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Masson's papillary endothelial hyperplasia mimicking a poorly differentiated thyroid carcinoma: a case report
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Intravascular papillary endothelial hyperplasia (PEH, Masson’s tumour) is a benign lesion of vascular origin that is caused by an excessive and atypical proliferation of endothelial cells in normal blood vessels or vascular malformations. PEH may mimic angiosarcoma or other tumours (1). On only very rare occasions does PEH occur extravascularly as a result of the organization of a haematoma (2), although a history of trauma is seldom elicited. There are only two reported cases in the literature of PEH of the thyroid, one occurred subsequent to multiple fine-needle aspiration biopsies, the second occurred in an organizing haematoma within a hyperplastic follicular nodule (3, 4). We present here what we believe to be the first report of a patient with PEH of the thyroid that was mistaken for a poorly differentiated thyroid carcinoma on the original histology.

A 45-year-old woman presented in 1988 with a nontoxic multinodular goitre. Her medical history revealed no significant, pre-existing disease and her sister also suffered from goitre. Because of neck discomfort, the patient underwent a subtotal thyroidectomy. Histological examination revealed a pure form of PEH; the original specimens which had been removed were histologically and immunohistochemically reanalysed. The spindle-shaped cells were found mainly to be endothelial cells with papillary proliferation within dilated venules. The additional immunohistochemical investigations showed positive staining to vimentin, to CD34 and to factor VIII-related antigen within the endothelial cells. Thus, histopathological examination revealed a pure form of PEH; the original diagnosis of poorly differentiated thyroid carcinoma was dismissed.

Intrathyroidal PEH is a very rare entity, but may have been under-reported in the literature (5). Because of its clinical course and histological findings, PEH may be mistaken for a malignant tumour, as is illustrated by our case. Misdiagnosis may lead to consequences such as inappropriate radical surgery. PEH can be cured by complete surgical resection. Our patient therefore underwent two unnecessary operations to remove the recurrent ‘malignant tumour’. It is also important to distinguish between these two lesions to avoid inappropriate medical treatment such as chemotherapy, total ablation with iodine-131 or levothyroxine-suppressive treatment. The latter has potentially serious side-effects, as has been described recently (6). The psychological aspect of our patient living with the diagnosis of malignant tumour must also be considered. Physicians caring for patients with thyroid disorders and pathologists should therefore be aware of PEH and suspect its presence.
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