Update on the diagnosis of GH deficiency in adults
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Abstract

GH deficiency (GHD) in adults is associated with considerable morbidity and mortality. The diagnosis of GHD is generally straightforward in children as growth retardation is present; however, in adults, diagnosis of GHD is often challenging. Other markers are therefore needed to identify adults who have GHD and could potentially benefit from GH replacement therapy. Consensus guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of adult GHD recommend provocative testing of GH secretion for patients who have evidence of hypothalamic–pituitary disease, patients with childhood-onset GHD, and patients who have undergone cranial irradiation or have a history of head trauma. Suspicion of GHD is also heightened in the presence of other pituitary hormone deficits.

Tests for GHD include measurement of the hormone in urine or serum or measurement of stimulated GH levels after administration of various provocative agents. The results of several studies indicate that non-stimulated serum or urine measurements of GH levels cannot reliably predict deficiency in adults. Although glucagon and arginine tests produce a pronounced GH response with few false positives, the insulin tolerance test (ITT) is currently considered to be the gold standard of the GH stimulation tests available. Unfortunately, the ITT has some disadvantages and questionable reproducibility, which have prompted the development of several new tests for GHD that are based on pharmacological stimuli. Of these, GH-releasing hormone (GHRH) plus arginine and GHRH plus GH-releasing peptide (GHRP) appear to be reliable and practical. Thus, in cases where ITT is contraindicated or inconclusive, the combination of arginine and GHRH is an effective alternative. As experience with this test as well as with GHRH/GHRP-6 accumulates, they may supplant ITT as the diagnostic test of choice.
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Introduction

The abundance of medical literature describing the detriments of growth hormone deficiency (GHD) in adults underlines the importance of this condition. GHD has widespread unfavourable effects on body composition, lipid metabolism, bone mass, and quality of life. It is associated with significant morbidity and increased mortality (1). GHD in adult patients predominately results from insult to the pituitary or hypothalamus. GH is usually the first hormone to be impacted in the development of hypopituitarism, followed by the gonadotrophins, adrenocorticotrophic hormone, and thyroid-stimulating hormone.

Numerous studies have found that treatment with GH replacement therapy provides physical and psychological benefit (2–5). These positive findings prompted investigations aimed at improving the diagnostic criteria for adult GHD. The diagnosis of GHD is straightforward in children because of the cardinal sign of growth retardation. However, diagnosis of adult GHD is challenging, as the pathophysiological features of adult GHD are non-specific and not exclusive to this hormone deficiency. More precise diagnostic criteria would help determine which patients would benefit most from GH replacement therapy, thereby delaying and perhaps preventing the sequelae associated with this condition.

Indications for biochemical testing of GHD

Consensus guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of adult GHD, issued by the Growth Hormone Research Society, recommend testing GH secretion in patients who have evidence of hypothalamic–pituitary disease, patients who have undergone cranial irradiation, and patients with a history of childhood-onset GHD (6). A history of head trauma may also warrant evaluation, as GHD can occur following cerebral injury (7, 8). Evidence suggests that the presence of other pituitary hormone deficits is directly associated with GHD. One study revealed a relationship between the degree of hypopituitarism and GH status during an analysis of peak GH responses to an insulin tolerance test (ITT) in 190 non-acromegalic patients with pituitary disease (9). The majority of patients with two or three additional pituitary deficiencies (90.3%) had a GH response...
below the accepted normal range compared with only 24.1% of patients with isolated GHD (Fig. 1).

**Diagnostic tests for GHD**

GH status has been assessed by GH measurements using 24-h assays of serum or urinary GH concentrations or more commonly by indirect pharmacological stimuli (e.g. insulin, arginine, levodopa, glucagon) (9, 10). In a study involving 19 patients with hypopituitarism and 30 healthy volunteers, the diagnostic efficacy of measuring non-stimulated serum GH concentrations in adult GHD was found to be unreliable (11). A comparison of 24-h integrated GH concentrations (IGHC) revealed considerable overlap between patients with GHD and healthy subjects when a radioimmunoassay (RIA) was used, due mainly to the occurrence of undetectable values in both groups. Use of a GH enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) improved the discriminative power of the test; however, an overlap between the two groups still existed. Although the mean IGHC in healthy subjects was significantly higher than in hypopituitary patients (852 ± 131 vs 97 ± 28 ng/l, \( P = 0.0001 \)), the IGHCs from the two groups were not completely distinct/discriminative (Fig. 2). Twenty-six percent of hypopituitary patients had IGHC values within the normal range (i.e. 111 – 3454 ng/l) while 37% of healthy subjects had values within the hypopituitary range (i.e. 5 – 459 ng/l). For both the RIA- and ELISA-derived IGHC, overlap remained evident when patients were stratified by age (11).

Twenty-four hour urinary GH concentrations, measured by RIA, have also been compared in healthy subjects and patients with GHD. In a study by Bates et al. (12), participants were separated into three age groups; 16 to 39 years, 40 to 60 years, and greater than 60 years. Although there was a significant difference in urinary GH levels between patients with GHD and healthy subjects in all three age groups, an overlap was evident, which increased with age (Fig. 3). Thus, urinary GH becomes even more unsuitable with increasing age.

The relationship between insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) and GHD was investigated using a worldwide patient database known as the Pharmacia International Metabolic Study Database (KIMS) (13). In this study, 1034 patients with pre-existing GHD were enrolled, and 88.8% of patients had two to four pituitary
deficiencies in addition to GHD. Approximately 86% of patients older than 30 years of age had an IGF-I SD score indicative of GHD versus 42% of patients older than 50 years of age. The poor discriminative power of IGF-I was even more pronounced in patients deficient in GH only or GH plus one other pituitary hormone. These data suggest that IGF-I is not a reliable marker of GHD in adults, especially in older patients. A low serum IGF-I in conjunction with three or more pituitary hormone deficiencies is, however, highly indicative of GHD with a positive predictive value of 95% (14).

Since GH and IGF-I concentrations are usually insufficient to diagnose adult GHD, other methods to assess GH secretion are necessary. Provocative tests using pharmacological stimuli for GH secretion include insulin, arginine, glucagon, and GH-releasing hormone (GHRH). Levodopa and clonidine have also been used; however, levodopa is not a potent stimulator of GH and clonidine has no diagnostic value for GHD in adults (15).

Currently, the ITT is considered the diagnostic test of choice (6). In 23 patients with organic pituitary disease and 35 healthy volunteers, Hoffman et al. (16) evaluated the relative diagnostic merits of four tests, including the peak GH response to ITT, mean 24-h GH concentration, serum IGF-I concentrations, and serum IGF-binding protein-3 (IGFBP-3) concentrations. The ranges of ITT-stimulated peak GH concentrations between the hypopituitary (i.e. <0.2–3.1 μg/l) and healthy (i.e. 5.3–42.5 μg/l) groups were clearly distinct, whereas mean 24-h GH, IGF-I, and IGFBP-3 concentrations overlapped. Only ITT was able to reliably distinguish patients with GHD from healthy volunteers (Fig. 4) (16).

A GH level of less than 5 μg/l in response to ITT is considered abnormal, and a response of less than 3 μg/l indicates severe GHD. Although the ITT demonstrates good sensitivity, a number of disadvantages exist that hinder its use. ITT is time and labour intensive and has a low degree of reproducibility in healthy adults, which brings its specificity into question. The test has not been analysed in a large group of GHD patients, nor in different age groups. Most control patients are young, non-obese, and do not have many of the co-morbid conditions found in patients with GHD (17, 18). In addition, the test may be unpleasant for the patient, and in rare cases, it may result in serious sequelae (19, 20). The ITT is contraindicated in patients with a history of ischaemic heart disease and epilepsy; thus, it should be performed in specialised metabolic units.

**Diagnostic challenges: factors affecting GH secretory status in adults**

**Patient age**

GH responsiveness and spontaneous GH secretion, as well as serum IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations, are inversely related to a patient’s age as these factors decrease as the patient ages. Toogood et al. (21) compared the effectiveness of spontaneous GH secretion, the arginine stimulation test, and basal estimates of circulating IGF-I, IGF-II, IGFBP-2, and IGFBP-3 concentrations in detecting GHD in older patients. Patients with non-acromegalic pituitary disease who had a previous peak GH response less than 10 μg/l were compared with healthy subjects. None of the parameters studied demonstrated a clear distinction between patients with GHD and healthy subjects. The median area under the GH profile (AUCGH) and the peak GH response to arginine were significantly lower in deficient patients than in controls. Although some overlap was evident, a significant relationship was identified between AUCGH and peak GH response to arginine in patients and controls \( (r = 0.89, P < 0.0001; \ r = 0.56, \ P = 0.005 \) respectively). Serum IGF-I, IGFBP-2, and IGFBP-3 levels were significantly lower in the patients with GHD compared with normative data from an additional 101 patients aged 60 to 87 years (102 vs 142 μg/l \( (P < 0.0001), 415 \) vs 640 μg/l \( (P = 0.0007), \) and 2.29 vs 2.59 μg/l \( (P = 0.009), \) respectively); however, substantial overlap between patients with GHD and healthy volunteers suggested that these measurements were an unsatisfactory means of diagnosis. Thus, while arginine stimulation is more effective than GH markers or spontaneous GH secretion in the diagnosis of GHD in older patients, an overlap between patients with confirmed GHD and healthy subjects still existed with the arginine test. On the other hand, it confirms the different GH status when comparing patients with GHD with the physiological decline in GH in normal ageing controls.

**Obesity**

Spontaneous GH secretion is generally decreased in obesity, as the capacity of somatotroph cells to secrete GH appears to be severely impaired (22). Obese patients...
experience reductions in the half-life of GH as well as significant decreases in the production and secretion of the hormone. GH responsiveness to pharmacologic stimuli is often blunted in obese patients, as GH secretion is neither elevated following induction of hypoglycaemia nor suppressed by administration of a glucose load (23). In severely obese patients without GHD, peak GH levels after pharmacologic stimulation are often similar to those seen in patients with hypopituitarism (2). In one study, the mean peak response to GHRH was 4.5 μg/l in 14 obese women (mean body weight 105 kg) compared with 14.1 μg/l in nine age-matched women of normal weight (mean body weight 57 kg) (24). Despite alterations in GH production and secretion, IGF-I levels appear to be normal or only slightly diminished in obese patients. Thus, obese patients with reductions in their IGF-I levels should be further evaluated for pituitary dysfunction (22).

New strategies for diagnosing GHD

The ITT is the gold standard for the diagnosis of GHD, but limitations with this test suggest the need for additional methods to diagnose and monitor GHD. One promising test includes the use of arginine and GHRH, as this combination appears to clearly distinguish patients with GHD from healthy subjects. When administering arginine, a peak GH response of 9 μg/l correlates to a GH response of 3 μg/l obtained via ITT and indicates severe GHD. Subnormal GH reserve is diagnosed by an ITT response less than 5 μg/l, which corresponds to a value of 16.5 μg/l following combined arginine plus GHRH stimulation (17). In a study by Ghigo et al. (25), 73 healthy subjects and 24 patients with hypopituitarism were administered arginine (30 g infused over 30 min) and GHRH (1 μg/kg) (25). A differentiation in peak GH responses was noted between healthy subjects (range 16.1–119.0 μg/l) and patients with hypopituitarism (highest peak value 9.5 μg/l) (Fig. 5). The sensitivity and specificity of the arginine + GHRH test were 100% and 95.8% respectively. Importantly, the test was not influenced by age, as the median GH peak elicited by arginine + GHRH did not significantly differ between older and younger patients (47.6 vs 57.0 μg/l) (25).

The ability of arginine + GHRH to distinguish between patients with hypopituitarism and healthy
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volunteers was compared with that of ITT (17). The mean peak GH response to ITT in hypopituitary patients was lower than the mean peak response observed with GHRH + arginine (1.5 vs 3.0 μg/l, P < 0.001), although there was a positive correlation between GH response to the two tests (r = 0.61, P < 0.001). Ten percent of patients with hypopituitarism had GH peaks greater than 5 μg/l after ITT, and an additional 7% had GH peaks greater than 3 μg/l. Conversely, after GHRH + arginine, all patients had GH peaks less than 16.5 μg/l, and 52.5% had GH peaks greater than 3 μg/l (17).

Another viable approach to diagnosis is the combination of GHRH with GH-releasing peptide 6 (GHRP-6), an artificial hexapeptide that is considered a very potent and reproducible stimulus of GH secretion. The specific cut-off value for identifying GHD with the GHRH/GHRP-6 test has not been clearly defined, but values of less than 15 μg/l and less than 17 μg/l have been used (18, 26). The diagnostic utility of the GHRH/GHRP-6 combination was recently compared with ITT in 125 patients with hypopituitarism and 125 healthy volunteers (Fig. 6) (18). In this study, GH peaks elicited by GHRH/GHRP-6 were significantly higher than those elicited by ITT in both patients with GHD (4.1 vs 0.5 μg/l, P < 0.0001) and healthy volunteers (59.2 vs 14.3 μg/l, P < 0.004). For the combination test, the differential peak response between healthy subjects and patients with GHD was 55.1 μg/l, which was significantly higher than with ITT (13.8 μg/l, P < 0.001), demonstrating the ability of GHRH/GHRP-6 to detect the GHD. Moreover, the results of the GHRH/GHRP-6 test were not influenced by the sex, age, or adiposity in either patients or healthy volunteers (18). Based on these results, the GHRH/GHRP-6 test appears safe and reproducible and free from unpleasant adverse effects, and the combination has no known contraindications to its use. However, cost may be a prohibitive factor.

Conclusions

The diagnosis of adult GHD should be based on provocative testing of GH secretion, as measurements of serum or urinary GH levels are indeterminate. Although ITT is unpleasant for the patient and complex to administer, requiring close medical supervision, it remains the diagnostic test of choice for adult GHD. In cases in which ITT is contraindicated or inconclusive, a combination of arginine and GHRH is an appropriate alternative. This test is a highly sensitive and specific diagnostic tool and is not influenced by age, making it potentially useful in a broader patient population, taking into account the different cut-off value. GHRH/GHRP-6 also shows considerable promise in this regard. As experience with these tests accumulates, they may supplant ITT as the diagnostic test of choice.
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