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Abstract

Objective: Autoimmune Addison’s disease is a rare disorder which results from the T cell-mediated destruction of adrenocortical cells. A number of genetic susceptibility markers are shared by Addison’s disease, type 1 diabetes, Graves’ disease and Hashimoto’s thyroiditis. The vitamin D endocrine system has been shown to influence immune regulation. Variants of the nuclear vitamin D receptor (VDR) gene were found to be associated with type 1 diabetes and thyroid autoimmunity amongst others. We therefore investigated the role of VDR polymorphisms in Addison’s disease.

Design and methods: Patients (n = 95) and controls (n = 220) were genotyped for VDR polymorphisms FokI, BsmI, Apar1 and TaqI.

Results: The ‘ff’ (13.7% vs 5.5%; $P = 0.0243$; odds ratio = 2.75) and the ‘tt’ (28.4% vs 14.1%; $P = 0.0043$; odds ratio = 2.42) genotypes were significantly more frequent in patients than in controls. Furthermore, the BsmI genotype distribution differed significantly between patients and controls ($\chi^2 = 6.5016$ (2 d.f.) $P = 0.0387$).

Conclusions: These data suggest that the VDR genotype is associated with Addison’s disease. The mechanisms by which distinct receptor variants might confer disease susceptibility remain to be elucidated.
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Introduction

Autoimmune Addison’s disease is a rare disorder causing primary adrenal failure. Its prevalence is estimated to be 40–110 cases per 1 million inhabitants (1, 2). About 50–60% of patients with Addison’s disease develop additional autoimmune endocrinopathies during their life as manifestations of the polyglandular syndrome type 1 or 2 (3–5). Genetic susceptibility conferred by the human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-DQ is a feature shared by type 1 diabetes, Graves’ disease, Hashimoto’s thyroiditis and Addison’s disease (6). Compared with other endocrine autoimmune disorders, little is known about the mechanisms involved in the pathogenesis of Addison’s disease (reviewed by Betterle & Volpato (7) and Peterson and colleagues (8)). Addison’s disease is thought to be mainly T cell mediated (9, 10), and it is strongly associated with the major histocompatibility complex on chromosome 6. Both HLA class II haplotypes, DR4-DQ8 and DR3-DQ2 (6), as well as polymorphisms within the cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA4) gene (11, 12), confer disease susceptibility. Autoantibodies to adrenal cortex and 21-hydroxylase can be found in about 80% of autoimmune Addison’s patients (13) and autoantibody levels have been correlated with the degree of adrenal dysfunction in individuals without overt disease (14).

Besides its effect on bone metabolism, the vitamin D endocrine system has important immunomodulatory properties (15–17). Administration of the most active natural vitamin D metabolite, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 (1,25(OH)2D3), ameliorates experimental autoimmune diseases such as type 1 diabetes (18), thyroiditis (19) and encephalitis (20). On a molecular level, 1,25(OH)2D3 leads to reduced expression of HLA class II molecules on endocrine cells (21, 22) and inhibits T cell proliferation and the secretion of cytokines (23, 24). An effect of vitamin D on thymic education (referred to as ‘hormonal imprinting’) has been reported in animals (25, 26) and lends further support for its immunomodulatory properties. The actions of 1,25(OH)2D3 are mediated via the nuclear vitamin D receptor (VDR). Its gene, located on chromosome 12q12–14 harbors several polymorphisms and was found to be associated with type 1 diabetes (27–29) and thyroid autoimmunity (30, authors, unpublished data).

Given the shared genetic susceptibility among endocrine autoimmune disorders and the association of...
VDR variants with type 1 diabetes and thyroid autoimmunity, we investigated the distribution of the VDR polymorphisms FokI (exon 2), BsmI, ApaI (both intron 8) and TaqI (exon 9) in patients with Addison’s disease compared with healthy controls.

**Subjects and methods**

**Subjects**

Patients with Addison’s disease \( (n = 95) \) were seen regularly at the endocrine outpatient clinic of the University Hospital Frankfurt am Main, Germany. Seventy-one patients were females and twenty-four were males. Addison’s disease was diagnosed by primary adrenocortical insufficiency without evidence of tuberculosis or adrenoleukodystrophy. Adrenal autoantibodies were detected with indirect immunofluorescence on cryostat sections; these were confirmed to be directed against 21-hydroxylase in a subgroup of patients by radioimmunoassay. 21-Hydroxylase antibodies were found in 80% of patients (31). Thirty-four patients (35.8%) suffered either from thyroid autoimmune disease or were thyroid autoantibody positive as part of a polyglandular syndrome type 2. None of the patients had type 1 diabetes. The age of onset varied from 15 to 42 years and no neurological deficits could be detected. Two hundred and twenty healthy sex-matched controls (139 females and 81 males), who were collected at random from the population of Frankfurt am Main, Germany, had no family history of type 1 diabetes, Graves’ disease, Hashimoto’s thyroiditis or Addison’s disease. Although the adrenal function of the controls was not formally assessed, all individuals had normal thyroid function and were thyroid autoantibody negative. All patients and controls were of Caucasian origin and informed consent was obtained from all individuals.

**Genotype analysis**

DNA was isolated from whole blood according to standard protocols and subjected to PCR amplification using Taq polymerase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) on a Multicycler PTC 200 (MJ Research, Las Vegas, NE, USA) as previously described (28). Amplified DNA was digested for 3 h with restriction enzymes – purchased from New England Biolabs (Beverly, MA, USA) and AGS (Heidelberg, Germany) – according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Digested PCR fragments were separated on a 2% agarose gel and stained with ethidium bromide (Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany). Amplified DNA was digested for 3 h with restriction enzymes – pur- poseively 95% confidence interval (95% CI) using the BiAS statistical package version 7.01 (Epsilon, Weinheim, Germany). Patients and controls were compared using allele-wise and genotype-wise \( \chi^2 \) testing.

**Statistical analysis**

Observed and expected genotype frequencies were compared based on the Hardy–Weinberg equation using the BiAS statistical package version 7.01 (Epsilon, Weinheim, Germany). Patients and controls had previously been assessed using standard allele-specific primers (6). Fifty-one patients (53.7%) and 41 controls (18.6%) carried a high-risk HLA-DQ haplotypes of patients and controls had previously been assessed using standard allele-specific primers (6). Fifty-one patients (53.7%) and 41 controls (18.6%) carried a high-risk HLA-DQ8 (DQA1*0301 – DQB1*0302) and/or an HLA-DQ8 (DQA1*0301 – DQB1*0302) haplotype.

**Results**

The observed FokI, BsmI, ApaI and TaqI genotype frequencies were in accordance with the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium in both patients and controls (data not shown).

Analysis of the FokI polymorphism (see Table 1) revealed the ‘f’ genotype to be significantly more frequent in patients than in controls (13.7% vs 5.5%; genotype-wise \( \chi^2 = 6.7000 \) (2 d.f.) \( P = 0.0351 \); OR (95% CI) = 2.75 (1.23–6.12)). However, the allele frequency of ‘F’ did not differ significantly (31.1% of patients vs 28.0% of controls; \( P = 0.3390 \)). Significantly fewer patients than controls were homo- or heterozygous carriers of ‘F’ (86.3% vs 94.5%; \( \chi^2 = 5.0754 \) (1 d.f.) \( P = 0.0243 \); OR (95% CI) = 0.39 (0.16–0.81)).

Similar results were found comparing the distribution of the TaqI polymorphism in patients with Addison’s disease and healthy controls (see Table 2).
Significantly more patients than expected carried the 'tt' genotype (28.4% of patients vs 14.1% of controls; genotype-wise $\chi^2 = 10.0608$ (2 d.f.) $P = 0.0065$; OR (95% CI) = 2.42 (1.36–4.31)). Also, the 't' allele was more frequent among patients showing a trend (44.7% vs 36.8%; allele-wise $\chi^2 = 3.1667$ (1 d.f.) $P = 0.0752$). 'T' positivity was significantly less frequent among patients compared with controls (71.6% vs 85.9%; $\chi^2 = 4.49$ (1 d.f.) $P = 0.0343$).

The analysis of BsmI revealed that genotype frequencies differed between patients and controls (genotype-wise $\chi^2 = 6.5016$ (2 d.f.) $P = 0.0387$; see Table 3). However, the 'b' allele was not more frequent in patients with Addison's disease (55.3% vs 52.0%; $P = 0.5120$). No significant differences were observed for the ApaI polymorphism in either genotype- ($P = 0.4058$) or allele-wise ($P = 0.9161$) analysis. Unequivocal construction of BsmI/ApaI/TaqI haplotypes was possible in only 47 patients and 87 controls because the remaining individuals were heterozygous for more than one polymorphism. Frequencies of the BsmI/ApaI/TaqI haplotypes differed significantly in this subset ($P = 0.0011$) with the 'BAT' allele being significantly less (OR (95% CI) = 0.23 (0.08–0.63)) and the 'bAt' allele being significantly more frequent (OR (95% CI) = 4.49 (1.77–11.43)) in patients than in controls.

VDR genotype frequencies differed significantly between HLA-DQ2- and HLA-DQ8-negative patients and controls (TaqI: $P < 0.001$; BsmI: $P = 0.0199$; FokI: $P = 0.0061$). Whereas the distribution of the TaqI genotype also differed significantly between HLA-DQ2/DQ8-positive patients and controls ($P = 0.0126$), the distribution of BsmI and FokI in this subset did not differ. Whereas the 't' genotype conferred an OR of 0.54 (0.22–1.35) in HLA-DQ2/DQ8-positive individuals, it conferred a significantly greater OR of 4.96 (2.33–10.54) in DQ2/DQ8-negative subjects. Analysis of the other VDR polymorphisms revealed no such difference.

**Discussion**

In the present study, we investigated the role of the VDR polymorphisms FokI, BsmI, ApaI and TaqI in Addison’s disease. The genotypes 'ff' and 'tt' appear to be associated with susceptibility to Addison’s disease. Also, the genotype distribution of BsmI differed significantly between patients and controls, but neither the 'b' allele, nor the 'bb' genotype nor the ApaI polymorphism showed an association with Addison’s disease. These findings correspond to observations that VDR polymorphisms are associated with type 1 diabetes (27–29) and thyroid autoimmunity (30, authors, unpublished data). Taken together, VDR variants are associated with susceptibility to several endocrine autoimmune diseases. In a previous study, we found that VDR haplotypes, but not individual polymorphisms, predicted susceptibility to type 1 diabetes when studying families (28). Thereby, VDR haplotypes 'bbT' and 'bbA' predispose to and haplotypes 'bbT' and 'bbA' are protective against type 1 diabetes mellitus. In contrast, our case-control study reveals genotypes 'ff' and 'tt' to be associated with Addison’s disease. Interestingly, the
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**Table 1** Distribution of the FokI polymorphism in patients with Addison’s disease and controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Patients (n (%))</th>
<th>Controls (n (%))</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genotype-wise comparisona</td>
<td>FF 47 (49.5)</td>
<td>109 (49.5)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.62–1.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FT 35 (36.8)</td>
<td>99 (45.0)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.44–1.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TT 13 (13.7)</td>
<td>12 (5.5)</td>
<td>2.75 (1.23–6.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allele-wise comparisonb</td>
<td>F 129 (67.9)</td>
<td>317 (72.0)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.57–1.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t 61 (32.1)</td>
<td>123 (28.0)</td>
<td>1.22 (0.84–1.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined genotype-wise comparison</td>
<td>FF and f 82 (86.5)</td>
<td>208 (94.5)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.16–0.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ft 13 (13.7)</td>
<td>12 (5.5)</td>
<td>2.75 (1.23–6.12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a $\chi^2 = 6.7000$ (2 d.f.) $P = 0.0351$; b $\chi^2 = 0.9141$ (1 d.f.) $P = 0.3390$; c $\chi^2 = 5.0754$ (1 d.f.) $P = 0.0243$.

**Table 2** Distribution of the TaqI polymorphism in patients with Addison’s disease and controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Patients (n (%))</th>
<th>Controls (n (%))</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genotype-wise comparisona</td>
<td>TT 37 (38.9)</td>
<td>89 (40.5)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.57–1.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tt 31 (32.6)</td>
<td>100 (45.4)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.36–0.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tt 27 (28.4)</td>
<td>31 (14.1)</td>
<td>2.42 (1.36–4.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allele-wise comparisonb</td>
<td>T 105 (55.3)</td>
<td>278 (63.2)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.51–1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t 105 (54.7)</td>
<td>162 (36.8)</td>
<td>1.39 (0.98–1.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined genotype-wise comparison</td>
<td>TT and Tt 68 (71.6)</td>
<td>189 (85.9)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.23–0.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tt 27 (28.4)</td>
<td>31 (14.1)</td>
<td>2.42 (1.36–4.31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a $\chi^2 = 10.0608$ (2 d.f.) $P = 0.0065$; b $\chi^2 = 3.1667$ (1 d.f.) $P = 0.0752$; c $\chi^2 = 8.1667$ (1 d.f.) $P = 0.0043$.

**Table 3** Distribution of the BsmI polymorphism in patients with Addison’s disease and controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Patients (n (%))</th>
<th>Controls (n (%))</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genotype-wise comparisona</td>
<td>BB 21 (22.1)</td>
<td>39 (17.7)</td>
<td>1.32 (0.73–2.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bb 43 (45.3)</td>
<td>133 (60.5)</td>
<td>0.54 (0.33–0.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>bb 31 (32.6)</td>
<td>48 (21.8)</td>
<td>1.74 (1.02–2.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allele-wise comparisonb</td>
<td>B 85 (44.7)</td>
<td>211 (48.0)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.62–1.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b 105 (55.3)</td>
<td>229 (52.0)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.81–1.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined genotype-wise comparison</td>
<td>BB and Bb 69 (72.6)</td>
<td>172 (78.2)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.43–1.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>bb 26 (27.4)</td>
<td>48 (21.8)</td>
<td>1.35 (0.78–2.35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a $\chi^2 = 6.5016$ (2 d.f.) $P = 0.0387$; b $\chi^2 = 0.4300$ (1 d.f.) $P = 0.5120$; c $\chi^2 = 0.8493$ (1 d.f.) $P = 0.3567$.
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distribution of BsmI/ApaI/TaqI haplotypes differed significantly between the subset of patients and controls in which direct assignment of haplotypes was possible. Although this difference might not be representative for those subjects for whom no haplotypes could be assigned, the finding that ‘bAt’ confers a significantly increased risk for Addison’s disease whereas the ‘BAT’ haplotype is protective is in accordance with our previous observations in type 1 diabetes (28). Therefore the investigated polymorphisms may only be markers linked to a functionally relevant VDR variation conferring susceptibility to Addison’s disease.

VDR genotypes differed significantly between Addison’s patients and controls carrying at least one high-risk HLA-DQ haplotype (DQ2 or DQ8). Although the distribution of TaqI genotypes also differed among the subsets of HLA-DQ2/DQ8-negative patients and controls, the ‘tt’ genotype appeared to confer a significantly greater risk in the latter subset. This finding might indicate a significant interaction between HLA-DQ2/DQ8 and the VDR genotype ‘tt’ in Addison’s disease but it needs to be confirmed in a larger study.

Two other studies reported higher ‘ff’ genotype frequencies in Caucasians than in our control population (32, 33). As a larger number of individuals would be required to determine the true FokI frequencies in Caucasians and FokI allele frequencies exhibit a considerable inter-study variability in Asians (34, 35), we do not consider the differences between other study populations and our control population as significant. Furthermore, our controls have genotype distributions for all other VDR polymorphisms as well as for HLA-DQ and CTLA4 that are very similar to other reports.

Experimentally, 1,25(OH)2D3 prevents autoimmune diabetes (18), thyroiditis (19), rheumatoid arthritis (36) and encephalitis (20). In humans, 1,25(OH)2D3 serum levels were found to be significantly lower in autoimmune hyperthyroidism than in non-autoimmune hyperthyroidism (37), and administration of 1,25(OH)2D3 to patients with untreated Graves’ disease was reported to ameliorate hyperthyroidism (38). Blood levels of 1,25(OH)2D3 in patients with type 1 diabetes are significantly reduced, even at disease onset (39). Vitamin D supplementation in early infancy was reported to result in a lower incidence of type 1 diabetes (40).

The ‘f’ allele results in a VDR protein 3 amino acids longer than the ‘F’ variant (41). FokI alleles differ functionally (42, 43) due to altered VDR affinity and transactivation (44). An in vitro study demonstrated an increased transcription rate (1.7-fold) of the VDR gene in cells with the ‘FF’ genotype (45). However, another study failed to show any relevant alteration in either the affinity of binding of the VDR protein or in the steady-state transcription rate of the VDR gene (46).

The TaqI polymorphism in exon 9 is due to a silent base exchange and therefore does not alter the amino acid sequence of VDR. Interestingly, VDR mRNA levels were reported to be associated with the TaqI polymorphism (47). The ApaI site is located within intron 8 of the VDR gene. Alterations in intronic sequences may influence protein expression, but the VDR intron 8 polymorphisms were not found to influence VDR mRNA levels (48, 49). The BsmI polymorphism was found to correlate with 1,25(OH)2D3 serum levels in one study (50), although other reports failed to detect such a correlation (51, 52). In summary, VDR variants appear to differ functionally. The identification of a single locus responsible for an altered VDR expression or affinity for either its ligand, DNA-binding sites or the retinoid receptor – with which it forms heterodimers – could explain how an impairment in vitamin D action might contribute to an immune dysregulation eventually leading to Addison’s disease.

Transmission analyses of extended VDR haplotypes will help to further identify those alleles most strongly associated with Addison’s disease. How these VDR variants exert their distinct actions in various tissues, including lymphocytes and adrenocortical cells, needs to be addressed in functional studies. In conclusion, this study provides evidence that the VDR represents a genetic marker for susceptibility to Addison’s disease. The issue of a potential interaction between HLA-DQ haplotype and the VDR TaqI polymorphisms needs to be further pursued.
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