CLINICAL STUDY

Enhancement of the peripheral sensitivity to growth hormone in adults with GH deficiency
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Abstract

Objective: Adults with severe GH deficiency (GHD) need recombinant human growth hormone (rhGH) replacement to restore body composition, structure functions and metabolic abnormalities. The optimal rhGH dose for replacement has been progressively reduced to avoid side effects. The aim of the present study was to define the minimal rhGH dose able to increase both IGF-I and IGF binding protein (BP)-3 levels in GHD and to verify the possible change in GH sensitivity.

Design and patients: To this goal, we studied the effect of 4-day treatment with 3 rhGH doses (1.25, 2.5 and 5.0 μg/kg/day) on IGF-I and IGFBP-3 levels in 25 panhypopituitary adults with severe GHD (12 males and 13 females, age: 44.5 ± 3.0 years, body mass index (BMI): 27.0 ± 0.9 kg/m²) and 21 normal young adult volunteers (NV, 12 males and 9 females, age: 30.5 ± 2.0 years, BMI: 20.8 ± 0.5 kg/m²).

Results: Basal IGF-I and IGFBP-3 levels in GHD were lower (P < 0.001) than in NV. In NV the 1.25 μg/kg dose of rhGH did not modify IGF-I levels. The dose of 2.5 μg/kg rhGH significantly increased IGF-I levels in men (P < 0.001) but not in women, while the 5.0 μg/kg dose increased IGF-I levels in both sexes (P < 0.001). IGFBP-3 levels were not modified by any of the administered rhGH doses. In GHD patients, all rhGH doses increased IGF-I levels 12 h after both the first (P < 0.01) and the fourth rhGH dose (P < 0.001). At the end of treatment percentage increases in IGF-I were higher (P < 0.001) in GHD patients than in NV. In contrast with NV, in GHD patients the IGF-I response to short-term stimulation with rhGH was independent of gender. Moreover, GHD patients showed increases in IGFBP-3 after the fourth administration of both 2.5 and 5.0 μg/kg rhGH.

Conclusion: The results of the present study demonstrate that the minimal rhGH dose able to increase IGF-I and IGFBP-3 levels in GHD patients is lower than in normal subjects, at least after a very short treatment. This evidence suggests an enhanced peripheral GH sensitivity in GH deprivation.
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Introduction

The activity of the growth hormone/insulin-like growth factor-I (GH/IGF-I) axis has a major role in promoting growth, but also plays a key role in protein, glucose and lipid metabolism, influencing body composition and structure function (1). In adulthood, the metabolic actions of the GH/IGF-I axis take place at secretory levels which are markedly lower than those needed to promote growth (2). IGF-I and IGF binding protein-3 (IGFBP-3) levels reflect the GH status (3), although IGF-I synthesis and release are also under important regulation by nutrition (4).

IGF-I concentrations have been shown to be positively related to GH secretion in normal, acromegalic, and GH deficient subjects and IGF-I measurement is widely used to assess disease activity in acromegaly (1) as well as to screen patients with suspected GH deficiency (GHD) (1, 3). Although the reliability of the IGF-I measurement for the diagnosis of GHD in adults has been questioned by many authors (5–8), there is agreement that it is the best parameter for monitoring the appropriate recombinant human growth hormone (rhGH) replacement therapy (9–11).

Adults with severe GH show alterations in body composition, structure function and metabolism that are reversed by rhGH replacement treatment (9, 11–18). The rhGH dose for replacement therapy in adults with severe GHD has been progressively reduced to minimise side effects (10, 11, 15, 19, 20). As alluded to before, IGF-I is the best biochemical marker for monitoring GH replacement and IGF-I values should be kept in the age-related normal range (9, 11).

The aim of the present study was to define the minimal rhGH dose able to increase IGF-I and IGFBP-3 levels in GH deficiency and to verify the possible change...
in GH sensitivity. To this goal, we studied the effects of 4-day treatments with 3 low rhGH doses (1.25, 2.5, and 5.0 μg/kg/day) on IGF-I and IGFBP-3 levels in adults with severe GHD. These results were compared with those recorded in normal subjects in whom we have previously shown that IGF-I and IGFBP-3 responses to rhGH are dose- and sex-dependent (21).

**Subjects and methods**

Twenty-five panhypopituitary adults with severe GH deficiency (GHD, 12 males and 13 females, age: 44.5 ± 3.0 years, body mass index (BMI): 27.0 ± 0.9 kg/m²) were studied. Seventeen acquired panhypopituitarism after pituitary surgery or radiotherapy. All patients were on optimized replacement therapy other than GH after pituitary surgery or radiotherapy. All patients were studied. Seventeen acquired panhypopituitarism after the first and the last rhGH administration (i.e. insulin and glucose levels were drawn basally and 12 h respectively) or 5 mIU/l after GH releasing hormone (GHRH)+arginine test (6, 11) and/or 3 low rhGH doses (1.25, 2.5 and 5.0 mIU/l respectively, vs basal 205 ± 0.25, 220 ± 0.25 and 272 ± 0.25 mg/l respectively. Plasma glucose (mg/dl) was measured by the glucose-oxidase colorimetric method (Menarini Diagnostics, Firenze, Italy).

Data are expressed as means±s.e.m. of absolute and percentage changes. The statistical analysis of the data was carried out by paired and unpaired Student’s t-test and ANOVA where appropriate.

**Results**

**Normal volunteers (NV)**

Mean basal IGF-I and IGFBP-3 levels were 212.1 ± 5.6 μg/l and 2.9 ± 0.1 mg/l respectively and did not differ significantly among various testing sessions.

The dose of 1.25 μg/kg rhGH failed to modify IGF-I levels at any time, while these were significantly increased 12 h after the first and the fourth administration of 2.5 μg/kg rhGH (220.9 ± 12.8 and 242.9 ± 13.4, respectively, vs basal 205.3 ± 14.8 μg/l; P < 0.001) and 5.0 μg/kg rhGH (272.2 ± 16.1 and 301.7 ± 17.6, respectively, vs basal 230.4 ± 16.1 μg/l; P < 0.001) showing a clear dose–response relationship (P < 0.001) (Fig. 1 and see also Fig. 3). When the data were examined by gender, the dose of 2.5 μg/kg rhGH was found significantly to stimulate IGF-I levels in men (P < 0.001) but not in women (Table 1).

None of the rhGH doses (1.25, 2.5 and 5.0 μg/kg) effected a change in IGFBP-3 levels (Fig. 2). Glucose and insulin levels were not modified at any time (data not reported).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>rhGH dose (μg/kg)</th>
<th>Δ absolute (μg/L)</th>
<th>Δ percent</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
<th>Δ absolute</th>
<th>Δ percent</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Normal volunteers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>9.6±5.4</td>
<td>5.4±2.9</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>8.5±4.2</td>
<td>2.5±2.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>49.8±11.8</td>
<td>38.0±11.8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>21.3±10.7</td>
<td>9.3±4.7</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>74.9±21.6</td>
<td>55.5±16.4</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>27.0±9.0</td>
<td>24.4±5.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GH deficiency</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>66.5±16.6</td>
<td>116.4±30.6</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>49.3±12.1</td>
<td>88.3±31.2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>77.6±21.0</td>
<td>125.4±49.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>42.6±16.4</td>
<td>85.7±20.7</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>66.0±18.9</td>
<td>147.7±44.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>56.7±9.4</td>
<td>139.0±24.1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P-value vs baseline; NS, not significant.

Table 1 IGF-I delta (Δ) absolute (μg/L) and delta (Δ) percent increase 84 h after the first administration of different rhGH doses in 21 normal volunteers and in 25 patients with GH deficiency.
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Patients with GH deficiency (GHD)

Mean basal IGF-I and IGFBP-3 levels (64.4 ± 8.7 μg/l and 1.6 ± 0.2 mg/l respectively) in GHD patients did not differ significantly among various testing sessions and were clearly lower than those in NV (P < 0.001). All rhGH doses (1.25, 2.5 and 5.0 μg/kg) increased IGF-I levels 12 h after both the first (105.0 ± 11.7 vs 72.2 ± 11.6, 91.0 ± 16.4 vs 63.5 ± 11.0 and 75.2 ± 11.0 vs 52.1 ± 10.5 μg/l respectively, P < 0.01) and the fourth (130.2 ± 15.3, 122.5 ± 23.5 and 112.8 ± 15.0 μg/l respectively, P < 0.001) rhGH dose, showing no dose–response relationship (P = not significant) (Fig. 1 and see also Fig. 3). At the end of treatment, the delta IGF-I percentage increases were clearly higher (P < 0.001) in GHD patients than in NV in response to all rhGH doses (Fig. 3 and Table 1).

In contrast with NV, no gender-related difference was found in the IGF-I response to rhGH in GHD patients. In fact, even the lowest rhGH dose, i.e. 1.25 μg/kg, elicited the same increase in IGF-I levels in GHD patients of both sexes (P < 0.001 vs baseline) (Table 1).

GHD patients also showed a significant increase in IGFBP-3 after the fourth administration of both 2.5 and 5.0 μg/kg rhGH (2.4 ± 0.2 vs 1.8 ± 0.4 and 2.0 ± 0.2 vs 1.3 ± 0.3 mg/l respectively, P < 0.05) (Fig. 2).

Insulin and glucose levels were not modified by any rhGH dose (data not reported).

Discussion

The results of the present study demonstrate that, at least after very short-term treatment, the minimal rhGH dose able to increase IGF-I or IGFBP-3 levels is lower in GHD adults than in normal subjects, suggesting an enhanced GH sensitivity. Moreover, the mean IGF-I percentage increase after each rhGH dose administered was higher in GHD patients than in normal subjects.

The stimulatory effect of low rhGH doses (ranging from 2.5 to 5.0 μg/kg/day) on IGF-I levels in GHD adults has already been studied by other authors (10, 23) although it has never been compared with data in normal subjects. Our study shows that a rhGH dose as low as 1.25 μg/kg/day (that is about 0.00375 IU/kg/day) is able to stimulate IGF-I levels in severe GHD patients, although after very short-term treatment. This evidence does not imply that this dose is effective for optimal replacement; in fact, it has already been shown that the IGF-I response to rhGH is not strictly associated with protein anabolism (23) and clinical benefit (11,
Treatment did not show a dose–response relationship at variance with that recorded in normal subjects. This result agrees with the finding of a similar increase in IGF-I levels following a one-week treatment with either 2.0 or 3.3 µg/kg/day rhGH in hypopituitary adults (23). Interestingly, in those subjects a dose–response relationship became apparent only after one month of treatment.

Thus, it is apparent from the present study that, at least at the beginning of GH replacement, patients with severe GHD show an enhanced GH sensitivity. It has been clearly shown that the GH-receptor (GH-R) status is regulated by GH itself (24). In GHD, many authors reporting contrasting results (19, 24–29) have studied GH binding protein (GHBP) levels as a marker of GH-R status. The existence of peripheral hypersensitivity to GH in patients with severe GHD (present data) agree with data by Roelen et al. (27) and Florkowski et al. (28) reporting increased GHBP levels in severe GHD during rhGH replacement. On the other hand, other authors have found that GHBP levels are reduced or unchanged (19, 24–26, 29).

In contrast with normal subjects, our results show that there is no gender-related difference in the IGF-I response to rhGH in GHD patients. This finding is noteworthy considering that hypopituitary women need a higher rhGH dose than men for optimal IGF-I response and clinical benefit (19, 30, 31), according to the inhibitory influence of estradiol on IGF-I synthesis and release (32, 33). However, our hypopituitary women were on transdermal estradiol replacement and there is already evidence that the negative influence of estradiol on IGF-I synthesis and release depends on the method of administration as well as on the estrogenic dose in both normal and GHD patients (34, 35). On the other hand, in the present experimental conditions, the existence of an enhanced GH sensitivity in our patients may mask the evidence of a gender-related difference in the IGF-I response to rhGH with the GH doses employed. To demonstrate a gender-related difference, testing with a rhGH dose lower than 1.25 µg/kg would be helpful. Moreover, the possibility has to be considered that the lack of a sex-related difference is limited to the first days of treatment and that it could become apparent later on. We are now studying the long-term effect of 0.625, 1.25, 2.5 and 5.0 µg/kg/day rhGH doses in GHD patients of both sexes. Finally, the small number of patients studied limited the finding of a lack of a gender-related difference.

In agreement with other authors, our data further indicate that IGFBP-3 is a less sensitive marker of GH...
status than is IGF-I (36, 37) and it is also less sensitive than IGF-I to rhGH stimulation (8, 10, 21). In spite of this, GHD patients showed a significant increase in IGFBP-3 levels with rhGH doses which were ineffective in normal subjects. As IGFBP-3 synthesis and release depend not only on GH but also on IGF-I (4, 38), the enhanced IGFBP-3 sensitivity in GHD patients could also depend on the higher increases in IGF-I, at variance with normal volunteers.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that the IGF-I and IGFBP-3 responses to very low doses of GH are enhanced in GHD compared with normal subjects, at least after very short-term treatment. This evidence suggests the existence of enhanced peripheral GH sensitivity in GH deprivation.
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