CLINICAL STUDY

A new missense mutation in the growth hormone-releasing hormone receptor gene in familial isolated GH deficiency
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Abstract

Objective: Mutations in the GH-releasing hormone (GHRH) receptor (GHRHR) gene (GHRHR) cause autosomal recessive familial isolated GH deficiency (IGHD). We searched for GHRHR mutations in two siblings with IGHD type IB and a history of parental consanguinity.

Design: We analyzed peripheral genomic DNA of an index patient. After identifying a novel mutation in the GHRHR, we performed functional studies in order to confirm that the mutation causes receptor malfunction.

Methods: The entire GHRHR was analyzed in the index case by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. Abnormally migrating bands were isolated and sequenced. The mutated area was then sequenced in all family members whose DNA was available. The newly found mutation was inserted into a GHRHR cDNA. Wild-type and mutant cDNAs were expressed into CHO cells and the cyclic AMP (cAMP) response to GHRH was measured. In order to determine whether the mutant receptor was properly expressed on the cell membrane surface, CHO cells were transfected with wild-type or mutant GHRHR cDNA containing a FLAG epitope tag in the extracellular N-terminus.

Results: Both patients were homozygous for a new missense mutation in codon 176, corresponding to the second transmembrane domain of the receptor protein that replaces alanine with valine (A176V). The mother and three unaffected siblings were heterozygous for the mutation; DNA from the father was not available. Cells expressing the A176V receptor had a significantly reduced cAMP response to GHRH, despite appropriate expression on the cell surface.

Conclusions: We describe two siblings with IGHD due to a new mutation in the GHRHR that disrupts GHRH signaling and leads to GHRH resistance.
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Introduction

Theoretically, familial isolated growth hormone (GH) deficiency (IGHD) can result from genetic defects that impair GH-releasing hormone (GHRH) synthesis, secretion, or action. IGHD can be inherited through several modes of transmission, and includes two autosomal recessive forms (IGHD IA and IB), as well as autosomal dominant (IGHD II) and X-linked (IGHD III) forms. The GHRH gene has been excluded as a candidate gene for IGHD by linkage analysis and direct sequencing (1). Conversely, unique mutations of the GHRH receptor (GHRHR) gene (GHRHR) have been described as the basis for IGHD IB in several kindreds (2–9). GHRHR mutations cause isolated GH deficiency because they impair responsiveness of pituitary somatotropes to GHRH. Patients with GHRHR mutation have marked dwarfism, and are phenotypically and biochemically indistinguishable from other forms of isolated GH deficiency. Here we describe phenotypical, hormonal and genetic findings in two siblings with IGHD IB and demonstrate that the disease phenotype is caused by a novel missense mutation in the GHRHR.

Patients and methods

Patients

Two male siblings born in Pakistan (patients 1 and 2) were referred to the Endocrine clinic at different times for evaluation of short stature at age 8.5 years (patient 1) and 8.4 years (patient 2). The two children were the products of full-term pregnancies from consanguineous parents (first cousins) and were born by spontaneous
normal vaginal deliveries. Birth weights were 3.2 and 3.3 kg respectively. No history of neonatal hypoglycemia was present. They had no dysmorphic features, no microphallus, and except for short stature, their physical examination was normal. When first evaluated at the Royal Manchester Children’s Hospital, their physical examinations were normal except for short stature: patient 1 was 104 cm (4.5 standard deviation below the 50th percentile of the normal growth curve), and patient 2 had a height of 108.5 cm (3.3 standard deviation below the 50th percentile). They both had delayed bone age (calculated using the Greulich and Pyle Atlas): 6 years at a chronological age of 9 years for patient 1 and 7.5 years at a chronological age of 9 and 7/12 years for patient 2. They both had subnormal GH peak during sleep (3.6 and 1.5 ml/l respectively) and after stimulation with 0.5 g/kg arginine (1.2 and 2 ml/l respectively), diagnosing GH deficiency (GHD). Patient 1 also underwent stimulation with 1 μg/kg GHRH, with no significant response (GH <1 ml/l at all points). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed anterior pituitary hypoplasia in both patients. Pituitary function was otherwise normal. Both subjects had normal cortisol response to adrenocorticotropin, normal serum thyroxine, and a normal thyrotropin response to thyrotropin-releasing hormone. Both showed a good growth response to exogenous human recombinant GH therapy (0.025–0.03 mg/kg/day), underwent spontaneous puberty, and achieved predicted adult height based on parental stature (father is 168 cm and mother is 162.2 cm): final stature of patient 1 was 169 cm while patient 2 achieved a final height of 171 cm.

The subjects’ parents gave informed written consent for the studies described below. The protocol was approved by the local ethics committee.

**Amplification of the GHRHR and mutation detection**

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leucocytes by standard techniques. The 13 exons, the corresponding intron-exon boundaries and the proximal part (327 bp) of the GHRHR gene were individually amplified via PCR using primers and conditions described previously (5). One primer of each pair contained a 5′ GC-rich sequence 40 bases long, to increase the sensitivity of mutation detection via denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) (10–12).

PCR products were first analyzed by electrophoresis through 8% acrylamide gels and were then subjected to mutation analysis by DGGE. DGGE was performed at constant voltage (80V) for 14–16 h at 60°C using a 7.5% acrylamide gel containing a linear gradient of 30–90% of the denaturants, urea and formamide. Each abnormally migrating band was isolated and sequenced directly using the Thermo-Sequenase Cycle Sequencing Kit (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Arlington Heights, IL, USA). The prevalence of each newly discovered change in the coding region was determined by DGGE analysis of a commercial panel of genomic DNAs obtained from the DNA Polymorphism Discovery Resource that contains DNA samples from 44 anonymous unrelated normal individuals of diverse ethnicity (13). After the identification of the mutation in the index patients, all other available members of the family were genotyped via direct sequencing of the appropriate gene region.

**Transient expression of GHRHR and cAMP assay**

To determine whether the missense mutation altered receptor function, we used site-directed mutagenesis (14) to introduce the amino acid change into a wild-type GHRHR complementary DNA (cDNA) that contains the sequence encoding green fluorescent protein (GFP) at the C-terminus intracellular tail (PEGFP-N2 plasmid Clontech, Palo Alto, CA, USA). In agreement with data obtained with other similar G-protein-coupled receptors (15), in preliminary experiments we determined that this GFP-tagged cDNA has the same functional activity as the native receptor. Mutagenesis was confirmed by direct sequencing of the cDNA clones. The wild-type and mutant GHRHR cDNAs were transiently expressed in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells. CHO cells at 70–80% confluence were transfected with 15 μg plasmid DNA in T-75 flasks using lipofectamine (Life Technologies, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD, USA). Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were harvested by trypsinization and seeded in 24-well dishes at 2 × 10^5 cells/well. The cells were cultured for an additional 24 h in preparation for cAMP experiments. Responsiveness of cells to GHRH was determined essentially as previously described (6). Briefly, culture medium was replaced with serum-free medium containing 0.5 mmol/l isobutylmethylxanthine and various concentrations of (His1, Nle27)-GHRH-(1–32) (Peninsula Laboratories, Inc., Belmont, CA, USA) or forskolin (10−5 mol/l). After 15-min incubation at 37°C, the reaction was stopped by addition of 100 μl HCl to a final concentration of 0.1 mol/l. Cells were subjected to a cycle of freeze-thawing, and total cellular cAMP in the acid extracts was measured by radioimmunoassay as previously described (16). Results were normalized to the cAMP response to forskolin and are expressed as picomoles cAMP per well. Data are the mean ± S.E. of three independent experiments, each performed in triplicate. Results were analyzed by ANOVA using experimental models.

**Cellular expression of GHRH receptors**

CHO cells were cultured on glass coverslips to 70–80% confluence and transfected as described above with wild-type or mutant cDNAs encoding GFP-tagged
GHRHRs. Cells were examined for fluorescence by confocal laser scanning microscopy (LSM 410, Carl Zeiss, Inc., Oberkochen, Germany) using a ×40 objective. Samples were scanned for equivalent times with the same contrast and brightness settings.

In order to determine whether the mutant receptor was properly expressed on the cell membrane, CHO cells were transfected with wild-type or mutant GHRHR cDNAs containing a FLAG tag in the extracellular N-terminus (Invitrogen). Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were fixed with methanol and 0.4% acetic acid, and exposed to anti-FLAG monoclonal M2 antibody (2 μg/ml) (Sigma). Fluorescine-labeled anti-mouse antibodies were used to detect the antigen, and cells were examined by confocal microscopy as described above.

Results

Mutation identification

Both patients were homozygous for a new missense mutation in codon 176, a C to T transition that replaces alanine (GCG) with valine (GTG) in exon 6 (A176V), corresponding to the second transmembrane domain of the receptor protein (Fig. 1). The mother and three unaffected siblings were heterozygous for the same mutation, while DNA from the father was not available (Fig. 2). This change was not observed in any of the 88 normal chromosomes from the Polymorphism Discovery Resource, proving that this base change is not a common polymorphism.

GHRH responsiveness

As shown in Fig. 3, CHO cells that expressed the mutant GHRHR produced significantly lower cAMP responses to GHRH (10⁻⁷, 10⁻⁸ and 10⁻⁹ mol/l) when compared with cells expressing the wild-type GHRHR, demonstrating that the amino acid change impaired the ability of the GHRH receptor to activate adenyl cyclase.

GHRH receptor expression

Confocal microscopy showed that the GFP-tagged wild-type and A176V receptors were both expressed in the CHO cells (Fig. 4). FLAG-tagged wild-type and A176V receptors showed comparable surface distribution of fluorescence, indicating equivalent membrane receptor expression (Fig. 5). Cells that had been transfected with lipofectamine alone (no plasmid) showed no fluorescence.

Discussion

A significant percentage of cases of IGHD are familial (17), likely due to mutations in one of the genes involved in the synthesis or secretion of GH. The critical role that GHRH plays in regulating pituitary secretion of GH has been confirmed in mice (18) and humans (2) through the discovery of mutations in the GHRHR that inactivate receptor function, and cause severe GH deficiency. Approximately 25% of children with IGHD do not increase their growth velocity after treatment with parenteral GHRH (19), which is consistent with impaired responsiveness of pituitary somatotropes to GHRH action. These observations indicate that mutations that inactivate the GHRHR may be a common cause of IGHD. Indeed, our recent demonstration of a relatively high frequency of compound heterozygosity for GHRHR mutations (7, 8, 20) suggests that GHRHR mutations may be common in the population and may account for a subset of sporadic IGHD.

Figure 1 Sequence analysis of a portion of exon 6 of the GHRHR gene from genomic DNA of a normal subject and of patient 1. The homozygous C → T transition is indicated by the arrow.
In this work, we screened the GHRHR in one IGHD family in which the presence of two affected siblings and parental consanguinity suggested a genetic cause of disease. The two affected siblings were homozygous for a C to T change in exon 6 that resulted in replacement of alanine 176 by valine. This substitution was not found in 88 chromosomes from unrelated normal subjects, indicating that this base change is unlikely to be a polymorphism. This alanine residue is conserved in all the GHRHR genes cloned to date, including ovine, bovine, porcine, rat and mouse, pointing to its structural importance for receptor function (21). Indeed, transient expression of GHRHR cDNA containing the mutation showed that mutant receptors failed to stimulate an increase in cAMP in response to GHRH, indicating that this mutation causes receptor malfunction and IGHD phenotype in the affected subjects.

Confocal microscopy studies using both GFP- and FLAG-tagged receptors showed that the mutant was expressed at comparable levels as the wild-type receptor, and that the mutation does not impair proper surface expression of the receptor.

The mechanism by which this and other GHRHR missense mutations cause receptor malfunction will require further investigation. Because the transmembrane domains of the GHRHR are important for GHRH binding specificity (22), it is possible that the A176V mutation may prevent binding of GHRH. Alternatively, it could interfere with coupling with G proteins. Further in vitro studies will answer this question and possibly give important information on which specific functions are associated with different regions of the receptor.

This is the sixth missense mutation in the GHRHR described to date (6–8). The degree of growth retardation is similar to that observed in other patients. The presence of a possible phenotype–genotype correlation is difficult to ascertain due to the fact that patients from different kindreds are diagnosed, and therefore treated with exogenous GH, at different ages, and often with different GH dosages. However, timely treatment with GH invariably causes good catch-up in growth and final stature that corresponds to the genetic target. One clinical finding invariably present in patients with bi-allelic GHRHR mutations is MRI evidence of pituitary hypoplasia. Although this is not a unique feature of GHRHR mutations, its absence excludes mutations in this gene.

In conclusion, we report two siblings in whom isolated GH deficiency is caused by a new GHRHR missense mutation that interferes with proper receptor function. GHRHR mutations are emerging as a common cause of familial IGHD IB. Factors that
Figure 4 Confocal microscopy imaging of the C-terminally GFP-tagged GHRHR. CHO cells were transiently transfected with lipofectamine only (panel 1), or with wild-type (panel 2) or A176V mutant (panel 3) GHRHR cDNA.

Figure 5 Surface expression of the N-terminally FLAG-tagged GHRHR. CHO cells were transfected with lipofectamine only (panel 1), or with wild-type (panel 2) or A176V mutant (panel 3) GHRHR cDNA, and not permeabilized, proving normal surface expression of the mutant receptor.
might contribute to high prevalence include the long-standing custom of consanguineous unions in certain populations, high penetrance, and apparent lack of lethality (4).
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