Plasma and cerebrospinal fluid leptin levels are maintained despite enhanced food intake in progesterone-treated rats

Esther Grueso, Milagros Rocha and Marisa Puerta

Department of Animal Biology II (Physiology), Faculty of Biological Sciences, Complutense University, 28040 Madrid, Spain

(Correspondence should be addressed to M Puerta; Email: mpuerta@eucmax.sim.ucm.es)

Abstract

Objectives: For adipostatic control, increases in food intake are followed by increased leptin levels that in turn reduce food intake. However, progesterone administration increases both food intake and body weight. The aim of this study was to analyze changes in the white adipose tissue–leptin system in rats with enhanced plasma levels of progesterone.

Methods: Female Wistar rats received progesterone chronically by means of subcutaneous implants over 30 days.

Results: They showed an increased food intake followed by increased body weight and heavier fat depots. An enhanced ob-mRNA level was detected in inguinal white adipose tissue depot on day 2 of treatment but the increase was transient, disappearing on day 6 of treatment. No changes in ob-mRNA levels were found in parametrial and retroperitoneal white adipose tissue depots. Plasma and cerebrospinal fluid leptin levels were unchanged either during the treatment or between corresponding treated and control rats. Leptin concentrations in cerebrospinal fluid were ten times lower than in plasma (0.2–0.3 ng/ml versus 2–3 ng/ml respectively).

Conclusions: These results indicated that progesterone favours a positive energy balance not only by enhancing food intake but also by inhibiting the concurrent enhancement in plasma and cerebrospinal fluid leptin levels expected from the increased fat mass.

Introduction

Since its discovery a few years ago, serum leptin has proved to be directly related to body fat in both humans (1, 2) and rats (3). This has been considered to be the main evidence that it is a white adipose tissue (WAT) messenger signalling the size of the fat store to the central nervous system, in agreement with the adipostatic theory proposed by Kennedy (4) and sustained by Hausberger’s experiments (5). On a short-term basis, leptin levels are also related to food-intake patterns so that, despite an essentially unchanged amount of WAT during a 24-h cycle, ingestive episodes increase leptin levels which reach a maximum during the active phase of the cycle, whereas no intake during the inactive phase of the cycle or fasting decreases it (6–8). Increased levels of leptin are also followed by increases in thermogenesis (9, 10). The whole picture is interpreted as leptin being the main signal for body fat store maintenance. There are, however, physiological situations where a positive energy balance is needed. This is the case during late pregnancy, when both food intake and body weight are increased (11). These changes are brought about by progesterone (12). However, this positive energy balance does not fit the adipostatic theory which, when running freely, would suggest that there is a corrective reduction in food intake to the hormonally driven increase in food intake and body weight gain. In this study, we have experimentally increased the plasma levels of progesterone to values similar to those reached at the end of pregnancy in order to analyze the modifications of the components of adipostatic control in such a situation.

Materials and methods

Animal treatment

Female Wistar rats, 180–200 g body weight, were acclimated to 28 °C (thermoneutrality) for 1–2 weeks. On day 0 of the experiment (214 ± 1 g body weight), half of the animals received two progesterone-filled Silastic (Dow Corning, Midland, MI, USA) capsules (4 cm long; 3.2 mm outside diameter; 1.5 mm internal diameter). Control rats received empty implants. Capsules were inserted subcutaneously under ether anaesthesia. Rats were housed in individual cages with food and water available ad libitum and with a light:darkness cycle of 12 h light:12 h darkness with...
lights on at 0800 h. The food provided was a commercial diet (Panlab, Barcelona, Spain) containing 66.7% carbohydrate, 19.3% protein, 3.4% fat, 4.9% cellulose and 5.7% mineral (by weight). Food intake and body weight were monitored daily during the first 2 weeks or every third day during the last 2 weeks. Food intake was measured by weighing what remained in the food cup. Animals were cared for and used in accordance with the principles of The Council of European Communities (86/609 EEC).

Sample collection
Both control and treated animals were killed by decapitation at different times during the experiment between 1100 and 1300 h. Immediately before the animals were killed cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) was obtained under ether anaesthesia by inserting a 23 gauge butterfly needle into the cisterna magna and 100–120 μl CSF was gently withdrawn. Only samples without any blood contamination were processed. Vaginal smears were taken to determine the phase of the cycle in control rats and to corroborate the efficacy of treatment in progesterone-treated rats. Blood was collected in heparinized glass tubes (10 IU/ml). Inginal, retroperitoneal and parametrial WAT depots were removed, weighed, frozen in liquid N2 and stored at −80 °C until analysis.

Detection of ob-mRNA
Total cellular RNA was extracted using Ultraspec RNA reagent (Biotex, USA), using a modified procedure of the method reported by Chomczynski & Sacchi (13) for total RNA isolation. RNA fractionation, blotting, hybridization and chemiluminescent detection were carried out according to Trayhurn et al. (14). In short, RNAs were fractionated by agarose gel electrophoresis, transferred to a positively charged nylon membrane (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Mannheim, Germany) by capillary blotting and crosslinked with UV light using a Foto/UV 21 (Fotodyne, Hartland, WI, USA). The mRNA for the ob gene was detected using a 33-mer antisense oligonucleotide probe 5’-labelled with a single digoxigenin ligand (5’-CGG CTG CTG CCT TCC TTG GCT GAT GTG GTA GCC G-3’). The oligonucleotide had been synthesized commercially. The membranes were incubated sequentially with the oligonucleotide and with an anti-digoxigenin serum alkaline phosphatase-conjugated antibody and processed essentially as in the protocols provided by Roche Molecular Biochemicals. CDP-star (Roche Molecular Biochemicals) was used as the chemiluminescent substrate. Signals were visualized by exposing the membranes to a film (Hyperfilm ECL; Amersham International plc, Amersham, Bucks, UK) and quantified by densitometry using Image I software (National Institutes of Health, USA). Membranes were stripped and reprobed for 18S rRNA to check the loading and transfer of RNA during blotting. 18S rRNA was detected using a 31-mer antisense oligonucleotide probe (5’-GGC CTG CTG CCT TCC TTG GCT GAT GTG GTA GCC G-3’).

Hormone assays
Blood was centrifuged at 2000 g for 15 min at 4 °C. Plasma was withdrawn and stored at −80 °C until analysis. Plasma leptin levels were determined with a commercially available rat leptin radioimmunoassay (RIA) kit (Linco Research, USA). The limit of sensitivity for the assay was 0.5 ng/ml, the limit of linearity being 50 ng/ml. The intra- and interassay coefficients of variation were 4.6% and 5.7% respectively. CSF from either one rat or pooled from two rats was lyophilized. Samples were assayed by redissolving them in a smaller volume than the one indicated for plasma in the Linco kit. The other components of the kit were also reduced accordingly. The standard curve obtained with reduced volumes was validated with the quality controls as the values fitted their expected range.

Immunoreactive insulin was measured with the rat insulin RIA kit developed at Linco Research (St Charles, MO, USA). The limit of sensitivity for the assay was 0.1 ng/ml and the limit of linearity was 10 ng/ml. The intra- and interassay coefficients of variation were 5.8% and 10.8% respectively. Plasma progesterone and corticosterone levels were determined with an RIA kit from DiaSorin (Vercelli, Italy) and an RIA kit from DRG Diagnostics (Marburg, Germany) respectively. The limit of sensitivity for the progesterone assay was 0.10 ng/ml and the limit of linearity was 10 ng/ml. The intra- and interassay coefficients of variation were 7.2% and 10.0% respectively and for corticosterone they were 7.1% and 7.2%.

Statistical analysis
Kruskal–Wallis one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) on ranks was performed to compare progesterone plasma levels between control and treated rats (the Dunn test being used post hoc) since data did not show Gaussian distribution. ob-mRNA levels in different depots of control rats and CSF leptin levels were tested using ANOVA with Student–Newman–Keuls test being used post hoc, whereas an unpaired Student’s t-test was used for ob-mRNA comparison between control and treated rats. Food intake and body mass gain were evaluated by unpaired Student’s t-test and Mann–Whitney U test when data did not show Gaussian distribution. A two-way ANOVA was used for comparing plasma leptin levels, weight of WAT depots, plasma insulin and corticosterone levels. P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.
Results

The effectiveness of the hormonal treatment is depicted in Fig. 1 which shows that plasma progesterone levels in treated animals were two to three times those recorded in control animals, reaching values similar to those found at peak pro-oestrus (15) and in late pregnancy (16). The mean value in control rats represents an average value during the cycle since control animals were not all killed in the same phase of the oestrous cycle.

As expected, food intake was increased in treated animals from the first day of treatment and remained elevated for most of the treatment period (Fig. 2). Concurrently, body weight gain was greater in treated animals than in controls from the beginning of the treatment (Fig. 2) so that final body weight gain at day 30 was 21 ± 3 g in control and 32 ± 4 g in treated rats.

Progesterone treatment increased WAT depots weight although such increases did not attain statistical significance \( P = 0.053 \) (Fig. 3). It should be emphasized that only the left half of every depot was measured so the real increase in the weight of every depot would be about twice the one depicted in Fig. 3.

ob-mRNA levels were measured in three different WAT depots, i.e. inguinal, parametrial and retroperitoneal. In control rats, no change was recorded in any depot during the treatment although comparison among depots showed that ob-mRNA levels were higher in the parametrial depot followed by the retroperitoneal depot, inguinal WAT having the lowest levels (Fig. 4A, open bars). Treated rats showed the same level of ob-mRNA as that in the respective controls in all the depots studied except in inguinal WAT at day 2 of treatment, which was greater in treated rats (Fig. 4).

Plasma leptin was unchanged in controls during the experiment, in agreement with previous results showing that leptin levels are unchanged during the oestrous cycle (17, 18). No changes were found after progesterone treatment (Fig. 5). CSF leptin values were ten times smaller than those found in plasma. None the less, no differences were found either during the treatment or between control and treated rats (Fig. 6).

Corticosterone levels on day 2 were twice those found on days 8 and 14 but there was no difference between control and treated rats. Insulin levels were also similar in control and treated rats (Table 1).

Discussion

Before the discovery of leptin, female sex hormones were known to influence both food intake and thermogenesis in the energy balance equation. Thus, progesterone administration to either ovariectomized or
intact rats increases food intake (12, 19, 20) and decreases thermogenesis (21–23), thus rendering heavier animals. However, according to the adipostatic theory, increases in food intake would promote greater fat depots which, in turn, would produce higher leptin levels that would counterbalance the progesterone-enhanced food intake. Since this counterbalance does not take place in pregnant or progesterone-treated rats, we explored the in vivo changes in the WAT–leptin system in rats with experimentally increased levels of progesterone.

Our treated animals showed progesterone plasma levels similar to those of late pregnancy (16, 24) (Fig. 1). As expected, food intake increased during the entire treatment (Fig. 2), bringing about an enhanced body weight gain that was, at least in part (we did not measure fat-free mass), due to increased WAT mass (Fig. 3). If the adipostatic theory had been running freely, such positive energy balance would not have taken place. Therefore, it seems clear that the presence of progesterone hampered lipostatic control.

The route to signalling the amount of body fat begins with the synthesis and release of leptin from the white adipocytes. The molecule travels in blood to get into the CSF so that it reaches the hypothalamic centres involved in energy balance. All these three could be a step to impose a control to avoid adipostatic control by leptin. We measured ob-mRNA levels in different depots of WAT and – except for a transient increase in the inguinal depot at the early times of treatment (Fig. 4) – no indication was found that there was any permanent enhancement in ob-mRNA, which suggests that no enhancement in leptin synthesis was taking place. However, since mRNA intracellular levels do not correlate unequivocally with protein synthesis and release, we measured the plasma levels of leptin to check if they were also unchanged and we found that they were (Fig. 5). This set of results – increased WAT depot, together with unchanged ob-mRNA levels and plasma leptin levels – demonstrated that adipostatic control was not running freely but was under progesterone control which was apparently impeding an increased leptin synthesis and release despite fat accumulation (the other possibility for maintaining plasma leptin unchanged – increased synthesis and increased degradation/excretion – does not seem to fit with the present results). Even so, there remained another possible target where progesterone might control signalling, i.e. interfering with leptin entrance in the CSF, since it is from this compartment that leptin reaches the hypothalamic centres involved in food intake and thermogenesis. Accordingly, we also measured leptin levels in CSF. Again, no change was recorded between progesterone-treated rats and untreated controls (Fig. 6), indicating that increased food intake in progesterone-treated rats could not be attributed to a diminished level of leptin reaching the hypothalamic centres. Therefore, the increased body weight and fat mass brought about by a progesterone-induced increase in food intake can take place because no increase in leptin plasma or CSF levels occurs despite increased fat depot weight, as predicted to occur by leptin adipostatic control. In other words, progesterone seems to influence energy balance in a double manner. First, it stimulates undetermined orexigenic mechanisms that make the animals increase their food intake. Secondly, it acts peripherally at the level of the adipocytes, inhibiting any increase in the synthesis of leptin despite the concurrent enhancement in fat.
deposition. That such inhibition is taking place is further supported not only by the unchanged plasma leptin levels but also by the very high levels of \( \text{ob-mRNA} \) in inguinal depot in the 2-day sample, levels that decreased to those of controls at later stages of treatment. Probably, similar increases also occurred in the other WAT depots but were already suppressed at the time of our first sampling, i.e. 48 h after initiating

**Table 1** Plasma corticosterone and insulin levels (ng/ml) in rats treated with progesterone chronically. Each point represents the mean ± S.E.M. of the numbers shown in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Day 2</th>
<th>Day 6</th>
<th>Day 8</th>
<th>Day 14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insulin (ng/ml)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.96±0.57 (6)</td>
<td>3.32±0.62 (6)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.25±0.50 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated</td>
<td>3.07±0.55 (5)</td>
<td>2.79±0.33 (6)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.14±0.26 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corticosterone (ng/ml)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>909±42 (5)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>387±58 (5)</td>
<td>426±56 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated</td>
<td>693±115 (5)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>483±50 (5)</td>
<td>416±84 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No statistical differences were found among groups (two-way ANOVA).
administration of progesterone. The confounding effects of insulin or corticosterone, which have been shown to alter leptin synthesis and release (25, 26), can be rejected since both control and treated animals showed the same plasma values for equivalent days. Results presented in this paper do not exclude progesterone modulating hypothalamic leptin sensitivity as a third mechanism to produce a positive energy balance. They are suggested both by the lower levels of mRNA encoding the leptin receptor isoform ob-Rb in the hypothalamus of pregnant rats (27) and by the changing levels of such mRNA (28) during the oestrus cycle which is known to show no alterations in plasma leptin levels (17, 18).

Since plasma progesterone levels increase steadily during pregnancy in both women (29) and rats (24) it seems logical to compare the results of this study with those dealing with leptin levels in pregnancy in order to cast light on the factors involved in determining plasma leptin levels during pregnancy. In women, most studies have shown increasing plasma leptin levels during the first two trimesters of pregnancy with a sustained plateau during the third trimester (29–31). The inhibitory influence of progesterone could explain such a plateau since, during the third trimester, progesterone levels increase 100 times whereas during the first two trimesters they only increase four times. This allows the build up of a fat store for subsequent lactation. A similar role for progesterone can also be argued for rats since they also have increasing plasma leptin levels during pregnancy (17, 32). None the less, some authors have failed to detect any increase in leptin in pregnant rats (33).

Leptin concentration in plasma is well documented in both humans and rodents. To the best of our knowledge, there is only one result – obtained from one pool made up from three to four rats – concerning the leptin concentration in the CSF of rats (34), whereas there are a number of studies dealing with CSF leptin concentration in humans (35–37). We have obtained CSF from 38 rats which, after pooling, gave 25 results so our values demonstrate that leptin concentration in the CSF of rats is only ten times lower than in plasma, which is at variance with the 100 times lower values obtained in humans and in agreement with the previous report in rats (34). The significance of the higher values in the CSF of rats compared with those in humans suggests a differential sensitivity to leptin in the hypothalamus of both species, a suggestion that, however, needs to be confirmed experimentally.
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