Effect of growth hormone treatment on insulin action in adipocytes from children with Prader–Willi syndrome
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Abstract

Objective: To study the effect of growth hormone (GH) treatment (2–4 months) on insulin action in adipocytes isolated from children with Prader–Willi syndrome (PWS), in whom GH deficiency appears to be a primary defect. We investigated the complex effects of GH on carbohydrate metabolism, as part of a current clinical trial of GH treatment in children with PWS.

Methods: Biopsies of subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissue were obtained from 12 children with PWS before and after 2–4 months of GH treatment. Lipogenesis was determined by the incorporation of radiolabelled glucose into lipids in isolated adipocytes, and glycerol release to the incubation medium was used as an index of lipolysis. GLUT4 RNA was measured by solution hybridization.

Results: With low glucose concentrations, at which glucose transport is rate-limiting, maximal insulin-induced lipogenesis was increased by 120% after GH treatment \((P < 0.05)\), but the sensitivity to insulin (half-maximum effective hormone concentration) was unchanged. This was not accompanied by a significant change in the RNA expression of GLUT4. Neither responsiveness (maximum effect) nor sensitivity of insulin-induced inhibition of lipolysis was affected by GH treatment.

Conclusions: GH treatment of children with PWS results in an upregulation of insulin-induced lipogenesis in isolated adipocytes, with no effect on insulin-induced inhibition of lipolysis. The data suggest that the site of the effect of GH on lipogenesis is distal to the insulin hormone–receptor interaction, but does not involve altered GLUT4 expression.
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Introduction

Prader–Willi syndrome (PWS) is characterized by short stature and obesity and has a prevalence of one in 15 000 births (1). Diabetes mellitus similar to the type II form is common in adults with PWS (2). The abnormally low growth hormone (GH) response to stimulation by clonidine, an \(\alpha_2\)-adrenoceptor agonist, in lean children with PWS indicates that GH deficiency is a primary feature of this syndrome and not secondary to obesity (3). Clinical trials have suggested that pharmacological doses of GH may be effective in increasing linear growth velocity and improving lean body mass in children with PWS (4).

Storage of energy in the form of triglycerides is a key function of white adipose tissue. The fatty acid component in the stored triglycerides is supplied predominantly by circulating lipoproteins, whereas the glycerol phosphate component is obtained from the metabolism of glucose (5). Glucose incorporation into lipids (lipogenesis) is increased by insulin; this effect is due to a combination of stimulated glucose transport and enhanced glucose metabolism (6). The glucose transport across the adipocyte plasma membrane is mediated by a family of carrier proteins, glucose transporters (7). The adipocytes express glucose transporters 1 (GLUT1) and 4 (GLUT4) (8). Almost all GLUT4 is present in intracellular compartments during the basal state, whereas a significant portion of GLUT1 is found in the plasma membrane contributing to the basal transport (7). Insulin induces a redistribution of GLUT4 to the cell surface resulting in augmented glucose transport (9).

Concerns have been raised regarding the effects of GH treatment on carbohydrate metabolism in children of short stature (10). Clinically, no impairment in glucose tolerance is recorded during GH treatment in short stature children with or without GH deficiency, girls with Turner syndrome, and adults with GH deficiency (10–12). In isolated adipocytes, GH, directly or indirectly, produces a wide variety of effects (13). It has a transient insulin-like antilipolytic effect and can induce insulin sensitivity in hypophysectomized rats (14). GH also has a lipolytic effect in most species, including man (15) and may induce insulin resistance (16). Chronic exposure to GH in vitro (adipocyte-derived cell
line 3T3-F442A) and in vivo (rat adipocytes) decreases the amount of both GLUT1 and GLUT4 present in adipocyte plasma membrane (17, 18). Only two studies have been published in which the effect of GH treatment on lipogenesis in isolated human adipocytes was studied. Both studies found no effect on insulin-induced lipogenesis; however, one (19) found a decreased and one (20) found an increased basal lipogenesis.

The risk of glucose intolerance during GH treatment may be greater in obese children with PWS who may already be insulin resistant. In view of the complexity of the effects of GH on carbohydrate metabolism and discrepancies between previous studies, and as a part of a current clinical trial, our aim was to study the effect of GH treatment (2–4 months) on insulin action in adipocytes isolated from children with PWS.

Patients and methods

Twelve prepubertal children with PWS participated in the study. Glucose incorporation into lipids and insulin-induced inhibition of lipolysis were studied in six children. GLUT4 RNA concentrations were determined in the remaining six children. The mean age of the children was 7 years (range 4–12 years), and there was no difference in the mean age between the two groups. The amount of fat obtained from each child was not sufficient to permit the study of lipogenesis, lipolysis and GLUT4 RNA in the same children. Ten children were treated with GH 0.1 U/kg per day and two children were treated with GH 0.2 U/kg per day. Before the initiation of treatment and after 2–4 months of treatment, an abdominal adipose tissue needle biopsy (1–2 g) was taken from all 12 children. The last GH injection was given 14 h before the second biopsy. All biopsies were obtained between 0830 h and 0930 h after an overnight fast. The biopsies were taken after local anaesthesia with prilocaine in the surrounding area. The adipocytes were isolated by Rodbell’s method (21), washed in Krebs’ ringer phosphate buffer (KRP) and the aggregated material was centrifuged at 400 × g for 2 min. The resulting supernatant was washed in Krebs’ ringer phosphate buffer (KRP) containing albumin (40 mg/ml), labelled glucose ([3-3H]glucose, 5 × 10⁶ c.p.m., 0.2 μmol/l), unlabelled glucose (1 μmol/l or 100 μmol/l), and insulin (0–10 000 μU/ml). Each incubation was performed in duplicate for 2 h at 37 °C and stopped by rapid chilling of the vials to 4 °C. To study the insulin-induced inhibition of lipolysis, an aliquot of the medium was removed for analysis of glycerol release, which was determined by a kinetic bioluminescence method and used as an index of lipolysis (22). Incorporation of glucose into lipids was determined as described by Moody et al. (23).

The maximal insulin-induced lipogenesis (responsiveness) was calculated from each individual dose–response curve as the difference between glucose incorporation at the maximum effective stimulatory concentration of insulin minus glucose incorporation in the absence of insulin. The maximal insulin induced-inhibition of lipolysis (responsiveness) was calculated from each individual dose–response curve as the basal glycerol release minus glycerol release at the maximum effective inhibitory concentration of insulin. The concentration of insulin that produced 50% of the maximum effect (EC50, sensitivity) was calculated graphically from the individual dose–response curves.

Preparation of RNA

Total tissue and cellular RNA were purified after homogenization in guanidine isothiocyanate (24).

In vitro synthesis of antisense and sense RNA

A 142 bp fragment of GLUT4 cDNA was amplified by PCR from pAMT No. 4 using primers flanked with restriction sites and inserted into KpnI and BamHI sites of pGEM3zf (+) (Promega, Madison, MI, USA). The resulting plasmids were sequenced with an ALF DNA Sequencer (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden). For in vitro synthesis of antisense probe RNA, the plasmids were linearized with EcoRI and transcribed with SP6 RNA polymerase in the presence of 35S-UTP (Amersham, Aylesbury, UK). The products were isolated from unincorporated nucleotides on Sephadex G-50 columns (Nick column, Pharmacia). The transcripts were checked by electrophoresis through a denaturing polyacrylamide gel and exposure to X-ray films. Unlabelled sense standard RNAs were synthesized by linearization of the plasmids with HindIII and transcription with T7 RNA polymerase. After DNase treatment and purification on Sephadex G-50 columns, the RNA concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically.
taking 1 unit absorbance at 260 nm to be equivalent to 40 μg/ml.

**Solution hybridization analysis of RNA**

The solution hybridization assay was performed essentially as described previously (25). The expression of γ-actin RNA was used as an internal control (26).

**Northern blot and RNase protection**

The specificity of the probe used in the solution hybridization assay was verified by hybridization of the corresponding 32P-labelled cDNA probes to a human multiple-tissue Northern blot membrane (Clonetech Laboratories, Paolo Alto, CA, USA). The probe recognized a single band of expected length and tissue distribution (27) (Fig. 1A). In addition, an RNase protection assay was performed with hybridization conditions identical to those in effect during the quantitative experiments. The protected fragments were analysed by electrophoresis on a 4% denaturing polyacrylamide gel. Again, a specific band of the expected length was observed (Fig. 1B).

**Statistics**

Differences between the groups were assessed using Student’s paired t-test. *P* values less than 0.05 were considered to be significant.

**Results**

The clinical characteristics of the 12 children with PWS who participated in the study are presented in Table 1. The puberty staging was according to Tanner. The body mass index (BMI) was calculated using the formula weight/height² (kg/m²). The height increased significantly after GH treatment, whereas weight and BMI did not change significantly.

The mean fat cell volume was 549.8 ± 182.7 pl before and 456.5 ± 188 pl after GH treatment (*P* = 0.059). Because estimates of fat cell metabolism depend on the size of the cells (28), we present our data as ‘per cell surface area’. With both glucose concentrations tested, basal lipogenesis in the absence of insulin was unaffected by GH treatment. However, the ability of insulin to stimulate lipogenesis was significantly enhanced. With the low glucose concentration...
(1 μmol/l), with which glucose transport is the rate-limiting step, the glucose incorporation into lipids was significantly increased after GH treatment (Fig. 2A). The maximum insulin-induced incorporation of glucose was 1 ± 0.6 and 2.1 ± 1.1 μmol/μm²/2 h × 10⁻¹¹ before and after GH treatment respectively (P = 0.02). With the high glucose concentration (100 μmol/l), the insulin-induced glucose incorporation was also increased after GH treatment, but the difference was not significant (P = 0.078; Fig. 2B). The insulin sensitivity was not affected by GH treatment. With a low concentration of glucose, the mean EC₅₀ was 7.2 ± 9.7 μU/ml before and 4.9 ± 2 μU/ml after GH treatment (P = 0.559). With high glucose concentrations, the EC₅₀ was 2.3 ± 3.8 μU/ml before and 3.3 ± 3.4 μU/ml after GH treatment (P = 0.685). With both glucose concentrations, neither the sensitivity nor the responsiveness of insulin-induced inhibition of lipolysis was significantly affected (Table 2).

The stimulation of insulin-induced lipogenesis by GH treatment may be explained by an upregulation of the glucose transporter system. To investigate this, we established a solution hybridization RNase-protection method to determine the RNA levels of GLUT4. Briefly, the hybridization signal from RNA extracts was compared with a standard curve constructed with increasing amounts of in vivo transcribed sense standard RNA. All RNA quantifications were within the linear range of the standard curves. Figure 3 shows a standard curve obtained by hybridization of ³⁵S-labelled antisense GLUT4 RNA to increasing amounts of unlabelled standard sense RNA. In a parallel experiment, increasing amounts of unlabelled sense RNA were hybridized with the probe in the presence of an extract containing 1.3 μ total RNA from human adipose tissue. Conversely, increasing amounts of total RNA extracted from human adipose tissue were hybridized to the labelled GLUT4 antisense probe (Fig. 3, insert). The hybridization signal was linear in the range (1.3–10.4 μg) of total RNA. The levels of expression of GLUT4 RNA in adipocytes and adipose tissue were not significantly altered after...
2–4 months of GH treatment. The mean levels of GLUT4 RNA in adipocytes were 352.3 ± 136.3 and 393.5 ± 221.8 copies/pg total RNA before and after treatment, respectively (Fig. 4A). Those of GLUT4 RNA in adipose tissue samples were 162 ± 85.6 and 215.5 ± 148.8 copies/pg total RNA before and after treatment respectively (Fig. 4B). As an internal control of the integrity of the RNA extracts, the levels of β-actin RNA in both adipocytes and adipose tissue were determined (Table 3).

Discussion

GH may modify glucose turnover in many ways, directly and indirectly via induction of insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I (29). Transient insulin-like effects have been reported during short-term infusions of GH in humans (30). Similar effects have been observed in vitro in rodent adipocytes (31, 32), but not in human cells (13).

After the first hours of infusion, GH induces a marked decrease in glucose uptake, with both hepatic and peripheral insulin resistance (14, 33, 34). During longer treatments with low doses of GH, the insulin resistance is partly reversible (35, 36). Higher doses, however, cause persistent insulin resistance (34) mimicking the insulin resistance of acromegaly (16).

In the present study, we found that GH treatment for 2–4 months stimulated lipogenesis in abdominal adipocytes of children with PWS. The enhanced glucose incorporation reached significance only with a low concentration of glucose (1 μmol/l), at which glucose transport is rate-limiting for incorporation of glucose into lipids, and the largest fraction of glucose entering the adipocytes is incorporated into triglyceride–glycerol (6, 37–39). With the higher concentration of glucose (100 μmol/l), metabolic steps beyond the glucose transport are rate-limiting, and most of the glucose is converted into lactate (6, 37–39). The enhanced lipogenesis is probably not a direct effect of GH on the adipocytes. When the effects of GH have been studied in skeletal muscles, adipocytes and fibroblasts in vitro, a decreased glucose uptake/lipogenesis has been observed after the insulin-like effects during the first hours of incubation (14, 40, 41). Instead, the direct effects of GH on glucose transport and tissue responsiveness to insulin are probably opposed by other metabolic processes that enhance insulin action in adipose tissue. In this study, we found no significant decrease in BMI after 2–4 months of GH treatment. However, BMI does not discriminate between fat and muscle mass. GH treatment in GH-deficient adults decreased body fat and increased lean body mass as determined by computed tomography and bioelectrical impedance (36). Thus increased lean body mass or altered body fat localization may mediate the observed stimulation of lipogenesis.

A previous in vitro study of fat cell metabolism reported a decreased basal lipogenesis in abdominal adipocytes, with no effect on glueral fat depots after 2–3 months of GH treatment in GH-deficient children (19). However, when the same investigators used identical conditions to study the effects of GH treatment on children with short stature but without GH deficiency, lipogenesis was upregulated in gluteal adipocytes but unchanged in adipocytes from the abdominal depots.
In both studies, GH treatment had no effect on insulin-induced lipogenesis. One reason for the discrepancies between these two studies and the results presented here may be the method of calculation of lipogenesis. In the previous studies, GH therapy was associated with a reduction in abdominal adipocyte size, and to present metabolic events ‘per cell’ may thus be misleading. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that adipocytes in PWS children are more sensitive to alterations in body composition and therefore react differently. Another interesting possibility is that the timing of the GH peaks is of importance. In the present study, the adipose tissue biopsy was taken 12 h after the last GH injection, whereas in the previous two studies, the biopsies were taken after 36 h.

We found no difference in the sensitivity of insulin-induced lipogenesis or insulin-induced inhibition of lipolysis after GH treatment, indicating that the observed increase in the maximal insulin-induced lipogenesis is a post-binding effect. This is further supported by the finding that one insulin-receptor mediated effect, lipogenesis, is enhanced whereas another, anti-lipolysis, is unchanged. The effect of GH on lipogenesis was only significant under conditions in which the rate-limiting step was glucose transport. GLUT4, present in intracellular compartments during the basal state, is responsible for the insulin-stimulated glucose uptake after translocation to the plasma membrane. It seemed reasonable to suspect that the increase in glucose transport after GH treatment might have been secondary to increased GLUT4. However, we found no increase in GLUT4 RNA. Previous reports have concluded that chronic GH treatment of 3T3-F442A adipocytes and rat adipocytes affects the redistribution of GLUT4, with no effect on the level of expression.

In conclusion, GH treatment of children with PWS results in an upregulation of the maximum insulin-induced lipogenesis in isolated adipocytes, with no effect on basal lipogenesis or insulin-induced inhibition of lipolysis.
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Table 3 γ–Actin RNA levels in adipose tissue or adipocytes of children with PWS, before and after GH treatment. Values are means ± s.d.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actin RNA (copies/pg total RNA)</th>
<th>Before GH</th>
<th>After GH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adipose tissue (n = 5)</td>
<td>765.0 ± 176.9</td>
<td>712.2 ± 135.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adipocytes (n = 5)</td>
<td>931.8 ± 138.2</td>
<td>854.6 ± 130.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No significant difference was found before and after 2–4 months of GH treatment in either adipose tissue samples or isolated adipocytes.
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