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Abstract

Objective: A phase I single centre, open label study of the pharmacokinetics and tolerability of a buccal testosterone tablet (COL 1621) was carried out.

Design: Twelve testosterone-deficient males were treated with the buccal tablet twice daily for 7 consecutive days. Multiple blood samples were drawn for testosterone, dihydrotestosterone (DHT), bioavailable testosterone and sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG).

Results: After COL 1621, mean ± S.D. serum testosterone level increased to a peak concentration of 26.6 ± 5.8 nmol/l (7.7 ± 1.7 ng/ml) at 4.8 ± 5.8 h and stayed in the eugonadal range. Steady state was achieved within the first 24 h and was maintained in the normal range. The bioavailable testosterone, DHT and free testosterone index followed a pattern very similar to that of testosterone. The mean serum testosterone to DHT ratio was within the normal male range throughout treatment. There was only one treatment-related adverse event (headache). Two-thirds of patients indicated that treatment with COL 1621 was acceptable and that the tablet was convenient to use. Six patients (50.0%) preferred COL 1621 to their previous testosterone replacement therapy, two patients gave preference to their previous treatment and three patients found both treatments to be equally acceptable. Data for one patient was not available.

Conclusion: We conclude that COL 1621 can efficiently elevate serum testosterone and DHT levels in hypogonadal men within the first day of application, achieve a steady state within 24 h and maintain serum testosterone in the normal range with a twice-daily treatment regimen. COL 1621 provides an effective alternative oral testosterone replacement therapy that gives physiological levels of testosterone and is well tolerated by the patients.
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Introduction

Androgen replacement therapy in hypogonadal men should attempt to produce serum concentrations of testosterone and its active metabolite, dihydrotestosterone (DHT) that are within normal ranges (1, 2). The most widely used testosterone preparations fall into four main categories: (a) oral, (b) intramuscular testosterone esters, (c) transdermal preparations, and (d) long-acting testosterone pellets (3, 4). Oral preparations include tablets with intestinal absorption, i.e. testosterone undecanoate (5), as well as sublingual and buccal applications. Each route of administration has its therapeutic niche, although many recipients would prefer an oral route of administration if it were convenient, inexpensive, effective and free of adverse effects.

This report describes the pharmacokinetics of a bioadhesive testosterone buccal tablet (COL 1621) that has several advantages over currently available oral androgens. COL 1621 is a testosterone progressive hydration tablet with a matrix that consists of testosterone, monohydrated lactose, hydroxypropylmethylcellulose, corn starch and polycarbophil. COL 1621 allows for the slow release of testosterone in such a manner that stable and reliable serum testosterone concentrations are produced, and its buccal absorption avoids first-pass hepatic metabolism. Two phase I studies have been completed investigating COL 1621 administration to healthy female volunteers (data on file with Columbia Laboratories). In both studies, COL 1621 30 mg buccal tablets resulted in serum testosterone concentration levels that were within the normal reference range for healthy young males. The preparation was well tolerated without side effects. The present study was designed to investigate the pharmacokinetics, safety and tolerability of COL 1621 in testosterone-deficient men.

Material and methods

The study was approved by The South Sheffield Ethics Committee and all patients gave written and informed consent.
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Participants

Twelve testosterone-deficient Caucasians males, aged 26–61 years (mean: 44.4) were studied (Table 1). Two patients had primary gonadal failure and the remaining 10 patients had hypopituitarism. Prior to study entry, all 12 patients were receiving testosterone replacement therapy: nine patients with Sustanon® (testosterone administered by the intramuscular route), two patients with Andropatch® (transdermally delivered testosterone) and one patient with Profasi® (subcutaneously injected human chorionic gonadotropin). At study entry, none of the patients were currently smoking; five patients had stopped smoking and the remaining seven patients had never smoked.

Patients were testosterone deficient, defined as serum testosterone level < 8 nmol/l (2.5 ng/ml) at the end of a 1–4-week washout period; 1 week for patients on transdermal testosterone and 4 weeks for patients on intramuscular testosterone replacement. Inclusion criteria were males, aged between 18 and 65 years, with a body mass index (BMI) < 35 kg/m². Exclusion criteria were current use of corticosteroids (unless for replacement therapy), moderate to severe symptoms of benign prostatic hypertrophy, prostate-specific antigen > 2.5 µg/l (men < 50 years of age) or > 4.5 µg/l (men between 50 and 65 years of age) and a history or suspicion of prostatic cancer.

Study design

This was an open-label study conducted at a single investigational site and consisted of the following phases:

1. Pre-inclusion visit: patient eligibility was determined at a pre-inclusion visit when a medical history was obtained and a physical examination and a standard 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) performed. Blood was collected for analysis of routine haematology, serum biochemistry, total testosterone, DHT and sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG).

2. Dosing phase: this phase consisted of 8 consecutive study days. On each study day, patients attended the trial site in the morning following an overnight fast. During the study day, the patient remained under supervision at the trial site. Physical activity and food and fluid intake were standardised. On each study day, days 1–6, COL 1621 was applied in the morning (at approximately 0900 h) and in the evening (at approximately 2100 h). Half an hour after administration of the buccal tablet, a check was made to ensure that the tablet had been correctly applied to the gum. Details on adverse events (AEs), if any, were noted and the patient’s gums were inspected for signs of mucosal irritability. Information on medical problems and use of concomitant medications was recorded. Patients rated the acceptability of the COL 1621 treatment regimen.

3. Post-dosing follow-up: a follow-up visit for assessment of safety and tolerability took place within 7 days of the last study day.

Treatment

Treatment consisted of twice-daily administration for 7 days of 30 mg testosterone formulated as a bioadhesive buccal tablet (COL 1621) supplied by Columbia Laboratories, France. The patient placed the tablet above the right or left incisor tooth (Fig. 1) with the rounded side against the gum and held it with a finger for 30 s. The tablet was left in situ for 12 h.

Laboratory analyses

On study days 1–3 and 6, blood samples for measurement of serum testosterone were drawn twice daily at approximately 0900 h (time = 0 h, i.e. after tablet removal and before application of a new tablet) and 1700 h (time = 8 h). On study days 7–8, a total of 34 blood samples were drawn at the following (scheduled) time points: −0.25, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 4.0, 6.0, 8.0, 10.0, 12.0, 12.5, 12.75, 13.0, 13.5, 14.0, 15.0, 16.0, 18.0, 20.0, 24.0, 24.25, 24.5, 24.75, 25.0, 25.5, 26.0, 27.0, 28.0, 30.0, 32.0 and 36.0 h for measurement of serum testosterone.

On study days 7–8, a total of 12 blood samples were drawn at the following (scheduled) time points −0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 4.0, 8.0, 12.0, 16.0, 20.0, 24.0, 26.0, 30.0 and 36.0 h for serum DHT and SHBG.

Hormone assays

All samples from individual patients were assayed in the same batch. Testosterone and SHBG were measured.
by enzyme-enhanced chemiluminescence (DPC, Los Angeles, CA, USA). For testosterone the interassay coefficient of variation (CV) at 1.7 and 20.0 nmol/l was 24.0 and 7.0% and for SHBG the interassay CV at 19.3 and 37.0 nmol/l was 6.6 and 7.3%. DHT was measured by radio-immunoassay (DRG Instruments GmbH, Marburg, Germany) and the interassay CV at 1.46 nmol/l was 16.0%. The free testosterone index (FTI) was calculated as the ratio of total testosterone/SHBG £ 100 (6). The normal ranges for assays used were testosterone (10–35 nmol/l) and DHT (1.0–2.9 nmol/l) (2, 7). Percentage bioavailable testosterone was calculated using the formula: % bioavailable testosterone = average of duplicates (d.p.m.)/blank (d.p.m.) £ 100.

Figure 1 COL 1621 tablet (left) applied to gum (right).

Safety and tolerability

According to the protocol, AE was defined as any symptom and/or clinically significant laboratory abnormality that developed or increased in severity during the course

Pharmacokinetic evaluation

The following pharmacokinetic variables were assessed: peak serum concentration (C_max); average serum concentration during the entire 7-day dosing phase (C_AVGtot); and at steady state on dosing days 7/8 (C_AVG24); time to reach peak serum concentration (T_max); area under the concentration–time curve during the entire dosing phase (AUC_tot) and at steady state on dosing days 7/8 (AUC24); and time to achieve steady state.
of the study. AEs were assessed during the time window of study day 1 during the dosing phase until 30 days following administration of the final dose of COL 1621, and were recorded regardless of intensity and perceived causal relationship to the study drug. Haematology, serum biochemistry and urinalysis variables were measured at the pre-inclusion and post-dosing follow-up visits. Standard 12-lead ECGs were recorded at the pre-inclusion and post-dosing follow-up visits. Vital signs blood pressure (BP) and heart rate (HR) were measured at the pre-inclusion visit, at scheduled time points during the dosing phase and at the post-dosing follow-up. Finally, patients rated the acceptability of using the COL 1621 tablet using a questionnaire.

**Statistical analysis**

The pharmacokinetic profiles of total testosterone, FTI and DHT were assessed by non-compartmental estimation techniques using WinNonlin pharmacokinetic software (Professional version 3.1, Pharsight, NJ, USA). All parameters were calculated using the actual rather than planned times of blood sampling. To determine if total testosterone concentrations had reached steady state by the second day of dosing, the four predose concentrations on days 2, 3, 6 and 7 were compared by linear regression and aggregate ANOVA techniques. Concentrations were logarithmically transformed before analysis. The linear regression ANOVA model used the regression procedure and included the factors day and patient. Steady state was concluded if the parameter estimates (slopes) of the factors day and patient were both statistically indistinguishable from zero. All values are given as means±S.D.

**Results**

**Pharmacokinetics**

Pre-treatment serum testosterone levels were low in all patients, consistent with hypogonadism (Fig. 2). After COL 1621, the group serum testosterone levels increased to a mean±S.D. peak concentration of 26.6±5.8 nmol/l at 4.8±5.8 h and stayed uniformly in the eugonadal range (10–35 nmol/l). Steady state was achieved within the first 24 h of treatment and was maintained in the normal range between 14.5 and 24.2 nmol/l (mean 19.3 nmol/l). The DHT levels, the bioavailable fraction of testosterone and the FTI followed a similar pattern to that of testosterone (Fig. 3). The mean testosterone/DHT ratio was within the normal male range throughout treatment (normal ratio: 9–12, data not shown). The $C_{\text{max} 1-7D}$ concentration (Table 2) reached during the 7-day dosing phase was 31.5 nmol/l, i.e. high in the normal reference range for serum testosterone. The $C_{\text{max} 1-7D}$ (maximum observed serum concentration during the dosing phase, i.e. from first sampling time on day 1 to last sampling time on day 8) was higher or equal to the upper limit of the reference range (35 nmol/l) in two patients and was within the normal reference range in the remaining 10 patients. The mean serum testosterone level for all patients during the dosing phase was 19.3 nmol/l and well within the normal reference range in all 12 patients. The group mean maximum ($C_{\text{max} 24}$) and minimum ($C_{\text{min} 24}$) total testosterone was 26.7 nmol/l and 11.8 nmol/l respectively. In all individual patients the $C_{\text{max} 24}$ was above the lower limit of the normal range, whereas in four of the 12 patients $C_{\text{min} 24}$ was below the lower limit.

Fourteen hours after application of the final COL 1621 tablet, the mean serum testosterone had fallen to 10.4 nmol/l and at 12 h post-removal, the mean serum testosterone concentration had further decreased to 3.4 nmol/l (Fig. 3). The DHT levels, the bioavailable testosterone and the FTI followed a pattern very similar to that of testosterone.

**Safety and tolerability**

There was only one treatment-related AE (headache) reported during the study. A case of mild gingivitis was considered to be non-related to COL 1621. No clinically relevant changes in serum haematology or biochemistry were observed.

**Patients’ acceptability**

Two-thirds of patients indicated that treatment with COL 1621 was acceptable and the tablet easy to use. Eight patients reported between two and eight occasions (mean 3.6) when they had problems with COL 1621 sticking to the gum, however this did not always require replacement of the tablet. In response to a pre-designed questionnaire, six patients (50.0%)
preferred COL 1621 to their previous testosterone replacement therapy, two patients preferred their previous treatment and three patients found both treatments to be equally acceptable. Data for one patient was not available.

Discussion

In this study, we have shown that testosterone administered twice daily as a bioadhesive buccal tablet to hypogonadal men resulted in a physiological increase in serum testosterone levels with parallel and appropriate increases in serum DHT, bioavailable testosterone and FTI. The treatment was well tolerated and 50% of patients preferred the buccal testosterone to their previous therapy.

For testosterone replacement in testosterone-deficient males, the optimal level of testosterone (total) is of the order of 10–35 nmol/l (1, 2). In all 12 patients treated with COL 1621, the average serum testosterone concentration observed during the 7-day dosing interval was well within the therapeutic window, ranging from 14.6 to 24.5 nmol/l (mean: 19.3 nmol/l). This pharmacokinetic profile is not seen with oral preparations. Oral testosterone undecanoate produces wide fluctuations in testosterone serum levels and is best suited as a supplement to reduced but still present endogenous androgen levels, since it does not fully suppress the pituitary (5). Sublingual testosterone produces a rapid increase in testosterone levels which decline to below the normal range within 2 h (9). In a pilot study of buccal therapy, 13 hypogonadal men were given an active buccal tablet containing 10 mg of testosterone (10). In this study,

Table 2 Pharmacokinetics of testosterone, FTI and DHT during 7 days of treatment with COL 1621 (30 mg testosterone).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Testosterone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}^{1-7}$ (nmol/l)</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}^{24}$ (nmol/l)</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{AVG}}^{24}$ (nmol/l)</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{AVG}}^{24}$ (nmol/l)</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{AVG}}^{24}$ (nmol/l)</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{AVG}}^{24}$ (nmol/l)</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{max}}^{1-7}$ (h)</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>55.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{max}}^{24}$ (h)</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{AVG}}^{24}$ (h)</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{1/2,z}$ (h)</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (nmol/l)</td>
<td>124.25</td>
<td>46.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{AVG}}^{24}$ (nmol/l)</td>
<td>71.87</td>
<td>23.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{AVG}}^{24}$ (nmol/l)</td>
<td>87.68</td>
<td>29.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{max}}$ (h)</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>8.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (nmol/l)</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{AVG}}^{24}$ (nmol/l)</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{AVG}}^{24}$ (nmol/l)</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{max}}$ (h)</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>7.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$C_{\text{max}}^{1-7}$ = maximum observed serum concentration (during the dosing phase, i.e. from first sampling time on day 1 to last sampling time on day 8). $C_{\text{max}}^{24}$ = total testosterone maximum observed serum concentration during the last two consecutive dosing intervals on days 7/8. $C_{\text{AVG}}^{24}$ = total testosterone minimum observed serum concentration during the last two consecutive dosing intervals on days 7/8. $C_{\text{AVG}}^{24}$ = time-averaged serum concentration (during the dosing phase, i.e. from first sampling time on day 1 to last sampling time on day 8). $C_{\text{AVG}}^{24}$ = time-averaged steady-state serum concentration from $T = 0$ to $T = 12.0$ h on day 7. $C_{\text{AVG}}^{24}$ = average serum concentration at steady state from $T = 0$ on day 7 to $T = 24.0$ h on day 8. $T_{\text{max}}^{1-7}$ = time to reach the maximum serum concentration (during the dosing phase, i.e. from first sampling time on day 1 to last sampling time on day 8). $T_{\text{max}}^{24}$ = total testosterone time to reach the maximum serum concentration during the last two consecutive dosing intervals on days 7/8. $T_{\text{AVG}}^{24}$ = time to reach the maximum serum concentration during the last two consecutive dosing intervals on days 7/8. $T_{1/2,z}$ = apparent terminal elimination half-life determined on day 8 after the last tablet was removed.
patients showed peak serum testosterone levels well above the normal reference range, which only returned to baseline at 4–6 h, such that testosterone levels remained in the supraphysiological range for about 1.5–4.0 h after buccal administration. In contrast, COL 1621 is a unique buccal testosterone formulation that allows for sustained absorption due to its bioadhesive properties and controlled release due to its progressive hydration. In our study with COL 1621, it is to be noted that the testosterone concentration even at C_max did not rise above the physiological range in 10 out of 12 patients. This contrasts with the pharmacokinetics of intramuscular injected testosterone preparations, which often produce testosterone levels above the upper limit of normal for several days after administration. The pharmacokinetic profile of transdermal testosterone gel also produces a peak testosterone level above the normal range on repeat application for 7 days (11). The pharmacokinetics of the scrotal transdermal system are an initial rise to a maximum at 2–4 h after application, maintained levels in the normal range for the designated application period, and after removal testosterone levels quickly return to baseline values (12). This kinetic release profile is considered to be excellently adjusted to WHO requirements of testosterone levels (13). The pharmacokinetic profile of the non-scrotal transdermal patch demonstrated that the morning testosterone concentrations were also within the normal range (14).

The impact of the buccal testosterone tablets on levels of testosterone metabolite is of particular interest. COL 1621 gave constant physiological levels of both testosterone and mean DHT which were mainly in the upper range of normal although some individuals had high DHT values. In contrast, the trans-scrotal delivery system provides testosterone concentrations within normal ranges, but the serum DHT levels are elevated by four- to tenfold (15–17).

A large proportion (around 70%) of circulating testosterone is tightly bound to SHBG and is not bioavailable. Only 1% and 3% of testosterone circulates unbound as free testosterone, while the remainder is loosely bound to albumin. The free and albumin-bound portions are bioavailable. We have assayed the bioavailable fraction and calculated the FTI to assess other parameters of testosterone status. Both these parameters followed a pattern similar to testosterone and DHT.

In terms of safety and patient tolerability, repeat dosing of COL 1621 applied on the buccal mucosa was found to be safe and well tolerated. Only one AE (headache) was reported during the study. A mild case of gingivitis was observed at the post-dosing follow-up but was not thought to be related to the drug. Two-thirds of patients found the COL 1621 regimen to be an easy and acceptable form of testosterone replacement therapy. Fifty per cent preferred COL 1621 to their previous testosterone replacement therapy. In contrast, the acceptability of the scrotal patch by patients has been as low as 22% (13). Tolerability evaluations conducted in men treated for up to 48 months with non-scrotal transdermal patch showed that local reactions at the application site were the most commonly reported AE, occurring in 65 of 122 (53%) patients. Application site reactions included pruritus, blisters, erythema and vesicles and resulted in treatment withdrawal in 9.8% of patients (11, 18).

**Conclusions**

We conclude that COL 1621 can efficiently elevate serum testosterone and DHT levels in hypogonadal men within the first day of application, achieve a steady state within 24 h and maintain serum testosterone in the normal range with a twice-daily regimen. It provides an effective alternative oral testosterone replacement therapy that gives physiological levels of testosterone and is well tolerated by the patients.

**Acknowledgements**

We are grateful to Dr D Kapoor and Dr R Robinson for medical supervision of the study patients.

**References**

6. DPC Technical Report, Los Angeles, CA, USA


Received 17 June 2003
Accepted 13 October 2003