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**Abstract**

**Objective:** The aim of the present study was to identify a small, metabolically stable somatotropin release inhibiting factor (SRIF) analog with a more universal binding profile similar to that of natural somatostatin, resulting in improved pharmacological properties and hence new therapeutic uses.

**Design:** A rational drug design approach was followed by synthesizing alanine-substituted SRIF-14 analogs to determine the importance of single amino acids in SRIF-14 for SRIF receptor subtype binding. The incorporation of structural elements of SRIF-14 in a stable cyclohexapeptide template in the form of modified unnatural amino acids resulted in the identification of the novel cyclohexapeptide SOM230.

**Results:** SOM230 binds with high affinity to SRIF receptor subtypes sst1, sst2, sst3 and sst5 and displays a 30- to 40-fold higher affinity for sst1 and sst5 than Sandostatin (octreotide; SMS 201–995) or Somatuline (BIM 23014). In vitro, SOM230 effectively inhibited the growth hormone releasing hormone (GHRH)-induced growth hormone (GH) release in primary cultures of rat pituitary cells with an IC50 of 0.4 ± 0.1 nmol/l (n = 5). In vivo, SOM230 also potently suppressed GH secretion in rats. The ED50 values determined at 1 h and 6 h post injection of SOM230 indicated its very long duration of action in vivo. This property was also reflected in pharmacokinetic studies comparing plasma levels of SMS 201–995 and SOM230 after subcutaneous application. Whereas SMS 201–995 had a terminal elimination half life of 2 h, this was markedly prolonged in SOM230-treated animals (t1/2 = 23 h). Furthermore, in rats SOM230 demonstrated a much higher efficacy in lowering plasma insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) levels compared with SMS 201–995. The infusion of 10 µg/kg/h of SOM230 using subcutaneously implanted minipumps decreased plasma IGF-I levels far more effectively than SMS 201–995. After 126 days of continuous infusion of SOM230 plasma IGF-I levels were decreased by 75% of placebo-treated control animals. For comparison SMS 201–995, when used under the same experimental conditions, resulted in only a 28% reduction of plasma IGF-I levels, indicating a much higher efficacy for SOM230 in this animal model. It is important to note that the inhibitory effect of SOM230 was relatively selective for GH and IGF-I in that insulin and glucagon secretion was inhibited only at higher doses of SOM230. This lack of potent inhibition of insulin and glucagon release was also reflected in the lack of effect on plasma glucose levels. Even after high dose treatment over 126 days no obvious adverse side effects were noticed, including changes in plasma glucose levels.

**Conclusion:** We have identified a novel short synthetic SRIF peptidomimetic, which exhibits high affinity binding to four of the five human SRIF receptor subtypes and has potent, long lasting inhibitory effects on GH and IGF-I release. Therefore SOM230 is a promising development candidate for effective GH and IGF-I inhibition and is currently under evaluation in phase 1 clinical trials.
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**Introduction**

The peptide hormone somatostatin (somatotropin release inhibiting factor; SRIF), discovered by Brazeau et al. (1), is a cyclopeptide consisting of 14 or 28 amino acids which is expressed in many tissues throughout the body including the central nervous system, hypothalamus, gastrointestinal (GI) tract, and the pancreas (1, 2). Somatostatin peptides bind with high affinity to somatostatin receptors expressed on target tissues, thereby exerting a large number of biological effects. Somatostatins are important regulators...
of endocrine and exocrine secretion and affect the release of many hormones such as growth hormone (GH), glucagon, insulin, gastrin, secretin and thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) (2). The neuroendocrine activity of SRIF is reflected by its effective inhibition of GH release from the pituitary gland thereby indirectly also affecting insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) release in the liver and other peripheral organs such as heart and kidney (3). In the central nervous system SRIF peptides act as neuromodulators and neurotransmitters (4).

These biological effects of SRIF are mediated by G-protein-coupled SRIF receptors, of which five human SRIF receptor subtypes, hsst1-5, have been cloned over the past years (5–8). The sequence homology between receptor subtypes varies between 39 and 57% with great sequence homology seen in the transmembrane domains (9–11). All five SRIF receptor subtypes modulate various intracellular signaling pathways such as adenylyl cyclase, ion channels (K⁺, Ca²⁺), serine/threonine- and tyrosine-phosphatases as well as phospholipase A₂ (10, 12).

Natural somatostatins (SRIF-14, SRIF-28) bind with high affinity to all 5 human SRIF receptor subtypes, hsst1-5 (5, 10, 13). However, the therapeutic use of SRIF peptides is limited by the rapid proteolytic degradation in plasma (t₁/₂ ≤ 3 min) requiring continuous infusion regimens. A number of short synthetic SRIF analogs with improved metabolic stability have been synthesized in the past but Sandostatin (octreotide, SMS 201-995 (14)) and Somatuline (lanreotide, BIM 23014 (15)) are the only two synthetic SRIF analogs approved for clinical use. These analogs are used in the clinic to treat disorders characterized by the excessive production of certain hormones such as GH, gastrin, secretin, glucagon and insulin (16–18). In contrast to SRIF-14 and SRIF-28, Sandostatin or Somatuline bind with high sub-nanomolar affinity to hsst2 only, have reduced affinity for hsst3 and hsst5, and show very low or absent binding affinity for hsst1 and hsst4 (5, 10, 12).

Although the expression profile of SRIF receptor subtypes has been studied in a large number of tissues of various species, only a few functional responses can specifically be assigned to a single SRIF receptor subtype. One example is the effective inhibition of growth hormone release by SRIF analogs that bind to the sst2 and sst5 subtype (19–21). This incomplete understanding is largely due to the lack of subtype-selective ligands, especially SRIF receptor antagonists.

Assuming that the unique pharmacological effects documented for SRIF-14 are due to its universal high affinity binding to all five somatostatin receptor subtypes, sst1-5, we aimed at identifying short, metabolically stable rather universal SRIF peptidomimetics with improved properties and therefore potential new therapeutic applications in diseases where Sandostatin and Somatuline were shown to be weakly active or even ineffective. The transposition of important functional groups from SRIF-14 into a reduced size, stable cyclohexapeptide template resulted in the discovery of SRIF peptidomimetics with an almost universal binding profile. Here we present for the first time the in vitro and in vivo characterization of the new metabolically stable cyclohexapeptide SOM230 with broad SRIF receptor binding and a unique inhibitory profile in vivo in rats. SOM230 is a promising development candidate that is currently under investigation in early phase I clinical trials.

### Materials and methods

**SRIF receptor subtype binding**

Binding experiments were performed with membranes prepared from CHO (hsst1-4) and COS (hsst5) cells expressing the respective human SRIF receptor subtype as reported previously (5, 22). Briefly, [¹²⁵I]Tyr¹¹-SRIF-14 was used as an SRIF receptor-specific radioligand. Ten micrograms membrane protein were incubated in 10 mmol/l Hepes (pH 7.5), containing 5 mmol/l MgCl₂, 15 µmol/l bacitracin, 0.5% (w/v) bovine serum albumin, and 30 000 c.p.m. (20 pmol/l) [¹²⁵I]-labeled [Tyr¹¹]-SRIF-14 (2000 Ci/mmol). Radioligand binding was determined by incubating membranes for 1 h at room temperature in the presence or absence of various concentrations of unlabeled somatostatin-14, or the respective SRIF analog. The incubation was stopped by rapid filtration through Whatman GF/C glass fiber filters, and subsequent washing with 10 mmol/l Tris/150 mmol/l NaCl buffer (pH 7.5) by the use of a 48-well harvesting device (Brandel, Gaithersburg, MD, USA). Specific binding was measured as total Tyr¹¹[¹²⁵I]-SRIF-14 binding minus the amount of radioligand bound in the presence of 100 nmol/l SRIF-14 (non-specific binding).

**GH release in vitro**

The inhibitory effect of SOM230 on growth hormone secretion in vitro was evaluated using primary cultures of rat anterior pituitary cells. Pituitary glands were removed from 10–15 Wistar rats with a mean body weight of 200 g (Biological Research Laboratory, Füllinsdorf, Switzerland). The pituitary cells were prepared as described previously (23). Pituitary cells were cultured for 4 days in minimum essential medium, supplemented with 5% fetal calf serum, 5% horse serum, 43 mmol/l NaHCO₃, 100 ng/l streptomycin, and 10⁻⁵ U/l penicillin. The SRIF analogs were administered in the presence of (α) Al₆⁻GH releasing hormone (1–29) (GHRH) amide (3 × 10⁻¹⁰ mol/l), and incubated for 3 h. The concentration of GH in the supernatant was determined by means of a radioimmunoassay (RIA) procedure.
Inhibition of hormone release in rats

Male rats (Ico:OFA-SD, 200–300 g, Ifa-Credo, Lyon, France) were anesthetized with pentobarbital-sodium, 60 mg/kg i.p., and the compounds were given subcutaneously. Blood was collected after decapitation (GH, IGF-I), or from the portal vein (insulin, glucagon) after the indicated times post application of the respective SRIF analog. To determine the duration of action, the inhibitory effect on the GH secretion 6 h post drug application was determined. Unanesthetized rats were pretreated with the respective compound. Five hours later they received the pentobarbital anesthesia and blood was collected one hour later, six hours after the start of drug treatment. Glucagon secretion was stimulated with insulin (porcine, U40, Hoechst, Germany, 1 U/kg i.v. for 15 min).

In each experiment 5–6 animals were used per dose, including control groups receiving only vehicle. The ID50 value for the inhibition of hormone secretion was determined graphically (log-probit) for each experiment. Each compound was tested in at least 2 independent experiments. All animals were housed under standardized housing conditions (food, light, temperature). The RIA for rat (r) GH used NIAMD-rGH-RP1 as standard, monkey anti-rGH as antiserum (produced in-house) and goat anti-monkey IgG as second antibody. [125I]rGH was labeled and purified in-house shortly before analysis.

IGF-I release in rats

Male Lewis rats (LEW/Ola/Hsd, Harlan, Netherlands) weighing 200–250 g were used in this study. SMS 201–995 and SOM230 were dissolved in sterile water and put in Alzet osmotic minipumps (model 2002, Charles River SA, Saint-Aubin, France), delivering 0.5 μl/h. The concentrations were adjusted to the mean body weight of rats, under consideration of a certain weight gain during the experiment, in order to obtain an average dose of 10 μg/kg/h. For control animals, osmotic pumps were filled with sterile water only. Long-term infusions were maintained for up to 126 days by replacing the minipumps every 2 weeks. The pumps were implanted s.c. on the back using a short anesthesia with isofluran (Forene, Abbott AG, Cham, Switzerland). Blood samples were collected before implantation of the osmotic pumps and on various days afterwards. The animals were anesthetized with isofluran, and blood was collected alternately from the left and right retroorbital plexus. After 126 days animals were killed. Blood was collected in Eppendorf tubes containing EDTA (WEI-19, Milian SA, Geneva, Switzerland). The plasma was separated and frozen until analysis of IGF-I levels. IGF-I was determined by RIA using the IGF-I kit from Nichols Institute Diagnostics SA (Geneva, Switzerland) containing rabbit anti-IGF-I antiserum, goat anti-rabbit as second antibody, [125I]IGF-I and a standard.

Pharmacokinetic data analysis in rat

A two-compartment open model with first order absorption and first order elimination was used to analyze mean data (n = 4). Plasma concentration versus time data for SOM230 and SMS 201-995 after subcutaneous administration were described by the following equation:

\[ C = A \cdot e^{-\alpha \cdot t} - B \cdot e^{-\beta \cdot t} - (A + B) \cdot e^{-ka \cdot t} \]

The intercept coefficients (A and B), slopes (α and β), and absorption rate constant (ka) were estimated by least-squares regression analysis using the ADAPT II.4 program (24). The distribution half-life was derived from the slope α (t1/2α = 0.693/α) and the terminal elimination half-life was derived from the slope β (t1/2β = 0.693/β).

Results

SOM230 molecule

Figure 1 shows for comparison the structures of SRIF-14, SMS 201-995, and SOM230. Assuming that the unique pharmacological effects of SRIF-14 are mediated by its universal high affinity binding to sst1-5, and knowing that SRIF-14 has a very short duration of action in vivo (plasma half life ≤ 3 min), the goal of this project was to identify and characterize a short synthetic SRIF analog with novel binding and improved functional properties and a long duration of action in vivo. Important structure–activity information was obtained by synthesizing Ala-substituted SRIF-14 analogs (‘Ala-Scan’) and investigating their binding to the five human SRIF receptor subtypes (Fig. 2). The Ala substitution of amino acids in SRIF-14 allowed us to determine the importance of the respective position in SRIF-14 for universal high affinity binding to hssP1-5. This rational approach revealed that the β-turn region with Trp6 and Lys9 as well as adjacent regions with Lys4, Phe6, Phe7 and Phe11 contain essential residues for the universal binding profile of SRIF-14 to hssP1–5. To identify small, stable SRIF analogs with a more universal binding profile similar to SRIF, a derivatization program was initiated with the aim to transpose structural elements of SRIF-14 in a reduced size stable cyclohexapeptide template. The incorporation of Lys4 in the form of a novel basic trans-(L)hydroxyproline aminoethylurethane extension, phenylglycine (Phg), O-benzyltyrosine (Tyr(Bzl)), and d-Trp to corresponding positions resulted in SOM 230 (Fig. 1c).
Figure 1 Structural comparison of (a) SRIF-14, (b) SMS 201-995 and (c) SOM230.
Binding affinities of SOM230 for human somatostatin receptor subtypes

The synthetic approaches for obtaining short SRIF analogs with a universal binding profile were guided by competition binding experiments using CHO (COS) cell membranes expressing the respective human SRIF receptor subtype (hsst1–5) and Tyr$^{[125]}$ SRIF-14 as SRIF receptor specific ligand. SRIF-14 binds with high affinity to all five human SRIF receptor subtypes (Table 1) with the binding affinity for hsst2 (0.15±0.02 nmol/l; n = 7) and hsst5 (0.29±0.04 nmol/l; n = 7) being the highest. In contrast, the two short synthetic SRIF analogs used in the clinic, Sandostatin (octreotide, SMS 201–995) and Somatuline (lanreotide, BIM 23014) primarily bind with high affinity to the hsst2 subtype but show reduced or no binding to the hsst 1, 3, 4 and 5 subtypes. The novel cyclohexapeptide analog, SOM230, exhibits a very different binding profile to human somatostatin receptors hsst1–5. When structural elements from SRIF-14 were transposed into the cyclohexapeptide template, an SRIF-like affinity profile with high affinity binding to all human SRIF receptor subtypes except hsst4 was obtained (Table 1). When compared with Sandostatin and Somatuline, SOM230 exhibits a 20 to 30 times higher binding affinity to hsst1, and a 40 to 100 times higher binding affinity to hsst5, respectively. Interestingly, SOM230 demonstrates one of the highest binding affinities to hsst5 ever reported for an SRIF analog, which is even two times higher than that measured for SRIF-14. Moreover, the affinity of SOM230 for hsst3 is five times higher compared with SMS 201–995 (Table 1).

In order to determine the specificity of SOM230 binding for SRIF receptor subtypes, a broad range receptor screen was performed. The receptor families tested included adenosine, adreno, benzodiazepine, dopamine, GABA, histamine, serotonin, opioid and muscarinic receptors as well as K$^{+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ channels. SOM230 did not show a significant affinity for any of the receptors assessed with the exception of a very weak affinity for the opiate $k$ binding site ($p k_{i} = 6.09$, data not shown).

An important question was whether this unique binding profile of SOM230 for SRIF receptor subtypes would translate into an improved inhibitory profile when compared with Sandostatin.

Table 1 Binding affinities of SRIF-14, Somatuline (BIM 23014), Sandostatin (SMS 201–995) and SOM230 for the five human SRIF receptor subtypes, hsst1–5. Results are the mean±S.E.M IC$_{50}$ values expressed as nmol/l.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>hsst1</th>
<th>hsst2</th>
<th>hsst3</th>
<th>hsst4</th>
<th>hsst5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SRIF-14</td>
<td>0.93±0.12 (n = 6)</td>
<td>0.15±0.02 (n = 7)</td>
<td>0.56±0.17 (n = 7)</td>
<td>1.5±0.4 (n = 7)</td>
<td>0.29±0.04 (n = 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatuline (BIM 23014)</td>
<td>180±20 (n = 3)</td>
<td>0.54±0.08 (n = 3)</td>
<td>14±9 (n = 3)</td>
<td>230±40 (n = 3)</td>
<td>17±5 (n = 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandostatin (SMS 201–995)</td>
<td>280±80 (n = 4)</td>
<td>0.38±0.08 (n = 5)</td>
<td>7.1±1.4 (n = 4)</td>
<td>&gt;1000 (n = 4)</td>
<td>6.3±1.0 (n = 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOM230</td>
<td>9.3±0.1 (n = 4)</td>
<td>1.0±0.1 (n = 4)</td>
<td>1.5±0.3 (n = 4)</td>
<td>&gt;100 (n = 4)</td>
<td>0.16±0.01 (n = 4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inhibition of GH release in vitro by SOM230

The inhibitory effect of SOM230 on GHRH-induced GH release was measured in vitro using primary cultures of rat pituitary cells. SRIF-14 and SMS 201-995 inhibited the GH release in vitro at nanomolar concentrations. In accordance with its high binding affinity for sst2 and especially sst5, SOM230 most effectively inhibited in a dose-dependent manner the GHRH-induced GH release in vitro with an IC50 of 0.4 ± 0.1 nmol/l (n = 5) indicating its high potency for GH inhibition (Fig. 3). The IC50 values for SRIF-14 and SMS 201-995 measured under the same experimental conditions were 1.5 ± 0.3 nmol/l and 1.3 ± 0.2 nmol/l respectively, indicating a 3- to 4-fold higher potency of SOM230.

In vivo characterization of SOM230

Effect of SOM230 on GH, insulin and glucagon release in rat

Plasma GH levels are tightly controlled by SRIF and SRIF analogs. We therefore assessed the inhibitory effect of SOM230 (and for comparison SMS 201-995) on GH, insulin and glucagon release in rats by administering increasing doses of SOM230 (SMS 201-995) to assess the respective ED50 value. When measuring 1 hour post drug administration, SOM230 was found to be slightly less active than SMS 201-995 in inhibiting GH secretion (ED50 = 0.22 µg/kg s.c.). However, SOM230 was much more potent compared with SMS 201-995 when determining the inhibitory effect on GH release 6 h after drug application indicating its prolonged duration of action in vivo (Table 2). At 6 h post injection, SOM230 still inhibited effectively the GH release in rats with an ED50 of 5.5 µg/kg s.c., whereas SMS 201-995 was, under these conditions, more than fourfold less active. In this assay BIM 23014 (Somatuline) was the weakest inhibitor of GH release with an ED50 value of 144 µg/kg s.c. 6 h post drug application.

Since insulin and glucagon are potential targets for SRIF analog action, we also measured the effect of SOM230 treatment on insulin and glucagon levels 1 h post injection. Whereas insulin release was inhibited at 15-fold higher doses of SOM230 compared with GH inhibition (ED50 = 3.4 µg/kg s.c.), the insulin-stimulated glucagon release was even less sensitive to SOM230 inhibition (ED50 = 74 µg/kg s.c.). Since SOM230 inhibited insulin secretion in rats, a potential diabetogenic side effect profile was investigated. Plasma samples of a long-term (4 months) study in Lewis rats were analyzed for potential effects of SOM230 on plasma glucose concentrations in response to continuous high dose infusion of SOM230 (10 µg/kg/h). The glucose levels ranged between 6.7 and 8.0 mmol/l (placebo) and between 5.8 and 7.4 mmol/l (SOM230) during the 18-week treatment period, indicating that SOM230 treatment had no significant impact on plasma glucose levels (Fig. 4). At the end of the 126-day treatment period glucose concentrations were not significantly different: for control 7.4 ± 0.5 mmol/l and

Table 2 Inhibition of GH, insulin and glucagon release in rats by SOM230 and SMS 201–995 determined 1 and 6 h (for GH) and 1 h (for insulin and glucagon) after drug application. Results are the ED50 values expressed as µg/kg.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>GH 1 hour</th>
<th>GH 6 hours</th>
<th>Insulin 1 hour</th>
<th>Glucagon 1 hour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMS 201–995</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOM230</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>74.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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for SOM230-treated animals 7.1±0.3 mmol/l (mean±s.e.). These results demonstrate that SOM230 is apparently well tolerated and exhibits no diabetogenic effect in rats treated for more than 120 days by continuous high-dose infusion.

**Effect of SOM230 on IGF-I release in rats** Growth hormone is an important regulator of IGF-I release in peripheral tissues such as liver but IGF-I is also released from liver, kidney and heart in a GH-independent fashion under the control of SRIF (3). Thus SRIF can affect IGF-I levels by both a GH-dependent, and a GH-independent local effect on peripheral target tissues such as liver, heart and kidney. Due to its unique binding profile and pharmacokinetic properties (see below), SOM230 may differ from other short synthetic SRIF analogs in its potency and efficacy to inhibit IGF-I release. To compare the inhibitory profile of SMS 201-995 and SOM230 on plasma IGF-I levels in rats, both somatostatin analogs were administered by osmotic minipumps for 126 days. Under these conditions mean plasma IGF-I concentrations in placebo-treated control animals were 1280±180 ng/ml. Whereas SMS 201-995 treatment resulted in only a partial reduction of plasma IGF-I levels to 940±50 ng/ml, SOM230 was far more effective and induced a long-lasting reduction of IGF-I plasma concentrations to mean values of 320±110 ng/ml at day 126, indicating its high efficacy to inhibit rat plasma IGF-I (Fig. 5).

**Pharmacokinetic profile of SOM230 in rats** Since SOM230 showed a prolonged duration of action in vivo as shown by its effective inhibition of GH release 6 h post drug administration (Table 2), the pharmacokinetic profile of SOM230 in rats after a single subcutaneous bolus injection was assessed. Plasma concentrations were measured for SOM230 and compared with those for SMS 201-995 (Fig. 6). The relatively low systemic clearance indicates that SOM230 does not undergo extensive hepatic metabolism. The distribution-, and the terminal elimination half-life for SOM230 was 0.74 and 23 h respectively, which are considerably longer than the corresponding values of 0.22 and 2.0 h determined for SMS 201-995 (Fig. 6). The 3- and 11-fold longer distribution, and terminal elimination half-life of SOM230 could provide a direct explanation for the longer duration of action observed in rats when measuring the inhibition of growth hormone release.
hormone release 6 h post drug application. The prolonged duration of action of SOM230 may allow once daily application instead of three times daily (t.i.d.) injections of currently used SRIF analogs in acute indications.

Discussion

Somatostatin binds with high affinity to five distinct G-protein-coupled SRIF receptors, sst1-5, while the clinically used short synthetic SRIF analogs, octreotide and lanreotide, bind preferentially with high affinity to the sst2 subtype (5, 12). The identification of SRIF receptor subtypes, which differ in their binding properties and pharmacology, has driven the search for novel synthetic SRIF analogs that either bind with high specificity to one certain subtype (25–29) or that bind with high affinity to more than one subtype (21). The few SRIF receptor subtype-selective ligands are on the one hand important tools for characterizing the pharmacological role of each single SRIF receptor subtype and on the other hand some of them may be considered for therapeutic use provided they have appropriate pharmacokinetic properties.

We have focused our approach on identifying SRIF mimetics with a more universal binding profile. In search for a superior SRIF analog with improved therapeutic potential we followed a rational drug design approach aimed at identifying short, metabolically stable cyclohexapeptides that mimic closely the universal binding properties of SRIF-14. Alanine-substituted SRIF-14 analogs allowed the identification of structural elements of SRIF-14 responsible for high affinity binding to hssT1-5 (30). The transposition of these structural elements of SRIF-14 into a cyclohexapeptide template in the form of modified unnatural amino acids such as phenylglycine (Phg) or O-benzyl-tyrosine (Tyr(Bzl)), resulted in the synthesis of SOM230, a stable peptidomimetic that binds with high affinity to all human SRIF receptor subtypes except sst4. When comparing its binding affinities with those reported previously for octreotide or lanreotide (10, 31), major improvements were achieved especially with regard to sst1 and sst5 binding, in that SOM230 binds with a 30–40 times higher affinity to these SRIF receptors subtypes. SOM230 exhibits, in particular, a very high affinity for hhst5, even higher than the affinity measured for the natural ligand SRIF-14 for this receptor subtype.

The binding properties of SOM230 with high affinity binding to the sst1, sst2, sst3, and sst5 subtypes have to be seen in the light of published observations (for reviews see 12, 18): (i) that single cells, tissues and organs often express more than one somatostatin receptor subtype, (ii) that SRIF receptor subtypes can cross-talk with each other or with other receptor families as has been shown recently for sst2, sst5 and dopamine receptors, (32, 33) and (iii) that somatostatin receptor subtype distribution varies across species (34). This rather complex situation of SRIF receptor pharmacology suggests that the therapeutic potential of such a novel, universal SRIF receptor ligand can only be fully explored in the clinical setting.

Among other development candidates SOM230 was selected for in depth in vivo characterization because of its very potent inhibitory effects on GH and IGF-I release and its very high metabolic stability in vivo. In vitro SOM230 is about 3-fold more potent than SMS 201-995 in inhibiting GH release from cultured rat pituitary cells. In rats, SOM230 treatment resulted in a very pronounced reduction of plasma GH and IGF-I levels with a long duration of action and no signs of escape even after continuous high-dose infusion of drug over 18 weeks. The basis for this superiority could be the 40-fold increase in affinity for the sst5 subtype as compared with SMS 201-995. In fact, studies by Shimon et al. (35, 36) indicated that the sst5 sub-
SOM230 plays a key role in controlling GH and prolactin release from human pituitary cells. In line with these findings, it was shown that SRIF analogs with combined affinity for the sst2 and sst5 subtypes more effectively inhibit GH release from pituitary adenoma cell cultures and also, importantly, from octreotide-resistant human GH secreting pituitary adenomas (21, 36). Therefore, one area of interest to profile potential therapeutic advantages of SOM230 includes the established indications for Sandostatin and Sandostatin LAR such as treatment of GH-secreting pituitary adenomas and the relief of symptoms associated with gastroenteropancreatic (GEP) tumors induced by excessive hormone secretion (37). The potential therapeutic advantage of SOM230 in these indications is based on its high affinity binding to sst1, sst2, sst3, and sst5 and the observation that pituitary adenomas and GEP tumors often express more than one SRIF receptor subtype. Side-by-side comparisons with octreotide and other short synthetic SRIF analogs revealed that SOM230 had the most attractive inhibitory profile not only on GH, but also on IGF-I release. SOM230 treatment resulted in a very pronounced reduction of plasma IGF-I levels with no signs of escape even after continuous high-dose infusion of the drug over 126 days. Under these experimental conditions SMS 201-995 was only marginally effective on IGF-I inhibition demonstrating a potential therapeutic advantage of SOM230. The strong inhibitory effect on GH and IGF-I secretion also makes SOM230 a candidate drug for the treatment of other diseases such as diabetes-induced complications like micro- and macroangiopathy (38–41) where, according to the GH hypothesis, the overproduction of GH is a causal factor in the development of proliferative angiopathy (for review see 42). In addition, Sandostatin has been shown previously to decrease the glomerular filtration rate (GFR) in diabetes-associated renal hyperfiltration (43). Therefore, an SRIF analog that even more effectively suppresses the release of GH and IGF-I may have a therapeutic advantage over the currently available SRIF analogs in such indication.

Beyond inhibiting GH and IGF-I release, somatostatin and somatostatin analogs have been shown before to reduce the secretion of various other hormones including insulin and glucagon (2, 42). As a consequence hyper-, or hypoglycemia have been reported as potential side effects of SRIF-analog treatment in patients. It was therefore mandatory to investigate the effects of SOM230 on insulin, glucagon and glucose regulation. Treatment with SOM230 in rats resulted acutely, 1 h after drug application, in the inhibition of insulin release only at 10- to 15-fold higher doses compared with GH inhibition. A weak inhibition of glucagon release was only seen at much higher SOM230 doses. In order to rule out adverse side effects of SOM230 in vivo due to changes in glucose homeostasis, the effect of both SMS 201-995 and SOM230 were characterized in long-term rat experiments. An 18-week treatment of rats with SOM230 at a constant infusion rate of 10 μg/kg/h did not change plasma glucose levels significantly indicating that SOM230 is well tolerated in rats with regard to glucose homeostasis.

Very importantly, the pharmacokinetic properties of SOM230 in vivo differ profoundly from SMS 201-995 in that the terminal elimination half-life of SOM230 is increased more than 10-fold to t1/2 = 23 h resulting in a long duration of action in vivo as shown by the suppression of GH release 6 h after drug application. These improved pharmacokinetic properties of SOM230 could be of great importance since it may offer the possibility of once daily dosing in acute indications where currently used SRIF analogs require t.i.d. dosing, and Stilamine (natural somatostatin, with a half-life ≤ 3 min) requires constant infusion therapy.

In summary, SOM230 is a novel SRIF mimetic which is unique with respect to its structure, its receptor binding profile, its potent inhibitory effects on GH and IGF-I release, and its very long plasma half-life. Therefore, SOM230 is a promising development candidate with several potential advantages over currently used SRIF analogs. Early phase I clinical trials have started recently with SOM230 to fully explore the therapeutic potential for this novel SRIF mimetic in man.
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