Until recently there was no imaging technique available which could demonstrate pathological changes in orbital tissues and could be regarded as a reliable measure of inflammation in thyroid eye disease (TED). Pentetreotide (a synthetic derivative of somatostatin) labelled with $^{111}$In has been used to localize tumours which possess surface or membrane receptors for somatostatin in vivo using a gamma camera (1). This technique visualizes somatostatin receptors in endocrine-related tumours in vivo and predicts the inhibitory effect of the somatostatin analogue octreotide on hormone secretion by the tumours (1). By applying $^{111}$In-DTPA-o-Phe octreotide scintigraphy (octreoscan), accumulation of the radionuclide was also detected in both the thyroid and orbit of patients with Graves’ disease (2–4).

If peak activity in the orbit 5 h after injection of radiolabelled octreotide is set at 100%, a decrease to 40–50% is found at 24 h, significantly different from the decrease in blood pool radioactivity, which is 15–20% at 24 h. Accumulation of the radionuclide is most probably due to the presence in the orbital tissue of activated lymphocytes bearing somatostatin receptors (5). Alternative explanations are binding to receptors on other cell types (e.g. myoblasts, fibroblasts or endothelial cells) or local blood pooling due to venous stasis by the autoimmune orbital inflammation.

Clinically active thyroid eye disease (TED) is characterized by mononuclear infiltration and inflammation of the orbital adipose/connective tissue which evolves into fibrosis (5–7). It is suggested that intervention using immunosuppressive drugs or retrobulbar irradiation will be most successful if applied in the phase of active inflammation, while rehabilitative surgery, i.e. orbital decompression and eye muscle and lid surgery, should be carried out in the stable end-phase. Therefore, to decide which treatment should be given it is important to determine the clinical phase of the disease in the individual patient. The clinical activity score (CAS), using a cut-off score of 3 or more of 7 points, is specific but sensitivity is not high (8). Imaging techniques (magnetic resonance imaging) and laboratory methods, e.g. glycosaminoglycan excretion in urine, have also been applied to evaluate clinical activity of the disease (9, 10). Results of octreoscan in patients with TED correlate well with CAS and the T2 relaxation time of the extra-ocular rectus muscles (2, 11). Orbital pentetreotide accumulation is significantly higher in subjects with active TED; the uptake in the inactive group is close to that in control subjects, in whom no specific uptake is observed (12).

These data demonstrate that a positive orbital octreoscan indicates clinically active eye disease in which immunosuppressive treatment might be of therapeutic benefit, in contrast to the fibrotic end-stage. Indeed, successful immunosuppression with prednisone, orbital irradiation, intravenous immunoglobulin or very recently with somatostatin analogues, has been found to be associated with a fall in orbital pentetreotide uptake (2, 12–18).

Thus, orbital octreoscan is mainly indicated in clinical practice to select patients with TED who will benefit from immunosuppression. To this end one must know the predictive value of orbital octreoscan for the outcome of immunosuppressive treatment. In one study (12) when a clinical improvement of TED was considered, as indicated by a decrease in the NOSPECS score (No symptoms, no signs, Only signs, Symptoms, Proptosis, Extraocular muscles involvement, Ceratitis, Sight loss) and in the CAS after 3 months of therapy with octreotide, the positive and negative predictive values of octreoscan were 87 and 100% respectively. When lanreotide was used, the positive predictive value was 90% (14). In a recent paper (16), 14 of 16 patients with TED, with an orbit to brain ratio greater than 10, 4 h postinjection, responded to steroid and radiotherapy, in contrast to none of 4 patients with a ratio less than 10. Regarding the evaluation of activity of TED, it was found that when an orbit to brain pentetreotide ratio greater than 10. 4 h postinjection, was chosen as the cut-off point, a sensitivity of 94% and a specificity of 100% were given (13).
Wide differences exist between various studies regarding the administered dose of radionuclide, the time interval after injection for determining the orbital uptake, the selection of orbital slices for quantification of the orbital uptake, and the method of correction for background radioactivity (19–21). The earlier studies used rather large doses of pentetretide and preferred to measure orbital uptake 24 h postinjection (2, 12, 14, 21), while later studies administered a low dose and measured orbital uptake only 2 h postinjection arguing that the low dose decreases the radiation burden and the cost of the examination (15, 22). Nevertheless, a low dose might cause problems in count statistics and at 2 h postinjection, 12% of the dose is still in the blood pool causing high background uptake. Furthermore, the radiation burden received from a high dose of 222 MBq is 16 mSv, in the same order as that from chest computed tomography or angiography (22). The inference is that in predicting the response to subsequent immunsuppression a 4 h scan is preferred, when a low dose is chosen (23).

However, any remaining orbital radioactivity at 24 h after administering a high dose might represent a greater degree of specific tissue binding, possibly enhancing both the diagnostic and predictive value of octreoscan (19). Another technical problem is the selection of regions of interest, which may result in considerable intra- and interobserver variation. Single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) images are obviously required and measuring uptake in a number of orbital slices from SPECT images is of great advantage in the quantification of the results (19). The left temporal skull area (2, 12, 14) was originally used for correction for background activity. Recently it was suggested that at least part of this radioactivity is due to uptake in the parotid gland (19, 23) and the authors recommended background measurements in the ocipital skull area. The brain itself is used to measure background (13, 15, 21) but for most investigators it seems less suitable to correct for blood pool radioactivity.

In a recent paper, analysis of inter- and intraobserver variability and reproducibility in the evaluation of orbital SPECT images was performed (24). For the right and the left orbit, the interobserver variance proportion was 90 and 79% respectively. Intraobserver reliability for both orbits was 88, 89, 97 and 98% respectively for four different observers, and intraclass correlation as a measure of multiple observer reproducibility was 94%. Thus, due to the increased interobserver variance proportion and the high variation in intraobserver reliability, evaluation of orbital octreoscan has to be done by the same and experienced observer, leading to representative and comparable data.

In conclusion, a positive orbital octreoscan in patients with TED indicates clinically active eye disease in which immunosuppressive treatment might be of therapeutic benefit. Due to high sensitivity this technique may be regarded as a semi-objective tool in evaluating patients with TED, both at initial stages as well as during treatment. However, the following limitations restrict the widespread use of this technique. First, it is an expensive method with a non-negligible radiation burden. Secondly, it is non-specific, i.e. positive octreoscans may be obtained in patients with orbital diseases such as menigiooma, myositis, lymphoma, granulomatosis, sarcoidosis and Wegener's disease, as well as sinusitis and infections of the nasal mucosa. Last, orbital octreoscan does not permit detailed orbital imaging, i.e. evaluation of eye muscle swelling. Thus, it remains to be seen if orbital octreoscan will become a widely available tool in the management of patients with TED.
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