in GH-secreting adenomas where pretreatment levels of GH and IGF-I are low (6). Several somatostatin analogue preparations are currently used as primary or secondary treatment for acromegaly, in particular two long-acting depot formulations, octreotide long-acting repeatable (LAR) and lanreotide slow release (SR). They have both been shown to be safe and effective, normalizing serum IGF-I levels in up to 60% of cases (7–11). In comparative studies, some investigators have found no significant differences between the two treatments (12, 13) although other authors have reported a slight advantage in favour of octreotide LAR (14–16). More recently, the GH receptor antagonist pegvisomant has been reported to be highly effective in normalizing IGF-I concentrations in most
acromegalis, but this treatment requires daily subcutaneous injections and does not act directly on the pituitary tumour (5, 17). The limitations of all currently available medical therapies justifies the ongoing search for somatostatin receptor agonists that are more effective and easy to use.

L-Autogel is a new, long-acting aqueous preparation of lanreotide which is administered by deep subcutaneous injections every 4 weeks and provides a consistent drug release over this time period (18, 19). A previous clinical study has shown that this new formulation is at least as effective and well tolerated as lanreotide SR given every 10–14 days intramuscularly (18). The ease of monthly injections may also improve the acceptability of medical treatment to patients requiring long-term somatostatin analogue therapy.

The aim of this study was to assess the efficacy and tolerability of L-Autogel in acromegalic patients previously treated with octreotide LAR for a minimum of six months and to compare the outcomes of treatment with both drugs.

**Patients and methods**

**Patients**

Twenty-five patients with active acromegaly were included in this study (Tables 1 and 2). Initial diagnosis was based on typical clinical features; failure of GH to suppress below 2 μg/l during an oral glucose tolerance test and elevated serum IGF-I levels above sex- and age-matched values.

All patients were treated with octreotide LAR at a fixed dose over at least six months before entering the study (20 mg in 13 patients, 30 mg in 11 patients and 40 mg in 1 patient). Five patients were also receiving cabergoline treatment, which was not changed over the study period. At the time of diagnosis, 23 patients had a macroadenoma and two had a microadenoma. Thirteen patients had undergone pituitary surgery, four had undergone conventional radiotherapy and one patient had undergone radiosurgery, at least two years before entering the study. Five patients had partial or complete hypopituitarism and received adequate and stable hormone replacement therapy.

The protocol was approved by the local ethics committees of each study centre and all patients gave written informed consent.

**Study protocol (Fig. 1)**

The trial was a prospective, open label, multicentre, within-subject controlled study. All patients were switched from octreotide LAR (Sandostatin LAR (Novartis Pharma AG)) to L-Autogel (Somatuline Autogel (Ipsen Biotech)) injections without a wash-out period. For the first three injections (weeks 0, 4 and 8) 90 mg of L-Autogel was administered to all patients, irrespective of their previous LAR dose. For the fourth injection (week 12) the dose of L-Autogel was determined from the fasting serum GH and IGF-I levels at week 8. This titrated dose was maintained throughout the remainder of the study. If the mean GH concentration (from 3 consecutive samples taken at 30 min intervals) was greater than 2.5 μg/l, or if IGF-I was above the age-adjusted normal range, the dose of L-Autogel was increased to 120 mg. If mean GH concentration was less than 1 μg/l and IGF-I was normal the dose was decreased to 60 mg. If the GH and IGF-I levels did not fall within these bands, the dose was unchanged.

**GH and IGF-I measurements**

Serum GH and IGF-I concentrations were measured in a central laboratory (St Luc University Hospital, Brussels, Belgium) by automated, two-site chemiluminescence immunoassays (Nichols Advantage IGF-I Assay, Nichols Advantage HGH Assay; Nichols Institute Diagnostics, San Juan Capistrano, CA, USA) (20). The calculated sensitivity of the GH assay was 0.1 μg/l and the intra- and interassay coefficients of variation were 4.8% and 5.8%, respectively. The sensitivity of the IGF-I assay was 6 μg/l and the intra-assay and interassay coefficients of variation were 5.2% and 5.7%, respectively. The normal range of IGF-I values was determined as follows: 113–463 μg/l (22–25 years); 125–379 μg/l (25–30 years); 114–316 μg/l (30–35 years); 108–301 μg/l (35–40 years); 101–279 μg/l (40–50 years); 92–253 μg/l (50–60 years) and 83–230 μg/l (60–80 years).

**Symptoms of acromegaly**

Five signs and symptoms of acromegaly (headache, perspiration, asthenia, swelling of extremities and joint pain) were self-evaluated at baseline and after 6 months of treatment, using a four-point rating scale (0, absent; 1, mild; 2, moderate; 3, severe). A symptom

---

Table 1 Characteristics of the study population at baseline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean ± s.d.</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>51 ± 12</td>
<td>23–83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29.4 ± 5.3</td>
<td>20.8–46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>125 ± 14</td>
<td>95–160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>78 ± 9</td>
<td>65–95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since diagnosis of acromegaly (years)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8 ± 1</td>
<td>2–21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since previous surgery (years)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7 ± 4</td>
<td>2–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since previous radiotherapy (years)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9 ± 7</td>
<td>2–21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of octreotide LAR treatment (months)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27 ± 15</td>
<td>8–84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; sBP, systolic blood pressure; dBP, diastolic blood pressure.
score was derived from the sum of all points recorded in every patient (maximum score of 15).

**Tolerability and injection site reactions**

General adverse events were recorded throughout the study. Symptoms and signs at the injection site were recorded after the last injection of octreotide LAR, visit 0 (V0) and L-Autogel, visit 6 (V6) and rated using a scale of 0 to 3 (0, none; 1, mild; 2, moderate; 3, severe). At first and last visits the number of technically difficult injections that occurred over the previous 24 weeks of treatment was estimated for each analogue using patients’ retrospective recall. At the end of the study, patients and nurses were also asked about their preference regarding both treatment modalities.

**Other parameters**

Blood samples for haematology and biochemistry analysis were taken at V0, and after 3 and 6 months of treatment. Ultrasound examination of the gallbladder was performed at baseline and at the end of the study in 22 patients. Three patients had undergone previous cholecystectomy. Pituitary imaging was also performed at baseline and at the last evaluation. Measurements of pituitary tumour diameters were taken whenever a residual pituitary tumour was present. The volume of the tumour was calculated using the mathematical formula of a rotating ellipsoid (21).

**Statistics**

Continuous, normally distributed variables were analysed using an ANOVA model to compare values...
recorded at V0 and V6 in each patient. For discrete variables or for continuous variables, which even after log-transformation grossly violated the normality assumptions for ANOVA analysis, the Wilcoxon’s signed-rank test was performed on the recorded differences between V6 and V0. Proportions were compared using the Fisher’s exact test.

Results

All 25 patients completed the study and Table 2 shows their individual characteristics before any treatment with a somatostatin analogue (SSA) at V0 and after six months of treatment with L-Autogel (V6). High serum GH and/or IGF-I concentrations were observed prior to the start of SSA therapy in all patients. Average body weight and systolic and diastolic blood pressure did not change significantly during the study period (data not shown). In 18 patients, the L-Autogel dose was increased to 120 mg/4 weeks. In 4 patients, the dose remained unchanged at 90 mg/4 weeks, while it was reduced to 60 mg/4 weeks in 3 patients. Among the 13 patients previously treated with octreotide LAR at a dose of 20 mg, 3 received a titrated dose of 60 mg, 4 received 90 mg and 6 received 120 mg of L-Autogel. All 12 patients on 30 or 40 mg octreotide LAR received 120 mg L-Autogel after dose titration.

GH and IGF-I

The mean GH value in the 25 patients at V0 was 2.4±1.8 μg/l. It increased slightly to 2.9±2.4 μg/l (mean±s.d.) after 24 weeks of L-Autogel treatment, but this variation was not statistically significant. There was also no significant change in serum IGF-I concentrations between V0 and V6 (337±201 μg/l vs. 332±193 μg/l, respectively; mean±s.d.) (Tables 2 and 3).

Under octreotide LAR treatment, a mean GH level of less than 2.5 μg/l was observed in 16 patients (64%) (Table 4). After the sixth L-Autogel injection, the number of patients with a GH concentration below 2.5 μg/l was reduced to 12 (48%), although this difference was not statistically significant. Six out of 16 patients with normal GH values under octreotide LAR treatment showed slightly higher GH levels after the 24 weeks of L-Autogel therapy, while two out of the nine previously uncontrolled patients normalized their GH levels after switching medication. The remaining 17 patients showed no changes in their GH status: 10 patients had serum GH levels below 2.5 μg/l whilst in seven patients these levels remained above 2.5 μg/l, whichever medication used (Fig. 2A and B).

In slight discordance with the GH response, the number of patients with a normal IGF-I value was identical under both octreotide LAR and L-Autogel treatments (13/25, 52%) (Table 4). Twelve patients were well controlled by both drugs. One patient had a normal IGF-I value during octreotide LAR 40 mg but showed an elevated value under lanreotide Autogel at the highest dose of 120 mg. The opposite finding was observed in one other patient, not controlled during octreotide LAR 30 mg, but normalizing IGF-I after L-Autogel 120 mg (Fig. 3A and B). The changes in serum GH and IGF-I concentrations after the switch from one analogue to the other were not influenced by the previous dose of octreotide LAR (Figs 2 and 3, A vs B, NS).

Table 3 Serum GH and IGF-I concentrations and side effects and tolerability of treatments with octreotide LAR and L-Autogel in the 25 acromegalic patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Octreotide LAR</th>
<th>L-Autogel</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean GH (μg/l)§</td>
<td>2.4±1.8</td>
<td>2.9±2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF-I (μg/l)§</td>
<td>337±202</td>
<td>332±193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/l)§</td>
<td>6.3±1.5</td>
<td>6.4±1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)§</td>
<td>6.1±0.9</td>
<td>6.1±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local side effects</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythema*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain*</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swelling*</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General side effects</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhoea*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal discomfort*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Number of patients.
§ Mean ± s.d.

Symptoms of acromegaly and tumour size

During L-Autogel therapy, the mean symptom score decreased from 4.8±3.4 at V0 to 2.8±2.5 at V6 (P < 0.001) and this reduction was independent of the dose of octreotide LAR. When patients were grouped according to their IGF-I values at V0, only the subgroup of patients with abnormally high IGF-I values showed a significant reduction in their symptom score (P < 0.01) between V0 and V6.

At baseline MRI examination, the mean volume of the tumour was 1416±2405 mm³ (20 patients) and it decreased slightly, but significantly, to 1218±2215 mm³ after 6 months (P < 0.05).

Side effects and tolerability

General side effects, including nausea, diarrhoea and abdominal discomfort, were reported by a minority of patients with no significant difference between octreotide LAR and L-Autogel treatment (Table 3). In contrast, local side effects were observed less frequently after the last L-Autogel injection than after the last octreotide LAR injection (P < 0.001). Nineteen patients reported technical problems encountered by the nurse during at least one of the last six octreotide LAR injections (60 injections estimated as technically
difficult from a total of 150). In contrast, no technical problems were observed during any of the L-Autogel injections \((P, 0.001)\).

There were no changes in fasting glucose or HbA1c values between V0 and V6 (Table 3). Ten of 22 patients had gallstones or sludge at baseline and these ultrasonographic abnormalities remained unchanged over the study period.

### Discussion

We examined the effects of L-Autogel in acromegalic patients previously treated with octreotide LAR, in order to compare the efficacy and tolerability of both treatments. There are, at present, only a few papers examining the effects of L-Autogel in acromegaly (18, 19) and a larger number on the effects of octreotide
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**Table 4** Patients with normal GH and IGF-I on different doses of octreotide LAR and L-Autogel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Octreotide LAR</th>
<th>L-Autogel</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 mg</td>
<td>30 mg</td>
<td>40 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients with mean GH ≤ 2.5 μg/l (%)</td>
<td>12/13 (92%)</td>
<td>4/11 (36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients with normal IGF-I (%)</td>
<td>9/13 (69%)</td>
<td>3/11 (27%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P values refer to the comparison between either dose of octreotide LAR and either dose of L-Autogel.

---

**Figure 2** Serum mean GH concentrations at V0, following octreotide LAR 20 mg (panel A, \(n = 13\)) or octreotide LAR 30–40 mg (panel B, \(n = 12\), 30 mg \(x\); 40 mg \(\bullet\)) and at V6, after the 6th injection of L-Autogel (60 mg \(x\); 90 mg \(x\); 120 mg \(\bullet\)). Data are presented as medians, the interquartile range and the 5th and 95th percentiles.

**Figure 3** Serum IGF-I concentrations at V0, following octreotide LAR 20 mg (panel A, \(n = 13\)) or octreotide LAR 30–40 mg (panel B, \(n = 12\), 30 mg \(x\); 40 mg \(\bullet\)) and at V6, after the 6th injection of L-Autogel (60 mg \(x\); 90 mg \(x\); 120 mg \(\bullet\)). Data are presented as medians, the interquartile range and the 5th and 95th percentiles.
LAR (7, 14, 16, 22–25). The present study shows that both somatostatin analogues were well tolerated and similarly effective in the treatment of acromegaly, with minor differences that may alternatively favour one or the other depot formulation. Two recent studies (26, 27) have also compared the effects of octreotide LAR and L-Autogel in smaller numbers of acromegalic patients. In a group of 10 patients, in which the disease was well-controlled, switching from LAR 20 mg to L-Autogel 60–120 mg/4 weeks resulted in similar effects on GH levels, but in a slightly greater reduction of IGF-I after 28 weeks (26), while in another recent 12-month study of seven patients with a good responsiveness to octreotide, no difference in GH and IGF-I suppression was observed between the two long-acting analogues (27). Using a GH target value below 2.5 μg/l, we demonstrated that a similar control of GH secretion can be obtained with both octreotide LAR and L-Autogel injections over a treatment period of 6 months in a larger cohort of acromegals, including patients with severe disease. Indeed, after the switch from octreotide to L-Autogel depot formulation there was no statistically significant difference in mean GH values nor in the number of patients with a mean GH below 2.5 μg/l, although a slight decrease was observed from 64% of patients treated with octreotide LAR to 48% after L-Autogel treatment. Similar results have been observed in previous studies (18, 28).

Normalization of serum IGF-I has also been shown to correlate with a normalization of the standard mortality rate (29, 30). In this study, we showed that a normal age-adjusted IGF-I concentration was obtained in 52% of the patients receiving either treatment. This figure falls within the range of normalization rate found in previous studies (18, 25). It is worthy of note that in about 40% of patients, adequately controlled hormone levels are not achieved with the currently available somatostatin analogues, indicating a need for other therapeutic tools such as GH-receptor antagonists or newly-designed analogues with higher affinity for somatostatin receptor subtypes 2 and 5 (31).

As in several other studies, we not only observed different percentages of patients normalizing GH and IGF-I levels but also a discrepancy between individual GH and IGF-I responses. There is ongoing debate about the correlation (or lack of) between serum GH and IGF-I levels in individual patients treated for acromegaly, and a number of mechanisms have been proposed to explain these discrepancies (32, 33). In our study we also observed some discrepancies between the changes in GH and IGF-I after the switch to L-Autogel, with a few patients being well controlled in terms of IGF-I but not in terms of GH. This could be due to slight differences in the affinity of both drugs for the somatostatin receptor subtypes (34) or in the pharmacokinetic profiles of the two drugs. In this regard, it appears that at least 6 months may be required for steady state to be reached with L-Autogel treatment in some patients (26). Lastly, a possible direct effect of L-Autogel on IGF-I secretion, independent of GH levels, cannot be firmly excluded.

A nearly similar control of GH hypersecretion by both somatostatin analogues was observed in this study. To achieve this, a significant proportion of patients (6/13) previously treated with the lowest dose of octreotide LAR (20 mg/4 weeks) had to be switched to the highest dose of L-Autogel (120 mg/4 weeks), and all patients treated with 30 or 40 mg of octreotide LAR were switched to the 120 mg L-Autogel dose. This could be explained in part by a bias in the selection criteria, since patients receiving octreotide LAR treatment had been selected on the basis of their response to subcutaneous octreotide injections. In addition, the titration criteria that we used for L-Autogel could not be applied for octreotide LAR, since patients were already treated with this analogue at study entry. Therefore, it is difficult to strictly compare the relative dose equivalence of the two drugs within the frame of the present study. Nevertheless, it seems that a majority of patients switched from 30 mg octreotide LAR to 120 mg L-Autogel will have roughly equivalent disease control.

The clinical symptoms of acromegaly were significantly improved after the switch from octreotide LAR to L-Autogel. A decrease in the mean symptom score was observed across the whole group of patients between V0 and V6. In patients with initially uncontrolled disease on the basis of IGF-I values this was particularly apparent. Since the baseline IGF-I status did not influence the outcome of mean GH levels, we suggest that L-Autogel treatment can control clinical symptoms of acromegaly irrespective of GH levels. This has indeed been previously reported for lanreotide SR (8, 35) and for octreotide LAR (23, 24). Interpretation of our data is, however, limited by the nature of our open, one-way protocol. A strict comparison of the efficacy of the two somatostatin analogues on the symptom score would require a randomised, double-blind, cross-over study.

The effects of L-Autogel on tumour size are also difficult to interpret as most of the patients had had prior surgery and/or radiotherapy in addition to octreotide LAR treatment. We observed a slight but significant decrease in tumour volume over the 6 month study period, yet it would be unwise to attribute this effect to the change in treatment since we do not know whether the tumour volume was stable or still decreasing before the switch from one analogue to the other. In fact, the effects of any treatment on tumour size should be evaluated in de novo patients or after a longer period of treatment (25, 36, 37).

Both treatments were generally well tolerated and none of the patients had to be withdrawn from the study, partly because they were already treated with an analogue at the start of the study. We did not observe any change of glycaemic control, in agreement.
with Caron et al. (18). Only a few patients reported systemic side effects after the last octreotide LAR injection, reflecting those seen in previous studies (7, 23). The frequency of reported general side effects remained unchanged throughout the course of the study. One important adverse event during long-term somatostatin analogue therapy is an increased tendency towards gallstone formation. This complication was indeed observed at baseline in 45% of our patients, but no further development of gallstones or sludge was found after six L-Autogel injections.

Immediate local tolerability at the injection site was significantly better with L-Autogel injections. Mild to moderate pain at the injection site was reported by 76% of the patients for octreotide LAR, a percentage higher than those found in previous studies (7, 38). In contrast, only 12% of patients complained of local pain after the sixth L-Autogel injection, as also reported by Caron et al. (18). As a consequence, when patients were asked their preference about treatment, the majority (17/25) chose L-Autogel and only two of them preferred octreotide LAR. L-Autogel was easier to inject and no technical problems were encountered during any of the six injections in any patient. This was not the case for octreotide LAR, since a majority of the patients recalled the occurrence of minor or major technical problems for at least one out of the six last injections of LAR, even though injections were given by experienced paramedical staff. It is likely that this was related to the known differences in the drug formulation, with L-Autogel administered as a stable gel by deep subcutaneous injections of small volume (0.2–0.4 ml) from a prefilled syringe, as opposed to octreotide LAR which needs a prior reconstitution from a solid phase into a 2–3 ml suspension of microparticles.

In conclusion, this open label, multicentre switch study shows that L-Autogel is an effective, well tolerated and safe therapy for acromegalic patients. When compared with a prior octreotide LAR treatment, 4-weekly injections of L-Autogel seem to be equally effective in maintaining adequate mean GH and IGF-I concentrations. This new formulation was also very well accepted by the patients, giving fewer local side effects or technical problems with the injections. These advantages could increase the compliance of acromegalic patients to long-term medical therapy.
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