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Abstract

Objective: Data from cell culture experiments suggest that local growth factors (GFs) may mediate the effects of estrogens, calcitonin or fluor ions on the skeleton. To assess the in vivo relevance of the in vitro reports, the effect of fluor salts, hormone replacement therapy (HRT) and calcitonin on the concentrations of IGF-I, IGF-II and transforming growth factor (TGF)-β1 in bone matrix extracts from osteoporotic patients was evaluated.

Design: Iliac crest bone biopsies were obtained from 170 patients (76 men and 94 women) with primary osteoporosis aged 55.5±0.8 years.

Methods: Bone matrix extraction was performed based on a guanidine–HCl/ethylenediamine–tetraacetic acid method.

Results: In comparison with age- and body mass index (BMI)-matched controls, no influence of long-term therapy with fluor ions (n=41) or calcitonin (n=16) on the bone matrix concentration of GFs was noticed. Postmenopausal women with osteoporosis on HRT (n=39) had lower skeletal IGF-I but not IGF-II levels as compared with age- and BMI-matched non-users. However, the lower rate of bone turnover in women with HRT may account for this difference, since the significance was lost after adjustment for alkaline phosphatase. Likewise, a tendency for lower TGF-β1 levels was observed in HRT users as compared with non-users but was lost after adjustment for bone turnover. None of the therapies influenced the serum levels of GFs when patients receiving continuous therapy for at least 1 year before bone biopsy were considered.

Conclusions: Our data suggest no direct effect of fluor therapy on skeletal GFs levels. At the concentrations used, neither HRT nor calcitonin appeared to exert any significant influence on serum or bone matrix GF levels.
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Introduction

Insulin-like growth factors (IGFs) and transforming growth factor (TGF)-β1 are major regulators of human bone remodeling. The peptides promote osteoblast (1–4) and osteoclast activity (5) and may act as coupling factors of bone resorption to subsequent bone formation (6). In vitro experiments have demonstrated interactions between these skeletal growth factors and hormones or substances presently used as therapeutic agents in metabolic bone diseases, such as estrogens, calcitonin or fluoride. Fluoride has been shown to potentiate the mitogenic effect of IGF-I on osteoblastic cells in vitro (7), thereby increasing osteogenic cell proliferation. In rodent and human osteoblastic systems, estradiol enhances IGF-I expression by osteoblasts (8, 9), suggesting that, in part, the effects of estrogens on bone cells may be mediated by IGF-I. However, in these experiments, estradiol was able to increase IGF-I transcripts but not polypeptide levels. In addition, a stimulatory effect of estrogens on TGF-β1 production and activity (10, 11) has been demonstrated in cell culture systems. Recent research has shown that calcitonin is able to stimulate the production of IGF-I and IGF-II in human osteoblastic cells (12). Based on these results, we hypothesized that skeletal growth factors may, in part, mediate the positive effects of these agents on bone. On the other hand, apart from the production of
growth factors by bone cells, the in vivo concentration of IGF-I, IGF-II and TGF-β1 in bone matrix may depend to a great extent on several parameters, such as age, body mass index (BMI) (13, 14), bone turnover or bone mass. Whereas the concentration of TGF-β1 in the human skeleton appeared to be related to bone turnover but not to bone mass (15), the skeletal content of IGF-I was positively associated with both bone turnover (16–18) and bone volume (17). Thus, it remains unclear whether in vitro osteogenic actions of estrogens and other agents have a biological relevance in vivo. In the present study, we aimed to establish the effects of fluor ions, hormone replacement therapy (HRT) and calcitonin on the bone matrix concentration of IGF-I, IGF-II and TGF-β1 in subjects with primary osteoporosis.

Subjects and methods

Bone samples

Iliac crest bone biopsies were obtained from 170 patients with osteoporosis (76 men and 94 women) who were inpatients at the Department of Endocrinology of the University of Heidelberg and the Clinic of Metabolic Diseases, Bad Pyrmont, Germany. All patients gave informed consent and the study was conducted with the approval of the ethics committee on clinical investigations at the University of Heidelberg Medical Center.

Osteoporosis was considered as a bone mineral density (BMD) T score at the lumbar spine (L2–L4) and/or the hip = or < -2.5 s.d.

Exclusion criteria were corticosteroid therapy for more than 3 months in the past 3 years, hyperparathyroidism, overt hyperthyroidism, evidence of systemic mastocytosis, plasmocytoma or renal osteopathy, antidepressant therapy, lactose intolerance, malabsorption or bone matrix extraction was performed for each bone sample, as previously described (20). The results from the two measurements were then averaged. Lyophilized bone powder was then washed-out with cold distilled water, centrifuged and lyophilized overnight. Duplicate bone matrix extractions were performed for each bone sample. Bone samples less than 15 mg bone powder was available for extraction.

Bone biopsies were obtained by horizontal approach, were about 5–7 mm in diameter and had an average length of 1.5–2 cm.

Blood samples were obtained in a subset of 135 patients (61 men and 74 women) for the measurement of growth factors in serum. After collection, both bone and serum samples were stored at −80°C until further analysis.

BMD measurements

Using dual X-ray absorptiometry (Hologic 1000, Hologic Inc., Waltham, MA, USA and DPX-L, Lunar, Madison, WI, USA), BMD was determined as bone mineral content per cm² of the lumbar spine (L2–L4) and the left hip. Quality control was ensured by daily calibration using the phantom provided by the manufacturer. Cross-calibration of instruments, allowing intercomparison of results obtained with machines from different manufacturers was performed using the European Spine Phantom prototype, according to the European Concerted Medical Action protocol (19).

Bone matrix extraction

Bone samples were cleaned mechanically and cancellous bone was separated from cortical bone using a dissection microscope. Cancellous bone fragments (0.1–0.5 cm diameter) were washed repeatedly in cold distilled water until the washes were free of bone and then defatted in di-isopropylether (4 h). The defatted bone samples were ground for 10 min into small particles (40–60 μm) in a liquid nitrogen-cooled freezer mill (Raetsch, Haan, Germany), using a wolfram-carbide ball. The yield of mineralized bone powder was then washed-out with cold distilled water, centrifuged and lyophilized overnight. Duplicate bone matrix extractions were performed for each bone sample, as previously described (20). The results from the two measurements were then averaged. Lyophilized bone powder (15 mg) was placed in a microcentrifuge tube (Twist-Lock; Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) and 1.7 ml extraction solution added. In 14.7% of bone samples less than 15 mg bone powder was available for extraction.

Spectrapor 3-dialysis tubing (3.5 kDa cut-off; Spectrum Medical Industries, Houston, TX, USA) was placed over the tube opening and secured by a melted-out (3–4 mm) tube cap. The tubes were inverted and firmly fixed upside down in a circular styropor rack floating on top of the extraction solution (2500 ml for 60 tubes). Extraction was achieved by passive dialysis against 0.05 mol/l tetrasodium EDTA (Serva, Heidelberg, Germany), 4 mol/l guanidine–HCl (Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany), 30 mmol/l Tris (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (Sigma; radioimmunoassay grade) at pH 7.4. The following protease inhibitors were added.
to the extraction solution: 5 mmol/l benzamidine–HCl, 1 mmol/l phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride and 0.1 mol/l e-aminocaproic acid (Sigma). Dialysis was carried out at 4°C under constant stirring for 24 h. Consecutively, the samples were redialyzed for 72 h against 10 mmol/l acetic acid (pH 2.8). The dialysis medium (2500 ml for 60 tubes) was replaced every 24 h. After centrifugation at 10,000 g for 5 min, the supernatant extracts were recovered and stored at −80°C until assayed for growth factors concentration. Intra- and inter-extraction coefficients of variance were less than 9% and 15% respectively for all growth factors.

Normalised growth factor concentration was calculated per mg mineralized bone or per mg dry weight of the non-extractable bone matrix, which reflects the amount of collagenous bone matrix appropriately. The residual bone matrix after extraction was therefore twice resuspended in distilled water, centrifuged at 10,000 g for 2 min, lyophilized and weighed. Normalized indices for IGF-I (r = 0.51, P < 0.0001, n = 142), IGF-II (r = 0.28, P = 0.001, n = 129) and TGF-β1 (r = 0.74, P < 0.0001, n = 142) were highly correlated.

Measurements of IGF-I, IGF-II and IGF-binding protein (IGFBP)-3 in bone matrix extracts and/or serum

IGF-I was quantified in bone matrix extracts and in serum as described previously (17), using IGF-I (Medigenost, Tübingen, Germany) and recombinant human IGF-I (GroPeP, Adelaide, Australia) as a tracer and standard (20, 21). IGFBP artifacts were avoided by initial dissociation of IGF from the binding proteins present using an acidic buffer. Inducing binding protein saturation through the addition of excess IGF-II (Medigenost) then blocked reassociation. Serum and extraction samples were diluted by a factor of 50 and 5 respectively. This assay method has been extensively validated and shows good correlation with measurements obtained by acidic size-exclusion chromatography (22). Previous experiments had shown that with this procedure unlabeled IGF-I is completely recovered after preincubation with the extracts and that there is no change in the measurement of endogenous IGF-I in the presence of up to 10 ng/ml IGFBP-3 (17). The sensitivity of the IGF-I radioimmunoassay was 0.002 ng/tube (0.02 ng/ml). The interassay variance of measurement for IGF-I was 7% and IGF-II cross-reactivity was below 0.05%. Assay conditions for IGF-II were similar to those described for IGF-I, except that IGF-I excess was used to avoid artifacts induced by IGFBPs (22, 23). The IGF-II assay had an interassay precision of 6.5%.

IGFBP-3 was measured in serum using a commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbert assay from Biomerieux, Germany. The sensitivity of the assay is given as 0.04 ng/ml. The interassay coefficient of variation was 9.9%.

Measurement of TGF-β1 in bone matrix extracts and/or serum

Immunoreactive TGF-β1 in bone matrix extracts and serum was determined by specific enzyme-linked immunoassay (Genzyme, Cambridge, MA, USA), using a direct-labeled HRP-conjugated polyclonal antibody to TGF-β1 and natural human TGF-β1 as a standard. Activation of TGF-β1 prior to its measurement was performed by acidification. Serum and extraction samples were diluted by a factor of 50 and 4 respectively. We have validated the assay by showing good parallelism of the dilution curves of bone extracts and TGF-β1 standard curves. The assay sensitivity was 0.05 ng/ml. The interassay variance of measurements for TGF-β1 was 7.5%.

Statistical analysis

Results are expressed as means ± S.E.M. Data were analyzed using the Statistical Analysis System software program (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Pearson’s simple and partial correlation coefficients were used to describe the associations between two continuous variables. The general linear model procedure was used to analyze differences between groups. In order to adjust for differences in life-style factors or therapy in multivariate analyses, the clinical data were transformed in categorical variables.

Results

Baseline characteristics and BMD values of 170 patients with osteoporosis (76 men and 94 women), aged 55.5 ± 0.8 years (range 27–88 years) are presented in Table 1. As shown, in our study group, men were significantly younger and more likely to drink alcohol than were the women, whereas no gender differences were observed with respect to physical activity (Table 1). The mean length of therapy with fluor salts, HRT and calcitonin in the past 1–3 years before biopsy in subjects with primary osteoporosis in whom the effect of anti-osteoporotic agents on skeletal growth factors was analyzed is shown in Table 2.

Effects of therapy with fluor ions on bone matrix and serum levels of IGF-I, IGF-II and TGF-β1 in patients with primary osteoporosis

To evaluate the influence of therapy with fluor ions on the bone matrix content of IGF-I, IGF-II and TGF-β1, 41 patients (26 men and 15 women) receiving fluor therapy (sodium fluoride, monofluorphosphate) were compared with 47 age- and BMI-matched patients (29 men and 18 women). Apart from calcium and vitamin D supplements that had been administered to patients from both groups, patients in the control
### Table 1
Baseline characteristics and cross-calibrated lumbar spine and hip BMD mean values in 170 patients with primary osteoporosis at the time of iliac crest biopsy. When all patients were considered, BMD measurements were available, from left to right, for the lumbar spine in 146, 67 and 79 of patients and for the hip in 138, 61 and 77 of patients respectively (*P* = 0.02, *bP* = 0.0002). In fluor salt-treated patients’ BMD measurements were available, from left to right, for the lumbar spine in 35, 23 and 12 of patients and for the hip in 32, 23 and 12 of patients respectively. In women taking HRT, BMD was assessed at the lumbar spine in 37 and at the hip in 31. BMD was available in calcitonin-treated subjects, from left to right in 13, 9 and 4 patients for the lumbar spine and the hip respectively. Data are expressed as means ± S.E.M.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Fluor therapy</th>
<th>HRT</th>
<th>Calcitonin therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>55.5±0.8</td>
<td>51.9±0.9</td>
<td>58.4±1.3&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>55.5±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.9±0.2</td>
<td>24.4±0.3</td>
<td>23.5±0.3</td>
<td>24.6±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD (g/cm²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L₂–L₄</td>
<td>0.72±0.01</td>
<td>0.74±0.01</td>
<td>0.70±0.01</td>
<td>0.73±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FN</td>
<td>0.60±0.01</td>
<td>0.65±0.01</td>
<td>0.52±0.03</td>
<td>0.60±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>0.44±0.01</td>
<td>0.46±0.01</td>
<td>0.40±0.02</td>
<td>0.42±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-score (S.D.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L₂–L₄</td>
<td>−3.38±0.08</td>
<td>−3.38±0.12</td>
<td>−3.39±0.11</td>
<td>−3.31±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FN</td>
<td>−3.39±0.18</td>
<td>−2.95±0.12</td>
<td>−3.73±0.30</td>
<td>−3.25±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>−3.34±0.11</td>
<td>−3.05±0.12</td>
<td>−3.57±0.18</td>
<td>−3.43±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP (U/l)</td>
<td>107±3</td>
<td>107±5</td>
<td>106±4</td>
<td>106±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% VF</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>34.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% alcohol</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>7.9&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% physical activity</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>L₂–L₄ = Lumbar spine; FN = femoral neck; WT = Ward’s triangle; AP = alkaline phosphatase; VF = vertebral fractures.
group did not receive any specific therapy for osteoporosis. No differences in the bone matrix concentration of IGF-I or IGF-II between groups were detected by simple analysis or after adjustment for life-style factors and calcium and vitamin D intake, in either men or women (Fig. 1A and B). In addition, fluor therapy had no influence on the concentration of TGF-β1 in the bone matrix of patients with primary osteoporosis (Fig. 1C). Likewise, restriction of the analysis to the group of patients with osteoporosis using fluor therapy continuously for at least 12 months before iliac crest biopsy (n = 25) showed no differences in the concentration of bone matrix growth factors in comparison with age- and BMI-matched controls (n = 43) (data not shown). There was no evidence for an association between the length of therapy and the cancellous bone level of the growth factors, as detected by simple regression analysis (men: IGF-I: n = 25, r = 0.31, P = 0.12; IGF-II: n = 21, r = 0.06, P = 0.78; TGF-β1: n = 17, r = -0.03, P = 0.86; women: IGF-I: n = 13, r = -0.23, P = 0.43; IGF-II: n = 13, r = -0.35, P = 0.23; TGF-β1: n = 14, r = 0.19, P = 0.51). Likewise, stratification of data by length of therapy (i.e. 3–6 months of treatment, 6–9 months, 9–12 months and ≥12 months of therapy) revealed no differences between groups (data not shown). In addition, the total amount of fluor ions received by patients during therapy had no influence on the skeletal concentrations of IGF-I, IGF-II or TGF-β1 (data not shown).

We further analyzed the effect of therapy with fluor salts on the serum concentration of growth factors between the group of patients to whom fluor ions had been administered for at least 12 months before biopsy (15 men and 10 women) and age- and BMI-matched non-users (30 men and 15 women). Fluor salt users had levels of serum IGF-I and IGF-II comparable with non-users in either men or women (Fig. 1D and E), regardless of whether adjustment for serum IGFBP-3 levels was performed or not. In addition, we were not able to detect differences in the concentration of serum TGF-β1 between fluor ion users and non-users, in either men or women with osteoporosis (Fig. 1F).

Table 2 Mean length of therapy with fluor salts, HRT and calcitonin in subjects with primary osteoporosis. Data are expressed as means±S.E.M.; every patient had received at least 3 months of therapy during the last year before bone biopsy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fluoride</th>
<th>HRT</th>
<th>Calcitonin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean length of therapy in the past year before biopsy (months)</td>
<td>10.0±0.4</td>
<td>9.9±0.5</td>
<td>10.1±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean length of therapy in the past 3 years before biopsy (months)</td>
<td>18.9±1.8</td>
<td>19.2±2.3</td>
<td>18.3±3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The effects of HRT on bone matrix and serum levels of IGF-I, IGF-II and TGF-β1 in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis

The effect of HRT on the concentration of IGF-I, IGF-II and TGF-β1 in iliac crest bone matrix was assessed in 39 postmenopausal women with osteoporosis, aged 56.5±1.0 years. Patients had received HRT for 26.9±2.0 months in the past 3 years (Table 2). A group of 13 age- and BMI-matched postmenopausal women without HRT diagnosed with osteoporosis were considered as controls. Based on our observation that fluor therapy had no significant impact on the trabecular IGF or TGF-β1 content, women receiving fluor therapy (n = 15) besides HRT were not excluded from analysis but adjustment for fluor salt treatment was performed. As expected, due to the decrease in the rate of bone turnover induced by HRT, lower levels of serum total alkaline phosphatase (AP) levels were noticed in women with HRT in comparison to women without HRT (HRT users 123.3±9.8 IU/l vs non-users 94.4±5.6 IU/l, P = 0.01). After adjustment for lifestyle factors, fluor therapy, and calcium and vitamin D intake, skeletal IGF-I but not IGF-II levels were significantly decreased in women with HRT as compared with women without HRT (Fig. 2A and B). However, this was, presumably, due to the effects of HRT on bone turnover, since the difference was no more significant after adjustment of data for serum AP concentrations. In contrast, HRT had no influence on bone matrix IGF-II levels. There was a tendency for lower bone matrix TGF-β1 levels in women with HRT as compared with women without HRT (P = 0.07), but this was lost after adjustment for serum AP levels (Fig. 2C). Similar results were obtained between the group of postmenopausal women with osteoporosis who had used HRT continuously for at least 12 months before bone biopsy (n = 32) and age- and BMI-matched controls without HRT (data not shown). There was no evidence for an association between the skeletal levels of IGF-I or IGF-II and the length of therapy in HRT users (IGF-I: n = 38, r = -0.19, P = 0.23; IGF-II: n = 38, r = -0.09, P = 0.56). In our group of postmenopausal patients, a weak inverse linear correlation between
Figure 1 Effects of fluor therapy on the concentration of IGF-I, IGF-II and TGF-β1 in bone matrix from patients with primary osteoporosis. The number of analyzed bone samples is shown in parentheses above each bar. Data are expressed as means ± S.E.M. (A) Quantitation of IGF-I in bone matrix showed no difference between patients without fluor salt therapy (−F) and age- and BMI-matched fluor salt therapy users (+F), in either men (P = 0.83) or women (P = 0.82). (B) In multivariate analyses, no significant difference in bone matrix IGF-II concentration between non-users (−F) and patients receiving fluor salt therapy (+F) was noted, in either men (P = 0.56) or women (P = 0.27). (C) No significant difference in bone matrix TGF-β1 concentration between non-users (−F) and patients receiving fluor therapy (+F) was noted, in either men (P = 0.62) or women (P = 0.46). (D) Fluor salt users had comparable levels of serum IGF-I to non-users (men: P = 0.23, after adjustment for IGFBP-3, P = 0.06; women: P = 0.51, after adjustment for IGFBP-3, P = 0.20). (E) No significant difference in serum levels of IGF-II between patients receiving fluor ions therapy and controls was detected in either men (P = 0.95, after adjustment for IGFBP-3, P = 0.86) or women (P = 0.93, after adjustment for IGFBP-3, P = 0.45). (F) No significant difference in serum levels of TGF-β1 between patients with fluor therapy and patients without fluor therapy was detected in either men (P = 0.43) or women (P = 0.38).
Figure 2 Effects of HRT on the concentration of IGF-I, IGF-II and TGF-β1 in bone matrix from postmenopausal women with primary osteoporosis. The number of analyzed bone samples is shown in parentheses above each bar. Data are expressed as means ± S.E.M. *P = 0.03. Postmenopausal women without HRT (−HRT) had significantly higher IGF-I concentrations compared with age- and BMI-matched women with HRT (+HRT) as shown in (A). However, this significance was lost after adjustment for serum AP levels (P = 0.20). (B) No significant difference in bone matrix IGF-II concentration between postmenopausal women on HRT and women without HRT was noted (P = 0.77). (C) There was a tendency for lower TGF-β1 concentrations in postmenopausal women with HRT compared with women without HRT (P = 0.07) but this was lost in AP-adjusted analyses (P = 0.18). (D) No significant differences in serum IGF-I levels between postmenopausal women without HRT and with HRT was noticed (P = 0.41, after adjustment for IGFBP-3 and concomitant therapy with fluor salts, P = 0.54). (E) No significant differences in serum IGF-II levels between postmenopausal women without HRT and with HRT was observed (P = 0.73, after adjustment for IGFBP-3 and concomitant therapy with fluor salts, P = 0.68). (F) No significant differences in serum TGF-β1 levels between postmenopausal women without HRT and with HRT was noticed (P = 0.19, after adjustment for concomitant fluoride therapy, P = 0.17).
TGF-β1 concentrations in bone matrix and the length of HRT \((n = 38, \ r = -0.37, \ P = 0.02)\) was noticed. No dependency of bone matrix growth factor levels with the dose of estrogens was observed in our group of patients (data not shown). Serum samples from patients receiving oral HRT for at least 12 months before bone biopsy \((n = 29)\) were considered for comparison with age- and BMI-matched women without HRT \((n = 11)\). No differences in serum concentrations of IGF-I, IGF-II or TGF-β1 between groups were noticed (Fig. 2D, E and F), even after adjustment for IGFBP-3 was performed.

**Discussion**

The regulation of IGF and TGF-β1 within the human skeleton is complex. *In vitro* data have shown that in human osteoblastic cell systems both IGF-I and TGF-β1 are under the control of estrogens and parathyroid hormone (PTH) \((9, 10, 24)\) and recently Farley et al. \((12)\) have demonstrated stimulatory effects of calcitonin on IGF-I and IGF-II in human osteoblast-like cells. These data might lead us to speculate about the impact of these mechanisms on the regulation of normal human bone metabolism as well as during bone diseases. However, there is no evidence yet that these mechanisms are clearly relevant *in vivo*. Therefore, we have attempted to elucidate whether or not potential regulators of the skeletal content of IGF and TGF-β1 may significantly influence the expression of these factors *in vivo*.

Bone is a dynamic tissue undergoing a lifelong remodeling process. Studies on bone metabolism have shown that cancellous bone matrix is renewed every 1–2 years; therefore patients on long-term treatment with fluor salts, HRT or calcitonin were enrolled in the present study and compared with age- and BMI-matched controls in whom osteoporosis was not treated until the moment of bone biopsy.

While sodium fluoride acts by increasing bone formation *in vitro* \((25)\) and *in vivo*, estrogens and calcitonin specifically act by inhibiting bone resorption. Fluoride has been shown to enhance the proliferative effect of IGF-I on osteoblastic cells *in vitro* \((7)\). In the rat, sodium fluoride has been shown to potentiate the effect of IGF-I administration on BMD \((26)\), suggesting an interaction between fluoride and the IGF system. In the present study, we were not able to detect significant differences in the concentration of IGF and TGF-β1 in trabecular bone or in serum between fluor salt users and age- and BMI-matched non-users. Thus, it appears that mechanisms other than direct stimulation of IGF-I production by osteoblasts may explain the interaction between IGF-I and sodium fluoride. Indeed, *in vitro* studies have established that fluoride acts by increasing protein tyrosine phosphorylation \((27)\), leading to the potentiation of osteoblast proliferation induced by growth factors.

In our group of postmenopausal women, HRT resulted in significantly lower bone matrix IGF-I but not IGF-II levels. This effect, however, was lost after adjustment of data for the rate of bone turnover. In the rat, only supra-physiological concentrations of 17β-estradiol were able to induce a stimulatory effect on the skeletal IGF-I level \((28)\). In a previous report from our laboratory on the concentration of IGF-I and IGF-II in bone matrix from 533 women undergoing surgery for early stage breast cancer, menopausal transition appeared not to be associated with significant changes in trabecular bone matrix IGF-I or IGF-II levels \((17)\). Our present findings are in accordance with the major anti-resorptive effect of HRT as well as with previous data showing that IGF-I levels in the skeleton are highly related to bone turnover parameters \((17)\). Differences in the rate of bone turnover were, as
Figure 3 Effects of calcitonin on the concentration of IGF-I, IGF-II and TGF-β1 in bone matrix from patients with primary osteoporosis. The number of analyzed bone samples is shown in parentheses above each bar. Data are expressed as means ± S.E.M. (A) Measurements of IGF-I concentration in bone matrix showed no difference between patients on therapy with calcitonin (−CT) and age- and BMI-matched patients without calcitonin therapy (+CT), in either men (P = 0.71) or women (P = 0.30). (B) No difference of bone matrix IGF-II in subjects with or without CT was observed, in either men (P = 0.60) or women (P = 0.56). (C) No difference of bone matrix TGF-β1 between patients with and without CT was noticed, either in men (P = 0.054) or women (P = 0.42). (D) No significant difference in serum levels of IGF-I between patients with calcitonin therapy and patients without calcitonin therapy was detected, in either men (P = 0.59, after adjustment for IGFBP-3, P = 0.92) or women (P = 0.58, after adjustment for IGFBP-3, P = 0.27). (E) Differences in serum levels of IGF-II between patients with calcitonin therapy and patients without calcitonin therapy were not significant, in either men (P = 0.76, after adjustment for IGFBP-3, P = 0.68) or women (P = 0.37, after adjustment for IGFBP-3, P = 0.48). (F) No significant difference in serum TGF-β1 levels between patients with calcitonin therapy and patients without calcitonin therapy was observed (men: P = 0.92, women: P = 0.051).
well, responsible for variations in skeletal TGF-β1 concentrations in the present study, with bone matrix levels of TGF-β1 that tended to be lower in women with HRT as compared with women without HRT. These observations are in line with previous results from our laboratory showing that, in presumably healthy postmenopausal women, subjects on HRT have significantly lower skeletal TGF-β1 levels in comparison with women not taking HRT (15).

Calcitonin has recently been shown to stimulate the production of IGF-I and IGF-II in human osteoblastic cells (12). In contrast, in our study, no significant effect of calcitonin on bone matrix and serum growth factor levels was seen. As some of our patients had received HRT concomitant with calcitonin, an interaction between estrogens and calcitonin with regard to their anti-resorptive effects, as well as stimulatory effects of estrogen and progesterone on the endogenous calcitonin secretion (29, 30) in these women cannot be excluded. Thus, women receiving the combination of calcitonin and HRT were excluded from the analyses, despite lack of evidence that estrogens conserve bone by stimulating calcitonin secretion (31).

The 80% power of our study is sufficient to detect a meaningful biological difference in IGF-I concentrations between fluoride-treated patients and patients not treated with sodium fluoride of 30% and more. Similar calculations for each growth factor and each treatment group showed that differences of 30–35% or more in bone matrix IGF-II and 40–55% or more in bone matrix TGF-β1 concentrations, respectively, with fluoride, estrogens or calcitonin therapy could be well detected in this study.

Our previous studies have shown that 30% and more differences in IGF-I and TGF-β concentrations in bone matrix occur with different states of bone metabolism. For example, large differences in IGF-I concentrations have been described with aging and after treatment with pharmacological agents such as PTH. A 36% reduction in IGF-I concentrations in human bone has been observed between the third and eighth decades of life (17). In the same study, a 20% higher bone volume was observed in women with bone matrix IGF-I concentrations in the upper range (+2 S.D.) as compared with women with a bone matrix IGF-I concentration in the lower range (−2 S.D.). In comparison with vehicle-treated rats, a 30% increase in rat bone matrix IGF-I concentration and a 37% increase in rat bone matrix TGF-β1 concentration was observed in PTH-treated animals (20). Changes in bone matrix growth factor concentrations to a similar extent may therefore have also been expected with fluoride treatment if fluoride has a strong impact on IGF-I bone matrix concentrations comparable with that of aging or PTH. Likewise, a 50% decline in TGF-β concentration in human bone has been observed between the third and eighth decades of life (15). In addition, there is evidence of a two- to threefold difference in TGF-β concentrations between bone samples of men and women with low bone turnover (1 quartile) as compared with high bone turnover (4 quartiles) (15). Nevertheless, it should be pointed out that smaller differences in growth factor concentrations might have been overlooked by our study.

There are some limitations to our study. Unfortunately, this is a cross-sectional study and the fact that unknown factors may have led to errors in the interpretation cannot be excluded. A longitudinal study, comparing the rate of change in the concentration of growth factor before and after therapy may be more sensitive to the effects of treatment. Secondly, some of the postmenopausal women in whom the effect of HRT on the IGF and TGF-β1 concentration in bone matrix was assessed had received a combination of HRT and fluor salt therapy. On the other hand, we have shown here that there is no significant influence of fluor therapy on the bone matrix content of IGF and TGF-β1. In addition, multivariate analysis was performed to adjust for concomitant therapy.

Both the control and the study group received supplements of calcium and vitamin D. Vitamin D on its own may affect bone metabolism; however, highly significant effects on osteoblastic cells and their growth factor production or regulation have been demonstrated especially for 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 (32, 33). On the other hand, it should be pointed out that standard vitamin D supplements and not active metabolites of vitamin D were given to osteoporotic patients in this study.

Most data on the production of growth factors and their effects on bone cells has resulted from in vitro studies. Little is known about the relationship between growth factor production by bone cells, their release in the extracellular compartment and blood, and their integration in bone matrix. In addition, the time-course of maximal concentrations of growth factors after the initiation of osteoporotic treatment is not known and is difficult to obtain in humans.

Despite in vitro data suggesting a role of skeletal IGFs and TGF-β1 as mediators of estrogen and calcitonin action on bone metabolism, our study could not provide evidence of an in vivo relevance of these effects. In addition, fluor salts appeared not to influence the concentration of growth factors in the bone matrix of patient with primary osteoporosis directly.
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