Meal timing, fasting and glucocorticoids interplay in serum leptin concentrations and diurnal profile
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Abstract

Background: In a previous study, we reported a 4-fold increase in serum leptin following total parenteral nutrition given after surgery. We hypothesised that the perioperative fasting and stress contributed to this, possibly mediated by increased serum insulin and cortisol.

Objective: To test the hypothesis that fasting, in combination with glucocorticoids, sensitises the leptin response to subsequent energy intake.

Design: Healthy volunteers were randomised into two groups, one group received dexamethasone (DEX), 0.1 mg twice daily for 3 days, while the other group served as a control. Each group was then subdivided into two feeding protocols. Protocol 1, where a standard meal was given at 0, 24, 36 and 48 h and protocol 2 where the same meal was given at 0 and 48 h. Blood samples were drawn before, as well as every other hour during the study period for determination of serum leptin, insulin, glucose and cortisol concentrations.

Results: In all groups serum leptin increased significantly following each meal (P < 0.01). The rise in serum leptin in response to the standard meal was higher when the meal was taken in the evening (P < 0.001) or following longer duration of fasting (P < 0.02). In those fasting for 48 h, leptin decreased by 60% and showed no diurnal variation. DEX intake increased leptin concentrations in those fasting for short periods (P < 0.02) but not for 48 h.

Conclusions: Long durations of fasting sensitise the response of leptin to subsequent energy intake and abolish the DEX-induced upregulation of leptin. Meal timing is an important factor determining the leptin diurnal rhythm, but other factors must contribute since the leptin response to a standard meal taken in the evening was greater than to the same meal taken in the morning.
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Introduction

Leptin is a protein hormone predominantly secreted from adipose tissue. It is believed to be involved in the regulation of energy intake and expenditure (1–3). The blood concentrations of leptin correlate with measures of body fat stores (4–6), but other factors must contribute to the regulation of leptin as serum concentrations vary greatly for a given body fat content (7). Leptin is regulated by numerous endocrine factors (8–10). In most studies glucocorticoids, in pharmacological doses or within the physiological range, upregulate leptin concentrations (11–13). In humans, insulin upregulates leptin concentrations but whether this is a direct effect or secondary to trophic effects on the adipocyte is controversial (14–19).

Circulating leptin has a diurnal profile with a nocturnal peak that is not acutely altered by food intake or changes in insulin and glucose concentrations in man (20). The underlying mechanism is not fully understood, but leptin rhythm seems to be more associated with meal timing than to the circadian clock (21). In addition, binge eating is reported to affect the diurnal leptin profile, also suggesting that the timing of energy intake is an important determinant of the diurnal leptin rhythm (22).

In rodents, feeding upregulates while fasting downregulates leptin within 3 h (23). In man, serum leptin concentrations are affected by longer periods of fasting and overfeeding, and the acute effects observed in rodents have not been demonstrated (24, 25). Starvation might sensitise the response of leptin secretion to subsequent energy intake. Refeeding or glucose infusion after a prolonged period of fasting increases serum leptin to basal concentrations within 24 h (26, 27).

In a previous study we found that post-operative total parenteral nutrition (TPN) induced a 4-fold rise in serum leptin concentrations within 14 h (28). This finding suggests that in man, as in rodents, nutrients...
Subjects and methods

Subjects and experimental protocol

Thirteen healthy volunteers participated in four different protocols. Some of them participated in more than one protocol, so that in each protocol there were six or seven volunteers (Table 1). They had no known disease, and took no medication. The study was performed in four steps, in each step six or seven subjects were randomised in one of the four protocols. We have previously reported that exogenous glucocorticoids may affect metabolism for 1–2 months (29); therefore, the different studies were separated by a minimum of 2 months and a maximum of 3 months. All the volunteers gave their informed consent and the protocol was approved by the local Ethics Committee of the Karolinska Institute.

All the volunteers had normal feeding habits, i.e. they eat three meals and two snacks per day. There were no special instructions on the exact calorie intake or distribution during the day in the days preceding the experiment. They were asked to abstain from alcohol 3 days before the experiment, and not to eat or drink anything other than water after 2100 h the evening before the experiment. The groups taking dexamethasone (DEX) took the first dose at that time, and continued for the rest of the experiment 12-hourly, i.e. at 0900 and 2100 h.

On day 1 the subjects reported to the laboratory at 0700 h, where venous catheters were secured. The basal blood sample was taken at 0800 h and a standard meal was served at 0830 h. They were allowed 30 min to consume their meal, after which only water was allowed. Samples were taken every other hour till 1800 h and then the subjects were allowed to go home and come back at 0800 h next morning where blood samples were taken every other hour until the end of the experiment. During these 3 days the volunteers maintained low physical load indoor daily activities.

The four protocol groups were:

**Protocol 1: intermittent feeding without DEX**

Following an overnight fast, a standard meal was taken at zero time (i.e. 0830 h on day 1), 24, 36 and 48 h later (Fig. 1).

**Protocol 2: intermittent feeding with DEX**

Same as protocol 1, but the volunteers took 0.1 mg DEX twice daily starting the night before the experiment and continuing for the duration of the experiment.

**Protocol 3: fasting without DEX**

Following an overnight fast, a standard meal was taken at 0830 h, thereafter only water was allowed. After a 48 h fast, the same meal was taken again (Fig. 1).

**Protocol 4: fasting with DEX**

Same as protocol 3, but the volunteers took DEX, 0.1 mg, twice daily (0900 and 2100 h) starting the night before the experiment and continuing for the duration of the experiment.

All groups were restrained on day 1, with only 1000–1200 kcal intake, to standardise between the groups, i.e. as a washout day as there were no specific instructions on the exact calorie intake before the experiment.

The DEX dose

The DEX dose of 0.2 mg/day corresponds to approximately 40% of a normal substitution dose (30). We have used this dose in a previous study (13), where in young healthy men, it increased serum leptin by 50% within 2 weeks.

Contents of the standard meal

The meal was calculated as 50% of the total energy given per day in TPN in our previous study (28), i.e. 16 kcal/kg body weight, and consisted of 55% carbohydrate, 30% fat and 15% protein.

Hormone measurements

Blood samples were drawn for determination of serum leptin, insulin, glucose and cortisol. Serum leptin concentrations were determined by RIA (Linco Research Inc., St Charles, MO, USA). All samples were within the linear detection range, i.e. 0.5–100 ng/ml, and analysed in duplicate. The intra-assay coefficient of variance was 6.8% at the low leptin concentration (2.4 ng/ml) and 3.5% at the high leptin concentration (14.6 ng/ml). Serum glucose was determined with an enzymatic hexokinase method (Gluco-quant; Roche

---

**Table 1** Characteristics of the healthy volunteers participating in each protocol. Protocols: 1, meals at 0, 24, 36 and 48 h; 2, meals at 0, 24, 36 and 48 h plus DEX; 3, meals at 0 and 48 h; 4, meals at 0 and 48 h plus DEX. Values in parentheses are S.D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Sex (F/M)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Body mass index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6/1</td>
<td>65.8 (11.2)</td>
<td>166.6 (6.3)</td>
<td>23.7 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6/3</td>
<td>81.6 (13.8)</td>
<td>178.8 (13.5)</td>
<td>25.5 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6/4</td>
<td>78.5 (13.2)</td>
<td>180.7 (9.0)</td>
<td>23.9 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6/4</td>
<td>74.0 (15.2)</td>
<td>173.3 (7.9)</td>
<td>24.5 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
**Figure 1** Schematic presentation of the study protocol. Symbols denoting the different groups are: ⚫ eating intermittently; ○ eating intermittently and taking DEX; ■ fasting; □ fasting and taking DEX.

**Figure 2** Mean±S.E. serum leptin concentrations, expressed as percent change from basal concentrations in all groups. Sampling was every other hour from 0800 to 1800 h on day 1, and from 0800 h on day 2 to 1800 h on day 3.
Statistics

Data are presented as means ± S.E., and statistical significance was accepted at P ≤ 0.05. Statistical analysis was done on absolute values, while in the figures the data are presented as percent change from the baseline.

Statistical differences over time were calculated by ANOVA for repeated measurements with post-hoc testing using Tukey’s test where appropriate. The variables were log transformed for the analysis when they were not normally distributed. Data were analysed with the program Statistica (Statsoft, Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA).

Results

Serum leptin increased significantly within 5 h following each meal (P < 0.01, Fig. 2). In the groups eating 12-hourly, leptin declined in the evening but rose again in response to the evening meal. The rise in leptin after the meal taken in the evening (36 h) was greater than after the same meal taken in the morning (P < 0.001, Figs 2 and 3). In those fasting for 48 h, leptin decreased by 60% and showed no diurnal variation. Leptin rise was higher following 48 h of fasting when compared with the response on day 1 in the same individuals or the response to the same meal on day 3 in the groups eating 12-hourly (P < 0.02, Fig. 3).

DEX increased leptin concentrations in those eating intermittently (P < 0.02, Fig. 2). The difference was statistically significant at 2000 h on day 2, i.e. after a total of 0.4 mg DEX. Thereafter, the differences between the two groups were constant, i.e. no cumulative effect. There was no difference in leptin between those taking DEX and controls in the groups fasting for 48 h.

Glucose and insulin increased significantly following each meal (P < 0.001, Figs 4 and 5). In the groups fasting for 48 h, the rise in glucose and insulin in response to the meal taken on day 3 was significantly higher when compared with the response on day 1 (P < 0.001).

The diurnal rhythm for cortisol was maintained in all groups (Fig. 6). The groups taking DEX tended to have lower nadirs, but the difference was not statistically significant.

Discussion

We investigated the effect of different durations of fasting with and without a low dose of glucocorticoids on the leptin diurnal variation and response to a standard meal. In a previous study, we reported for the first time a 4-fold increase in serum leptin in response to energy intake, TPN, given after surgery in otherwise healthy patients (28). In addition, we also reported that leptin concentrations did not decrease in response to fasting in the control group that had only saline infusion for 24 h after the surgery (28). Our hypothesis...
Meals at 0, 24, 36 and 48 h
Meals at 0, 24, 36 and 48 h (DEX)
Meals at 0 and 48 h
Meals at 0 and 48h (DEX)

**Figure 4** Mean ± S.E. serum glucose concentrations, expressed as percent change from basal concentrations, in all groups. Sampling was every other hour from 0800 to 1800 h on day 1, and from 0800 h on day 2 to 1800 h on day 3.

**Figure 5** Mean ± S.E. serum insulin concentrations, expressed as percent change from basal concentrations, in all groups. Sampling was every other hour from 0800 to 1800 h on day 1, and from 0800 h on day 2 to 1800 h on day 3.
was that the perioperative fasting and stress contributed to this, possibly mediated by the observed increase in insulin and cortisol concentrations. In this study, fasting did sensitise the leptin response to food intake but the marked rise observed in the previous study could not be detected. The DEX dose was given to simulate the rise observed in cortisol in the previous study. Despite the higher leptin concentrations in those taking DEX and eating 12-hourly, DEX did not add to the rise in leptin induced by food intake, nor did it prevent the fall in leptin induced by fasting. Thus, the present data indicate that factors other than fasting and glucocorticoids are necessary for a marked postprandial leptin rise. Possible factors could be gut factors related to oral intake vs parenteral administration of calories, the increased interleukins and tumour necrosis factor alpha observed during the perioperative period (A Elimam & C Marcus, unpublished observations) or the maintained rise in glucose and insulin induced by the TPN infusion (28). However, it is also possible that the DEX dose was too small if compared with the stress-induced rise in cortisol.

In most studies, exogenous glucocorticoids are reported to upregulate leptin (11, 13), but it has been questioned if the effect is limited to pharmacological dosing (31). The findings in this study support our previous report that glucocorticoids within the physiological range upregulate plasma leptin (13), as this was also noticed following the ingestion of a total of 0.4 mg DEX in the volunteers eating twice a day. In contrast, DEX did not increase leptin in those fasting for 48 h when compared with the control group. Thus, glucose and/or insulin are required for the glucocorticoid effect on leptin. In vitro studies reported that cortisol potentiated the insulin-induced upregulation of leptin in adipocytes (32). In one study, administration of 4 mg DEX i.v. to healthy volunteers increased serum leptin in fed subjects and not in those fasting when measured over 10 h (33). In addition, the same group reported that under prolonged fasting conditions, 24 h, DEX did not increase daytime leptin concentrations but increased leptin during the night (34). We have not observed this, as leptin concentrations were not different from controls in those taking DEX and fasting for 48 h. This could be explained by our choice of a low dose within the physiological range, while in both previous reports high doses of DEX were used, 4 and 2 mg respectively. In addition, in these studies a short washout time between the experiments was used, 1–4 weeks. We have previously reported that the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis is affected for a long time after exposure to low doses of glucocorticoids (29).

The regulation of the leptin diurnal profile is still unclear. When first reported, no relationship to acute changes following food intake could be shown and it was claimed to be negatively correlated to the pituitary–adrenal activity (20, 24). However, patients with panhypopituitarism, resulting from perinatal stalk transection syndrome or resection of pituitary

---

**Figure 6** Mean ± S.E. serum cortisol concentrations, expressed as percent change from basal concentrations, in all groups. Sampling was every other hour from 0800 to 1800 h on day 1, and from 0800 h on day 2 to 1800 h on day 3.
tumours, retain a normal diurnal rhythm (35, 36). An intact hypothalamic–pituitary axis is therefore not essential for the regulation of leptin circadian rhythm. Other groups reported that the diurnal rhythm of leptin is entrained to meal timing and not to the circadian clock (21, 37). Our results show that the pattern of food intake affects the diurnal rhythm but does not agree with a complete lack of effect of the circadian clock, as leptin response to the evening meal was higher. Therefore, a still unrevealed factor also contributes to the leptin rhythm. In agreement with this is the persistence of the diurnal pattern during continuous enteral nutrition (38). However, the difference in the leptin response could also be explained by the higher glucose and insulin responses in the evening, which were in agreement with a previous report (39). The volunteers eating 12-hourly had declining leptin concentrations before the evening meal, and the leptin diurnal profile was different from that previously reported in healthy humans eating three meals a day (21, 37). This suggests that the reported typical leptin pattern, with leptin rising during the evening and night, is due to the cumulative effect of the food taken during the day.

In conclusion, fasting sensitised the leptin response to food intake but our hypothesis that the post-surgery energy-induced leptin rise is due to fasting and an increased serum glucocorticoid concentration was not proved in this study. The effect of exogenous glucocorticoids on leptin concentrations is blunted in subjects who are fasting for long durations. Feeding has a permissive effect on the leptin diurnal rhythm, but it is not the only decisive factor, since postprandial leptin increase during the night is more pronounced than during the daytime.
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